



2025/2086(INI)

14.7.2025

AMENDMENTS

1 - 380

Draft report

Alexander Bernhuber, Anna Strolenberg

(PE774.306v02-00)

Ensuring faster registration and uptake of biological control agents
(2025/2086(INI))



Amendment 1

Sander Smit, Jessika Van Leeuwen

Motion for a resolution

Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Commission's response to Council Decision (EU) 2022/2572 of 19 December 2022 requesting the Commission to submit an additional study to complement the impact assessment of the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of plant protection products*

Or. nl

Amendment 2

Sander Smit, Jessika Van Leeuwen

Motion for a resolution

Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions ‘A vision for agriculture and food - Shaping together an attractive farming and agri-food sector for future generations’ (COM/2025/75 final) (ARES(2023)4660410):*

Or. nl

Amendment 3

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides,*

Or. en

Amendment 4

Anna Strolenberg, Majdouline Sbai
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (SUD),*

Or. en

Amendment 5

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its objections to automatic renewals of hazardous pesticides including mancozeb, dimoxystrobin, flumioxazine, chlorotoluron, difenoconazole and 8-hydroxyquinoline, in particular those of 10 October 2019^{1a}, 18 December 2019^{2a},*

10 July 2020^{3a}, 26 November 2020^{4a}, 11 March 2021^{5a}, 10 June 2021^{6a}, 6 October 2021^{7a} and 18 October 2022^{8a},

^{1a} P9_TA(2019)0027, P9_TA(2019)0026

^{2a} P9_TA(2019)0099

^{3a} P9_TA(2020)0197

^{4a} P9_TA(2020)0325

^{5a} P9_TA(2021)0079

^{6a} P9_TA(2021)0285

^{7a} P9_TA(2021)0409

^{8a} P9_TA(2022)0363

Or. en

Amendment 6

Martin Hojsík, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution
Revised pollinators initiative - A new deal
for pollinators^{1a};*

^{1a} P9_TA(2023)0441

Or. en

Amendment 7

Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the Commission
communication of 20 May 2020 entitled*

deleted

‘A Farm to Fork Strategy – for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system’ (COM(2020)0381) and the Commission communication of 20 May 2020 entitled ‘EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 – Bringing nature back into our lives’ (COM(2020)0380),

Or. fr

Amendment 8

Benoit Cassart, Jeannette Baljeu, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– ***having regard to the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on a Vision for Agriculture and Food Shaping together an attractive farming and agri-food sector for future generations, of 19 February 2025,***

Or. en

Amendment 9

Stefan Köhler, Alexander Bernhuber, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– ***having regard to the Commission communication of 19 February 2025 on a Vision for Agriculture and Food, Shaping together an attractive farming and agri-***

Amendment 10

**Laurence Trochu, Veronika Vrecionová, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga,
Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen**

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regards to the final report of the Strategic Dialogue on the Future of EU Agriculture, entitled “A shared prospect for farming and food in Europe”;*

Amendment 11
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the United Nations’ Convention on Biological Diversity Decision of December 2022 ‘Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework’,*

Amendment 12
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– ***having regard to Regulation (EU) 2024/1991 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2024 on nature restoration and amending Regulation (EU) 2022/869,***

Or. en

Amendment 13
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– ***having regard to the Commission Communication No 640/2019 of December 2019 ‘The European Green Deal’,***

Or. en



Amendment 14
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– ***having regard to the The Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services' Global assessment report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem services,***

Or. en

Amendment 15
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the International Panel of Experts on Sustainable Food Systems' reports on Land Squeeze (2024) and From Plate to Planet (2023),*

Or. en

Amendment 16
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Commission Communication of 24 January 2023 entitled 'Revision of the EU Pollinators Initiative – A new deal for pollinators'*

Or. en

Amendment 17
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the 2016 assessment report of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services on pollinators, pollination and food production,*

Or. en

Amendment 18
Anna Strolenberg, Majdouline Sbai
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Commission communication of 12 May 2021 entitled "Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All – EU Action Plan: Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil"* (COM(2021)0400),

Or. en

Amendment 19
Martin Hojsík, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution
Citation 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regards to its report on Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides European Parliament* ^{1a}

^{1a} P8_TA(2019)0023

Or. en

Amendment 20
Krzysztof Hetman

Motion for a resolution
Citation 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Commission's Vision for Agriculture and Food of 19 February 2025,*

Amendment 21
Sander Smit, Jessika Van Leeuwen

Motion for a resolution
Recital -A (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. whereas in the 2020 Farm to Fork strategy, the Commission called for a rapid reduction in the use of synthetic plant protection products; whereas for this purpose the Commission has strengthened the environmental assessment for plant protection products; whereas by the end of 2022 this has contributed to a net loss of 53 active substances since 2018 with implications for the availability of plant protection solutions in all major crop sectors^{1 a}

***^{1 a} Marchand, P.A. Evolution of plant protection active substances in Europe: the disappearance of chemicals in favour of biocontrol agents. Environ Sci Pollut Res 30, 1–17 (2023).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-24057-7>***

Or. nl

Amendment 22
Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution
Recital -A (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

-A. whereas without physical, biological or chemical intervention, it is estimated that, worldwide, potential losses could be 70 % of achievable crops

production^{1a}; whereas in spite of physical, biological and chemical cultivation methods, agricultural losses due to harmful organisms are estimated at 28 % of achievable crops production in Europe;

*^{1a} Bourguignon, D., EU policy and legislation on pesticides: Plant protection products and biocides, EPRS, European Parliament, 2017.
[https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/2017/599428/EPRS_IDA\(2017\)599428_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/2017/599428/EPRS_IDA(2017)599428_EN.pdf)*

Or. en

Amendment 23
Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution
Recital -A (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

-A. whereas chemical pesticides are threatening biodiversity including essential pollinators such as wild bees, soil health, water quality and human and animal health; whereas it is imperative to phase out the use of chemical pesticides as quickly as possible; whereas increasing the availability and accessibility of biological control can contribute to this goal;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Sander Smit, Jessika Van Leeuwen

Motion for a resolution
Recital -A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. whereas several studies show that reduced availability of plant protection products will lead to significant declines in agricultural production, higher food prices, increased imports and reduced exports; whereas the modelled effects at EU level include production cuts of 7.9 % for cereals, 11.0 % for oilseeds and 10.4 % for vegetables, and in specific countries losses of up to 20 % (Italy and Spain) for tomatoes and between 13 % (Spain) and 30 % (Italy) for olives;^{1 v}

^{1 v} Beckman, (USDA ERS, 2020) Barreiro-Hurle, (Joint Research Centre, European Commission, 2021) Bremmer, J (Wageningen Univ. Research, 2021). Henning, (Christian-Albrechts-Universität, 2021). Henning, (Christian-Albrechts-Universität, 2021). Bremmer, (Wageningen Economic Research, 2023).

Or. nl

Amendment 25
Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution
Recital -A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

-A a. whereas EU environmental policy should aim at a high level of protection based on the precautionary principle and on the principle that the polluter should pay for any environmental damage, thus to prevent, control and remedy pollution and the costs imposed on society, including by use of pesticides;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Sander Smit, Jessika Van Leeuwen

Motion for a resolution
Recital -A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ab. whereas there are currently about 129 biocontrol substances, 75 of which are new applications for the authorisation of biological active substances, but these authorisations are delayed by a cumbersome and slow assessment process; whereas, as a result, not only 95 conventional products have been phased out since 2018, but also 19 green plant protection products have disappeared; whereas rapid authorisation of green plant protection products is necessary to keep product availability above a minimum.

Or. nl

Amendment 27
Sander Smit, Jessika Van Leeuwen

Motion for a resolution
Recital -A c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ac. whereas only 15 % of all crop and pest combinations in the EU currently have an approved organic plant protection product^{1 x}; whereas this indicates significant reliance on synthetic plant protection products; whereas in 2013 and 2024, a total of 4 761 emergency authorisations were granted in EU Member States; whereas the annual number of admissions was typically between 620 and 720; whereas a significant proportion (77 %) of emergency admissions were repeat admissions over several years.

^{1x} Galli, M., Feldmann, F., Vogler, U.K. et al. Can biocontrol be the game-changer in integrated pest management? A review of definitions, methods and strategies. *J Plant Dis Prot* 131, 265–291 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41348-024-00878-1>

Or. nl

Amendment 28
Sander Smit, Jessika Van Leeuwen

Motion for a resolution
Recital -A d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ad. whereas Agriculture Commissioner Hansen indicated in the Vision on Agriculture communication that, for the time being, any further ban on plant protection will be carefully assessed when no viable alternatives are available, unless the pesticide in question poses a threat to human health or the environment;

Or. nl

Amendment 29
Anja Arndt

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. whereas a plant protection product (PPP) is a product containing **a** substance that prevents, destroys or controls a harmful organism ('pest'); whereas biological control is a method of controlling pests using other organisms or parts of them, often in combination with physical techniques, to disrupt pest

A. whereas a plant protection product (PPP) is a product containing **an active** substance that prevents, destroys or controls a harmful organism ('pest'); whereas biological control is a method of controlling pests using other organisms or parts of them, often in combination with physical techniques, to disrupt pest

reproductive cycles or limit crop
susceptibility to pests;

reproductive cycles or limit crop
susceptibility to pests, ***so as to maintain
the equilibrium of ecosystems and protect
crops in order to have to resort to harmful
chemicals to a lesser extent;***

Or. de

Amendment 30

Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas a plant protection product (PPP) is a product containing a substance that prevents, destroys or controls a harmful organism ('pest'); whereas biological control is a method of controlling pests using other organisms or parts of them, often in combination with physical techniques, to disrupt pest reproductive cycles or limit crop susceptibility to pests;

Amendment

A. whereas a plant protection product (PPP) is a product containing a substance that prevents, destroys or controls a harmful organism ('pest'); whereas biological control is a method of controlling pests ***and diseases*** using other organisms or parts of them, often in combination with physical techniques, to disrupt pest reproductive cycles or limit crop susceptibility to pests;

Or. en

Amendment 31

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

A a. whereas the Green Deal targets for pesticides aim for a 50% reduction in the use of chemical pesticides and the associated risks by 2030; whereas the Union has committed to reduce the overall risk from pesticides and highly hazardous chemicals by at least half, including through integrated pest

Amendment

management, based on science, taking into account food security and livelihoods under the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework (GBF);

Or. en

Amendment 32

Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution

Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. whereas rampant trade liberalisation has encouraged the introduction and spread of pests and diseases, leading to increased use of plant-protection products, and whereas the resources allocated to plant health monitoring remain insufficient to enable a preventive rather than curative approach;



Or. fr

Amendment 33

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution

Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. whereas the EU's 2030 targets of a 50 % reduction in the use of chemical pesticides and a 50 % reduction in the risk of more hazardous chemical pesticides cannot be achieved if there are still no available alternatives that are equally effective and affordable for farmers;

Or. ro

Amendment 34
Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution
Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ab. whereas according to the new Vision for Agriculture and Food, the placing on the single market of alternatives in the form of low-risk biological or innovative plant protection products has not kept pace with the withdrawal of active substances from the EU market, and this trend is liable to affect the EU's ability to ensure food production, endangering food security, the viability of farms and farmers' incomes;

Or. ro

Amendment 35
Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution
Recital A c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ac. whereas it acknowledges the concerns over the declining availability of the plant protection products used to control quarantine and non-quarantine pests, and that this may affect the Union's ability to effectively manage phytosanitary risks;

Or. ro

Amendment 36
Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution
Recital A d (new)

Ad. whereas maintaining a broad spectrum of authorised plant protection products that have proven their effectiveness over time remains crucial for protecting plant health and ensuring food security in the European Union;

Or. ro

Amendment 37

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the authorisation of biological control products also falls under Regulation 1107/2009, used for the authorisation of PPPs, yet they do not have the same risk profile as ‘traditional’ PPPs;

Amendment

B. whereas under EU law, active substances, the active ingredients in plant protection products, must be approved at EU level pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 before Member States may authorise plant protection products containing them; whereas the current list of approximately 420 authorised active substances comprises both traditional pesticides and an increasing number of biocontrol or organic substances, including various alternative or low-risk agents; whereas the authorisation of biological control products, which in many cases qualify as low-risk^{2a}, also falls under Regulation 1107/2009 as well, used for the authorisation of PPPs, yet they do not have the same risk profile as ‘traditional’ PPPs;

^{2a} As of 2022, the Commission reported that out of 28 newly approved non-chemical active substances, 19 were classified as low-risk. To date, 423 active substances are approved. Among them 28 are basic substances; 79 low-risk substances and 73 are microorganisms;

with some overlaps between the categories.

Or. en

Amendment 38

Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the authorisation of biological control products also falls under Regulation 1107/2009, used for the authorisation of PPPs, yet they do not have the same risk profile as **‘traditional’ PPPs**;

Amendment

B. whereas the authorisation of biological control products also falls under Regulation 1107/2009, used for the authorisation of PPPs, ***and while*** yet they do not have the same risk profile as **‘conventional’ PPPs, these biocontrol products nonetheless may in some cases present risks^{1a} that must be assessed appropriately.**

^{1a} *e.g. invasive character, or allergenicity, etc.*

Or. en

Amendment 39

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the authorisation of biological control products also falls under Regulation 1107/2009, ***used for the authorisation of*** PPPs, ***yet*** they do not have the same ***risk profile as ‘traditional’ PPPs***;

Amendment

B. whereas the authorisation of biological control products also falls under Regulation 1107/2009, ***regulating*** PPPs, ***whereas biological control products may have lower risk profiles compared to chemical PPPs as*** they do not have the same ***properties and modes of action***;

Amendment 40

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the authorisation of biological control products also falls under Regulation 1107/2009, used for the authorisation of PPPs, yet they do not have the same risk profile as **‘traditional’ PPPs**;

Amendment

B. whereas the authorisation of biological control products also falls under Regulation 1107/2009, used for the authorisation of PPPs, yet they do not have the same risk profile as **chemical pesticides**;

Or. en

Amendment 41

Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the authorisation of biological control products also falls under Regulation 1107/2009, used for the authorisation of PPPs, yet they do not have the same risk profile as **‘traditional’ PPPs**;

Amendment

B. whereas the authorisation of biological control products also falls under Regulation 1107/2009, used for the authorisation of PPPs, yet they do not have the same risk profile as **conventional** PPPs;

Or. fr

Amendment 42

Anna Strolenberg, Majdouline Sbai
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B a. whereas due to impact on non-target species, the current use of conventional pesticides can inhibit the effectiveness of biocontrol solutions and can put at risk the factors of agricultural production ^{1a} and so also long term food security, due to effects on biodiversity, soil health, water resources ^{1c}; whereas sampling showing contamination of soils with mixtures of residues ^{1b} indicate the need to safeguard agroecosystem functionality and especially action of beneficial species, in order for biological solutions to work to ensure fertility and productivity;

^{1a} TFEU agriculture article 39.1(a)

^{1b}

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160412023005536?via%3Dihub> contamination of 96% of conventional farm soils and 76% of organic farm soils

^{1c}

<https://www.eea.europa.eu/en/analysis/indicators/pesticides-in-rivers-lakes-and#:~:text=According%20to%20the%20WFD%2C%20pesticide,and%20the%20Biodicidal%20Products%20Regulation>

Or. en

Amendment 43
Sander Smit, Jessika Van Leeuwen

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. whereas biological plant protection products and synthetic plant protection products are intrinsically different in terms of ecological impact, effects on non-target organisms and compatibility with existing ecosystems; whereas the development and integration of biological

plant protection products into the farming system require appropriate risk and hazard assessment methods to evaluate their safety and efficacy; whereas organic plant protection products should therefore be made eligible for a low risk profile;

Or. nl

Amendment 44

Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. whereas, in order to maintain a balance between environmental concerns, productivity and farmers' incomes, no single approach should be exclusively followed but a combination of several levers is rather required, including biocontrol, alongside agronomic practices, plant genetic improvement, agricultural equipment and digital technology, and plant protection products where there are no viable alternatives;

Or. fr

Amendment 45

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B a. whereas the average time between the request for authorisation and the placing on the market of a biocontrol agent can take up to 10 years;

Amendment 46
Majdouline Sbai
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B b. whereas chronic exposure to such pesticides has been linked to a range of serious health conditions, including various types of cancer, respiratory illnesses, neurodegenerative diseases, and developmental delay, posing significant risks to farmers and their communities, particularly children;

Or. en

Amendment 47
Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution
Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Bb. whereas the development of new phytosanitary biocontrol solutions with high ecological value must be cost-effective in order to be fully adopted in the field, in a context of falling output and income for farmers;

Or. fr

Amendment 48
Benoit Cassart, Jeannette Baljeu, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution
Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B b. whereas more than 100 biocontrol agents are expected to request an authorisation to be placed on the market by 2028 in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Majdouline Sbai
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital B c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B c. whereas for substances known or presumed to be genotoxic or endocrine-disrupting, no level of exposure is considered safe — particularly for vulnerable groups - especially pregnant women, newborns, and children; whereas exposure to certain pesticides can adversely affect fetal development, potentially resulting in birth defects or developmental disorders in children; whereas some pesticides are capable of passing into breast milk, thereby posing a risk to nursing infants;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution
Recital B c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Bc. whereas in some countries it takes

three years on average for biocontrol solutions to be approved, while in the European Union it ranges from seven to ten years; whereas these approval times constitute a significant barrier to entry for SMEs in the biocontrol market, slowing down research, innovation and the deployment of new solutions;

Or. fr

Amendment 51

Majdouline Sbai

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital B d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B d. whereas farmers working with pesticides have a higher risk for health issues such as blood cancers, prostate cancer and Parkinson's disease which is recognized as an occupational disease for farmers in France, Italy and Germany;

Or. en

Amendment 52

Majdouline Sbai

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital B e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B e. whereas widespread PFAS pollution poses significant risks for the environment, biodiversity and human health, contributing to liver damage, thyroid disorders, obesity, fertility problems, cardiovascular disease and cancer; whereas a nationwide study shows that all individuals in the Netherlands

have various types of PFAS in their blood, with levels exceeding health-based guidance values in nearly all cases ^{1a}; whereas PFAS pesticides are a source of pollution for water basins, contaminating drinking water and agricultural land, as well as putting pressure on agricultural productivity and human health; whereas, in 2019, the Nordic Council of Ministers estimated that the annual health-related cost of PFAS is between EUR 52 – 84 billion for all EEA countries ^{2a}; whereas an independent pan-EU study detected trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), a degradation product of PFAS pesticides, in 94% of tested drinking water samples from 11 EU countries ^{3a}; whereas the cost of treating PFAS pollution in drinking water alone is estimated at EUR 18 billion a year ^{4a};

^{1a} <https://www.rivm.nl/en/news/first-nationwide-study-into-pfas-in-blood>

^{2a}

<https://www.norden.org/en/publication/cost-inaction-0>

^{3a}

<https://www.awe.international/article/1880471/tfa-contamination-found-94-eu-drinking-water-samples>

^{4a} <https://www.eureau.org/news/949-pfas-phase-out-a-prerequisite-for-a-water-resilient-europe>

Or. en

Amendment 53

Anna Strolenberg, Majdouline Sbai
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution **Recital B f (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B f. whereas Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 states that any pesticide must

not harm human health and must not have unacceptable effects on the environment, and emphasises the priority of human and animal health and environmental protection over the objective of improving plant production when granting authorisations for plant protection products ^{1a};

^{1a} Regulation (EC) 107/2009 Recital 24, Article 1 points (3) and (4)

Or. en

Amendment 54
Majdouline Sbai
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital B g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B g. whereas Directive 2009/128/EC requires Member States to reduce the risks and impacts of pesticide use; whereas such risks and impacts depend on the characteristics of the substances, and are caused by for example by their mobility in the environment ^{1a} and within organisms, their persistence in the environment^{1b} or by the widespread nature of their use^{1c}, as well as their toxicity, including to non-target organisms.

^{1a} EEA: one or more pesticides were detected above their effect threshold in 9 to 25% of all surface water monitoring sites reported to the EEA between 2013 and 2022; and exceedances of one or more pesticides were detected at between 4% and 13% of groundwater monitoring sites.
<https://www.eea.europa.eu/en/analysis/indicators/pesticides-in-rivers-lakes->

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3627469>

Amendment 57

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas there is currently no harmonised legal definition of biological **control**;

Amendment

C. whereas there is currently no harmonised legal definition of biological **plant protection products**;

Or. nl

Amendment 58

Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas there is currently no harmonised legal definition of biological control;

Amendment

C. whereas there is currently no harmonised **EU-wide** legal definition of biological control;

Or. en

Amendment 59

Anna Strolenberg, Majdouline Sbai
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas biological control **agents** can be active substances, products and agents that **exert a pesticidal action** and that contain one, or more than one,

Amendment

D. whereas biological control **solutions** can be active substances, products and agents that **control pests and diseases** and that contain one, or more than one, biological control **active substance^{1a}** ;

biological control **agent**;

whereas biological control solutions are used as part of holistic plant health strategies that rely primarily on preventive agronomic measures, in order to both increase their effectiveness and ensure their use remains need-based;

^{1a} under Regulation 1107/2009

Or. en

Amendment 60

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas biological **control agents** can be active substances, products and agents **that exert a pesticidal action and that contain one, or more than one,** biological **control agent**;

Amendment

D. whereas biological **plant protection products** can be active substances, products and **invertebrate biological agents (macroorganisms) that control pests and diseases; whereas biological plant protection products may contain one or more biological active substances;**

Or. nl

Amendment 61

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas biological control **agents** can be active substances, products and agents **that exert a pesticidal action and that contain one, or more than one,** biological control **agent**;

Amendment

D. whereas biological control **tools** can be active substances, products and **invertebrate biocontrol agents (macroorganisms) that control pests and diseases; whereas biocontrol PPPs can contain one, or more biological control active substances;**

Amendment 62

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas biological control **agents** can be active substances, products and agents that **exert a pesticidal action** and that contain one, or more than one, biological control **agent**;

Amendment

D. whereas biological control **solutions** can be active substances, products and **invertebrate biocontrol** agents that **control pests and diseases** and that contain one, or more than one, biological control **active substance**;

Or. en

Amendment 63

Laurence Trochu, Veronika Vrecionová, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas biological control **agents** can be active substances, products and agents that exert a pesticidal action and that contain one, or more than one, biological control agent;

Amendment

D. whereas biological control **products** can be active substances, products and agents that exert a pesticidal action and that contain one, or more than one, biological control agent;

Or. en

Amendment 64

Majdouline Sbai

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. Whereas organic farmers are the first users of biocontrol products; whereas in organic farming, the use of biological control tools is subject to additional restrictions in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 on organic production, specifically: (a) biological control products must be of natural origin or nature-identical; (b) they must be free from genetically modified organisms (GMOs); and (c) they must be included in Regulation (EU) 2021/1165, following assessment by the Expert Group for Technical Advice on Organic Production (EGTOP).

Or. en

Amendment 65

Laurence Trochu, Veronika Vrecionová, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E. whereas biological control can occur via: (a) living microorganisms (such as fungi, bacteria **and** viruses, or non-viable microorganisms), (b) semiochemicals (pheromones), (c) extracts from natural sources, in particular plants and algae, and substances produced by microorganisms, (d) substances identical to those produced by biological organisms or that are constituents of biological organisms (such as functionally identical peptides **and** proteins), and (e) inorganic substances as occurring in nature, with the exception of heavy metals and their salts;

E. whereas biological control can occur via: (a) living microorganisms (such as fungi, bacteria, viruses **and bacteriophages**, or non-viable microorganisms), (b) semiochemicals (**such as** pheromones, **kairomones or allomones**), (c) extracts from natural sources, in particular plants and algae, and substances produced by microorganisms, (d) substances identical to those produced by biological organisms or that are constituents of biological organisms (such as functionally identical peptides, proteins **or nucleic acids**), and (e) inorganic substances as occurring in nature, with the exception of heavy metals and their salts;

Or. en

Amendment 66

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas biological control can occur via: (a) living microorganisms (such as fungi, bacteria and viruses, or non-viable microorganisms), (b) semiochemicals (pheromones), (c) extracts from natural sources, in particular plants and algae, and substances produced by microorganisms, (d) substances identical to those produced by biological organisms or that are constituents of biological organisms (such as functionally identical peptides and proteins), and (e) inorganic substances as occurring in nature, with the exception of heavy metals and their salts;

Amendment

E. whereas biological control can occur via: (a) living **macro- and** microorganisms (such as **predatory insects, nematodes**, fungi, bacteria and viruses, or non-viable microorganisms), (b) semiochemicals (pheromones **or kairomones**), (c) extracts from natural sources, in particular plants and algae, and substances produced by microorganisms, (d) substances identical to those produced by biological organisms or that are constituents of biological organisms (such as functionally identical peptides and proteins), and (e) inorganic substances as occurring in nature, with the exception of heavy metals and their salts;



Or. en

Amendment 67

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas biological **control** can occur via: **(a)** living microorganisms (such as fungi, bacteria and viruses, or non-viable microorganisms), (b) semiochemicals (pheromones), (c) extracts from natural sources, in particular plants and algae, and substances produced by microorganisms, (d) substances identical to those produced by biological organisms or that are constituents of biological organisms (such as functionally identical peptides and proteins), and (e) inorganic substances as occurring in nature, with the

Amendment

E. whereas biological **plant protection** can occur via: living microorganisms (such as fungi, bacteria and viruses, or non-viable microorganisms), (b) semiochemicals (**such as** pheromones **or kairomones**), (c) extracts from natural sources, in particular plants and algae, and substances produced by microorganisms, (d) substances identical to those produced by biological organisms or that are constituents of biological organisms (such as functionally identical peptides and proteins), and (e) inorganic substances as

exception of heavy metals and their salts;

occurring in nature, with the exception of heavy metals and their salts;

Or. nl

Amendment 68

Anna Strolenberg, Majdouline Sbai

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas biological control can occur via: (a) living microorganisms (such as fungi, bacteria and viruses, or non-viable microorganisms), (b) semiochemicals (pheromones), (c) extracts from natural sources, in particular plants and algae, and substances produced by microorganisms, (d) substances identical to those produced by biological organisms or that are constituents of biological organisms (such as functionally identical peptides and proteins), and (e) inorganic substances as occurring in nature, with the exception of heavy metals and their salts;

Amendment

E. whereas biological control can occur via: (a) living microorganisms (such as fungi, bacteria and viruses, or non-viable microorganisms), (b) semiochemicals (pheromones **and kairomones**), (c) extracts from natural sources, in particular plants and algae, and substances produced by microorganisms, (d) substances identical to those produced by biological organisms or that are constituents of biological organisms (such as functionally identical peptides and proteins), and (e) inorganic substances as occurring in nature, with the exception of heavy metals and their salts;

Or. en

Amendment 69

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas biological control can occur via: (a) living microorganisms (such as fungi, bacteria and viruses, or non-viable microorganisms), (b) semiochemicals (pheromones), (c) extracts from natural sources, in particular plants and algae, and substances produced by

Amendment

E. whereas biological control can occur via: (a) living microorganisms (such as fungi, bacteria and viruses, or non-viable microorganisms), (b) semiochemicals (pheromones **or kairomones**), (c) extracts from natural sources, in particular plants and algae, and

microorganisms, (d) substances identical to those produced by biological organisms or that are constituents of biological organisms (such as functionally identical peptides and proteins), and (e) inorganic substances as occurring in nature, with the exception of heavy metals and their salts;

substances produced by microorganisms, (d) substances identical to those produced by biological organisms or that are constituents of biological organisms (such as functionally identical peptides and proteins), and (e) inorganic substances as occurring in nature, with the exception of heavy metals and their salts;

Or. en

Amendment 70
Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas a biological active substance is an active substance falling into one of the categories described in recital E; whereas biocontrol plant protection products are those containing exclusively one or more biocontrol active substances;

Or. nl

Amendment 71
Majdouline Sbai

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E a. whereas organisms and products obtained through biotechnologies, including new genomic techniques, then released into the environment should be excluded from the definition of biological control;

Or. en

Amendment 72

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E a. whereas biocontrol active substance means an active substance that fulfils any of the categories of recital E.

Or. en

Amendment 73

Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F. whereas biological control ***agents*** are frequently combined to form blends of semiochemicals, plant extracts or microbial consortia which enhance effectiveness, target multiple pests or diseases and improve environmental adaptability, and are often incorporated into other production techniques, e.g. integrated pest management (IPM);

F. whereas biological control ***solutions*** are frequently combined to form blends of semiochemicals, plant extracts or microbial consortia which enhance effectiveness, target multiple pests or diseases and improve environmental adaptability, and are often incorporated into other production techniques, e.g. integrated pest management (IPM); ***whereas for this reason the substitution logic of one conventional PPP active substance being replaced by another single product does not apply;***

Or. en

Amendment 74

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas biological **control agents** are frequently combined to form blends of semiochemicals, plant extracts or microbial consortia which enhance effectiveness, target multiple pests or diseases and improve environmental adaptability, and are often incorporated into other production techniques, e.g. integrated pest management (IPM);

Amendment

F. whereas biological **plant protection products** are frequently combined to form blends of semiochemicals, plant extracts or microbial consortia which enhance effectiveness, target multiple pests or diseases and improve environmental adaptability, and are often incorporated into other production techniques, e.g. integrated pest management (IPM);

Or. nl

Amendment 75

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas biological control agents are frequently combined to form blends of semiochemicals, plant extracts or microbial consortia which enhance effectiveness, target multiple pests or diseases and improve environmental adaptability, and are often incorporated into other **production** techniques, e.g. integrated pest management (IPM);

Amendment

F. whereas biological control agents are frequently combined to form blends of semiochemicals, plant extracts or microbial consortia which enhance effectiveness, target multiple pests or diseases and improve environmental adaptability, and are often incorporated into other **pest control** techniques, e.g. integrated pest management (IPM);

Or. en

Amendment 76

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiek

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas biological control **agents** are frequently combined to form blends of semiochemicals, plant extracts or microbial consortia which enhance effectiveness,

Amendment

F. whereas biological control **tools** are frequently combined to form blends of semiochemicals, plant extracts or microbial consortia which enhance effectiveness,

target multiple pests or diseases and improve environmental adaptability, and are often incorporated into other **production** techniques, e.g. integrated pest management (IPM);

target multiple pests or diseases and improve environmental adaptability, and are often incorporated into other **pest control** techniques, e.g. integrated pest management (IPM);

Or. en

Amendment 77
Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F a. whereas a specific biocontrol authorisation legislation should be proposed by the Commission as soon as possible which should be following two principles: accelerating drastically the access to the market of biocontrol solutions, ensuring an as wide as possible market for biosolution manufacturers;

Or. en

Amendment 78
Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg

Motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F a. whereas the use of IPM should be broadened across the agricultural sectors, as envisaged in existing pesticide Regulation 1107/2009 and sustainable use directive 2009/218 (SUD), to increase the effectiveness and uptake of biocontrol solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 79

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer

Motion for a resolution

Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F a. whereas over the past six years, more than 70 active substances have been withdrawn or not renewed; whereas the phase-out of ‘traditional’ PPPs, without viable alternatives, poses a serious challenge for the farmers;

Or. en

Amendment 80

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni

Motion for a resolution

Recital F b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F b. whereas, despite accelerated authorisation procedures, certain crops may still face a shortage of viable protection alternatives, potentially undermining the productivity and competitiveness of the sector;

Or. en

Amendment 81

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

G. whereas biological control allows

G. whereas biological control allows

farmers to reduce their use of **‘traditional’ PPPs** and the associated risks by providing safe and effective alternatives, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

farmers to reduce their use of **chemical pesticides** and the associated risks by providing safe and effective alternatives, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public **and animal health**;

Or. en

Amendment 82

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas biological control allows farmers to reduce their use of **‘traditional’ PPPs** and the associated risks by providing **safe** and effective alternatives, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Amendment

G. whereas biological control allows farmers to reduce their use of **chemical PPPs** and the associated risks by providing **generally safer** and effective alternatives, thereby **contributing to healthy soils**, reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, **water quality**, food chains and public health;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas biological control allows farmers to reduce their use of **‘traditional’ PPPs** and the associated **risks** by providing safe and effective alternatives, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Amendment

G. whereas biological control allows, **depending on the context**, farmers to reduce their use of **conventional PPPs** and the **risks sometimes** associated **with them** by providing safe and effective alternatives, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Or. fr

Amendment 84
Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas biological **control allows** farmers to reduce their use of **‘traditional’** PPPs and the associated risks by providing safe and effective alternatives, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Amendment

G. whereas biological **plant protection products allow** farmers to reduce their use of **synthetic** PPPs and the associated risks by providing safe and effective alternatives, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Or. nl

Amendment 85
Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas biological control allows farmers to reduce their use of ‘traditional’ PPPs and the associated risks by providing safe and effective alternatives, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Amendment

G. whereas biological control allows farmers, **in some cases**, to reduce their use of ‘traditional’ PPPs and the associated risks by providing safe and effective alternatives, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Or. pt

Amendment 86
Mireia Borrás Pabón

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas biological control **allows**

Amendment

G. whereas biological control **can**

farmers to reduce **their** use of 'traditional' PPPs **and the associated risks** by providing safe and effective alternatives, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

allow farmers to reduce **the** use of 'traditional' PPPs by providing safe and effective alternatives, thereby reducing **production costs and** impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Or. es

Amendment 87

Stefan Köhler, Alexander Bernhuber, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas biological control **allows** farmers to reduce their use of 'traditional' PPPs **and the associated risks** by providing safe and effective **alternatives**, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Amendment

G. whereas biological control **can enable** farmers to reduce their use of 'traditional' PPPs by providing safe and effective **additions to the farmers' toolbox**, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Or. en

Amendment 88

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas biological control allows farmers to reduce their use of '**traditional**' PPPs and the associated risks by providing safe and effective alternatives, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Amendment

G. whereas biological control allows farmers to reduce their use of **synthetic** PPPs and the associated risks by providing safe and effective alternatives, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Or. en

Amendment 89

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas biological control *allows farmers to reduce their use of ‘traditional’ PPPs and the associated risks by providing safe and effective alternatives*, thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Amendment

G. whereas biological control *is associated with low toxicity and environmental pollution to complement traditional plant protection products* thereby reducing impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems, food chains and public health;

Or. en

Amendment 90

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Recital G a (new)

Motion for a resolution

G a. whereas biological control should be one of the preferred options for non-professional users, together with other non-chemical control or prevention methods;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 91

Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the availability of alternatives incentivises the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices;

Amendment

H. whereas the availability of alternatives incentivises the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices, *although it is acknowledged that*

effective alternatives are not available for all plant health problems and that the use of safe plant protection products should not be prohibited without equivalent, affordable alternatives;

Or. pt

Amendment 92
Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the availability of alternatives *incentivises* the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices;

Amendment

H. whereas the availability of *suitable* alternatives, *the effective implementation of the Sustainable Use Directive (SUD) including Integrated Pest Management (IPM), and adequate support to farmers serve to incentivise* the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices



Or. en

Amendment 93
Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the availability of alternatives incentivises the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices;

Amendment

H. whereas the availability of alternatives incentivises the adoption of low pesticide-input *chemical* pest management practices, *while ensuring the right balance is struck between effectiveness and costs in a context in which European agricultural output and farmers' incomes are falling;*

Or. fr

Amendment 94

Laurence Trochu, Veronika Vrecionová, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the availability of alternatives incentivises the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices;

Amendment

H. whereas the availability of alternatives incentivises the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices; ***whereas the availability of safe, affordable and efficient alternative to traditional plant protection products is a first prerequisite and important step;***

Or. en

Amendment 95

Mireia Borrás Pabón

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the availability of alternatives ***incentivises*** the adoption of ***low pesticide-input*** pest management practices;

Amendment

H. whereas the availability of alternatives ***can incentivise*** the adoption of pest management practices ***that entail a reduction in pesticide input;***

Or. es

Amendment 96

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the availability of alternatives ***incentivises*** the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices;

Amendment

H. whereas the availability of ***biological*** alternatives ***can facilitate*** the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices;

Amendment 97

Benoit Cassart, Jeannette Baljeu, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Katri Kulmuni

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the availability of alternatives incentivises the adoption of **low** pesticide-input pest management practices;

Amendment

H. whereas the availability of alternatives incentivises the adoption of **lower chemical** pesticide-input pest management practices;

Amendment 98

Laurence Trochu, Veronika Vrecionová, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

H a. whereas it is of outmost importance to keep available and approved a broad range of plant protection products to tackle emerging or adapting pests; whereas this availability is not compatible with a premature phasing-out of traditional plant protection products and measures should be considered in order to keep them available where necessary;

Amendment 99

Vytenis Povilas Andriukaitis

Motion for a resolution

Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

H a. whereas biological control methods can be combined with conventional plant protection methods, that also continue to play an important role in ensuring food security at the EU level;

Or. en

Amendment 100

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital H b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

H b. whereas Europe's leadership in sustainable agriculture depends on retaining and attracting innovation; whereas long, expensive and complex authorisation procedures for biological control products risk driving R&D and investment to non-EU countries; whereas a competitive, science-based, and innovation-friendly regulatory environment is essential for ensuring both sustainability and productivity in the EU agri-food sector;

Or. en

Amendment 101

Laurence Trochu, Veronika Vrecionová, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital H c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

H c. whereas the EU's outermost regions face unique agro-ecological challenges, including tropical or subtropical climates, specific pest pressures, and logistical constraints due to their geographical remoteness, which require tailored approaches to the authorization and uptake of biological control agents; whereas ensuring rapid access to safe and effective biological control products, adapted to the specific crops and pest profiles of these regions, is essential to support sustainable agriculture, food security, and the economic viability of farmers in outermost regions;

Or. en

Amendment 102

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital H d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

H d. whereas the lengthy approval process is already having a significant impact on the availability of plant protection solutions; whereas, based on current authorisation timelines, over 100 active substances expected to be submitted between 2024 and 2028 may not receive approval before 2031 to 2037, significantly delaying their entry to the market;

Or. en

Amendment 103

Stefan Köhler, Alexander Bernhuber, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas there is often a lack of expertise, experience and resources required to process biological control applications, and this is a significant obstacle to their market introduction, as guidelines and data requirements do not match the specific characteristics of biological control agents, leading to excessive burdens, costs, lengthy approval procedures and legal deadlines not being met;

Amendment

I. whereas there is often a lack of **microbiological** expertise, experience and resources required to process biological control applications, and this is a significant obstacle to their market introduction, as guidelines and data requirements do not match the specific characteristics of biological control agents, leading to excessive burdens, costs, lengthy approval procedures and legal deadlines not being met; **whereas failure to address and remedy the aforementioned situation could have serious implications for the European food security, the innovativeness as well as the competitiveness of the European agricultural sector as opposed to other regions of the world;**

Or. en

Amendment 104 Anja Arndt

Motion for a resolution Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas there is often a lack of expertise, experience and resources required to process biological control applications, and this is a significant obstacle to their market introduction, as guidelines and data requirements do not match the specific characteristics of biological control agents, leading to excessive burdens, costs, lengthy approval procedures and legal deadlines not being met;

Amendment

I. whereas there is **a lack of scientific research and therefore** often a lack of expertise, experience and resources required to process biological control applications, and this is a significant obstacle to their market introduction, as guidelines and data requirements do not match the specific characteristics of biological control agents, leading to excessive burdens, costs, lengthy approval procedures and legal deadlines not being met;

Or. de

Amendment 105

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas there ***is often*** a lack of expertise, experience and resources required to process biological control applications, and this ***is*** a significant obstacle to their market introduction, as guidelines and data requirements do not match the specific characteristics of biological control agents, leading to excessive burdens, costs, lengthy approval procedures and legal deadlines not being met;

Amendment

I. whereas there ***might be*** a lack of expertise, experience and resources required to process biological control applications ***for approval, in particular at Member State level***, and this ***could be*** a significant obstacle to their market introduction, as guidelines and data requirements do not match the specific characteristics of biological control agents, leading to excessive ***and possibly irrelevant*** burdens, costs, lengthy approval procedures and legal deadlines not being met;



Or. en

Amendment 106

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas there is often a lack of expertise, experience and resources required to process biological ***control*** applications, and this is a significant obstacle to their market introduction, as guidelines and data requirements do not match the specific characteristics of biological ***control agents***, leading to excessive burdens, costs, lengthy approval procedures and legal deadlines not being met;

Amendment

I. whereas there is often a lack of expertise, experience and resources required to process biological ***plant protection product*** applications, and this is a significant obstacle to their market introduction, as guidelines and data requirements do not match the specific characteristics of biological ***plant protection products***, leading to excessive burdens, costs, lengthy approval procedures and legal deadlines not being met;

Amendment 107

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas there is often a lack of expertise, experience and resources required to process biological control applications, and this is a significant obstacle to their market introduction, as guidelines and data requirements do not match the specific characteristics of biological control agents, leading to **excessive burdens, costs**, lengthy approval procedures and legal deadlines not being met;

Amendment

I. whereas there is often a lack of expertise, experience and resources required to process biological control applications **in EFSA and in Member States Competent Authorities**, and this is a significant obstacle to their market introduction, as guidelines and data requirements do not match the specific characteristics of biological control agents, leading to lengthy approval procedures and legal deadlines not being met;

Or. en

Amendment 108

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas there is often a lack of expertise, experience and resources required to process biological control applications, and this is a significant obstacle to their market introduction, as guidelines and data requirements do not match the specific characteristics of biological control **agents**, leading to excessive burdens, costs, lengthy approval procedures and legal deadlines not being met;

Amendment

I. whereas there is often a lack of expertise, experience and resources required to process biological control applications, and this is a significant obstacle to their market introduction, as guidelines and data requirements do not match the specific characteristics of biological control **tools**, leading to excessive burdens, costs, lengthy approval procedures and legal deadlines not being met;

Or. en

Amendment 109
Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution
Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ia. whereas the entry, establishment and spread of plant pests are a threat to the sustainability of agriculture, forests, natural ecosystems, biodiversity and environmental balance; whereas factors like international trade, mobility of people, climate change and extreme weather events contribute to making pests and plant health risks more common; whereas new exotic pest species pose an additional risk to Union agriculture and forestry production systems as well as to native flora and fauna; whereas the introduction of natural enemies from the pest's region of origin can form part of an effective control strategy, although it is not free of risk to local ecosystems; it is considered essential that, before any sterile male insects, acarids and nematodes are introduced, a thorough scientific assessment is made of the potential impact on plant health and biodiversity based on a standard methodology and including possible adverse effects on non-target species and ecosystems, as well as on biodiversity in general;

Or. pt

Amendment 110
Laurence Trochu, Veronika Vrecionová, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Recital I a (new)

I a. whereas the zonal system for the mutual recognition of plant protection product authorizations, as established under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, can lead to delays, inconsistencies, and fragmentation in authorization processes across Member States, particularly for biological control agents, due to differences in the capacities of national competent authorities and regional conditions; whereas phasing out this zonal system would enable a more harmonized and centralized approach, accelerating market access for biological control products while ensuring high standards of safety and efficacy;

Or. en

Amendment 111

Aurelijus Veryga, Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu

Motion for a resolution

Recital I a (new)

I a. whereas the zonal system for the mutual recognition of plant protection product authorizations, as established under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, has led to delays, inconsistencies, and fragmentation in authorization processes across Member States, due to differences in the capacities of national competent authorities and regional conditions; whereas phasing out this zonal system would allow for a more harmonized and centralized approach, accelerating market access for PPPs and biological control products, while maintaining high standards of safety and efficacy;

Or. en

Amendment 112

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution

Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I a. whereas the effective deployment of biocontrol products often depends on adapted application techniques highlighting the need for continued investment in training, infrastructure, and advisory support to ensure their successful uptake;

Or. en

Amendment 113

Laurence Trochu, Veronika Vrecionová, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital I b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I b. whereas the temporary authorizations provided under Directive 91/414/EEC allowed for controlled, real-world testing of plant protection products, including biological control agents, to generate valuable real-world data; whereas introducing a comparable mechanism granting initial authorizations for biological control agents without residues, possibly with conditions limiting crops, duration, or application methods where necessary and justified, would accelerate market access for biological control agents, support innovation, and provide robust data to justify full authorizations of up to 15 years, while ensuring food safety and environmental protection;

Amendment 114
Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution
Recital I b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ib. whereas greater harmonisation between Member States could push forward the development and placing on the market of ACB insurance to create new opportunities for agriculture and forestry production systems and for the effective control of plant pests, while at the same time ensuring human health and environment are protected;

Or. pt

Amendment 115
Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas legal certainty, consistency and prioritisation are essential for fostering trust and supporting market uptake of biological **control** products;

J. whereas legal certainty, consistency and prioritisation are essential for fostering trust and supporting market uptake of biological **plant protection** products;

Or. nl

Amendment 116
Mireia Borrás Pabón

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas legal certainty, consistency **and prioritisation** are essential for fostering trust and supporting market uptake of biological control products;

J. whereas legal certainty **and** consistency are essential for fostering trust and supporting market uptake of biological control products;

Or. es

Amendment 117
Krzysztof Hetman

Motion for a resolution
Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J a. whereas European farmers often face serious challenges resulting from banning or drastically restricting certain plant protection products or the maximum levels of certain substances used in these products without providing any viable alternative; whereas such situation might be observed in many sectors of agriculture, including a very serious crisis faced predominantly by the producers of black, red and white currants, as well as apples, pears, cherries, raspberries and other agricultural products, as a direct result of the Commission Regulation (EU) 2025/158 of 29 January 2025 amending Annex II to Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council lowering maximum residue levels for acetamiprid in or on certain products without an adequate transition period and alternative solutions in place;

Or. en

Amendment 118
Mireia Borrás Pabón

Motion for a resolution
Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ja. ***whereas effective use of biological control products often requires new application methods and integrated pest management strategies and, therefore, requires greater investment to promote the effective adoption of biological control practices;***

Or. es

Amendment 119

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J a. ***whereas there is a backlog in the review of chemical pesticides that have been on the market for more than ten years; whereas this leads to automatic renewals of authorisations; whereas this means that dangerous substances remain on the market for too long;***

Or. en

Amendment 120

Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution

Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J a. ***whereas the development, authorisation and promotion of biological control products should be consistent with organic farming principles and standards, ensuring they support rather than undermine agro-ecological and input-reduction objectives;***

Amendment 121

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J a. whereas active substances used in plant protection products may be approved for an initial period of up to 15 years, depending on their classification, and such approvals may be renewed for a period not exceeding 15 years;

Or. en

Amendment 122

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution

Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J a. whereas for the effective use of biocontrol products new application methods and IPM strategies are often required, and thus further investments are needed to promote the effective uptake of biocontrol practices;

Or. en

Amendment 123

Martin Hojsík, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J a. whereas 1,1 million citizens supported the European Citizens Initiative Save Bees and Farmers, asking to phase out synthetic pesticides by 2035, to restore biodiversity, and to support farmers in the transition.

Or. en

Amendment 124

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital J b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J b. whereas around 420 active substances are currently approved at EU level, a significant reduction from the approximately 1,000 substances present on the market in the 1990s, before the large-scale review programme led to the withdrawal of many of them; whereas EU policy in recent years has actively encouraged the inclusion of more biological and low-risk substances, such as micro-organisms, plant extracts and pheromones, alongside traditional compounds; whereas farmers need to always have access to effective treatments options notwithstanding the nature of these options; whereas relevant authorities should then be granted enough resources to dedicate teams to the assessment of applications of both biocontrol products and traditional plant protection products in order to reduce their time-to-market;

Or. en

Amendment 125

Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution
Recital J b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J b. whereas invertebrate biological control agents (IBCA)s, such as beneficial insects, mites and nematodes, play an essential role in integrated pest management and organic farming systems; whereas, unlike substance-based biological control products, IBCAs are not currently covered by Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and remain subject to diverse and fragmented national rules, which limits their market uptake and safe use across the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 126
Martin Hojsík, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution
Recital J b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J b. whereas there is a proven potential risk between the use and exposure of certain pesticides to pollinators, as non-target species; whereas the Parliament called for better protection of wild and managed pollinators from risks stemming from pesticides and biocides; whereas the authorisation of biological control tools must protect the non-target species, which provide an essential input into agricultural production;

Or. en

Amendment 127
Mireia Borrás Pabón

Motion for a resolution

Recital J b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jb. whereas an accelerated authorisation process will contribute to the overall availability of new PPPs, but a more proactive and specific policy is needed for crops for which there are very few alternatives expected to be available to producers that will enable them to continue being productive or competitive;

Or. es

**Amendment 128
Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Motion for a resolution
Recital J b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J b. whereas an accelerated authorisation process will contribute to the overall availability of new plant protection products, but a more proactive and targeted policy is needed for crops for which growers are expected to have too few alternatives at hand to remain productive or competitive;

Or. en

**Amendment 129
Krzysztof Hetman**

**Motion for a resolution
Recital J b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J b. whereas in the Commission's Vision for Agriculture and Food of 19 February 2025, the Commission itself recognised the need to carefully consider

any ban of plant protection products if alternatives are not yet available;

Or. en

Amendment 130

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital J c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J c. whereas by now, there are less than 80 low-risk active substances approved for use in pesticides; whereas if an active substance is not renewed, either due to a lack of application by the producer or because it no longer meets updated safety criteria, it is withdrawn from the EU positive list, resulting in the discontinuation of all plant protection products containing that active substance after a phase-out period;

Or. en

Amendment 131

Martin Hojsík, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Recital J c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J c. whereas according to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 , plant protection products shall have no unacceptable effects on non-target species, including on the ongoing behaviour of those species; whereas the European Food Safety Authority has been tasked to adopt scientific methods to assess such effects, including when it comes to biological control, to reduce the risks:

Amendment 132
Krzysztof Hetman

Motion for a resolution
Recital J c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J c. whereas it takes over 2,5 times more time to register a plant protection product in the EU than in the USA with the average registration time in the EU amounting to 65,7 months and the average registration time in the USA amounting to 25,7 months^{1a};

^{1a} Pest Manag Sci. 2018 Aug 27;75(1):87–103. doi: 10.1002/ps.5133

Amendment 133
Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution
Recital J d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J d. whereas according to the European Commission's planning, the approvals of 28 active substances are scheduled to expire between 2025 and 2028 as part of the sixth renewal programme, and are currently undergoing evaluation for potential renewal^{1a}, which directly affects the availability of plant protection products based on them; whereas between 2019 and 2023, approximately 60 active substances lost their approval due to non-renewal, and a comparable number of approvals are expected to expire in the next 2-3 years,

which could lead to the withdrawal of hundreds of authorised plant protection products across the EU, given that each substance is typically used in multiple product formulations;

1a

https://food.ec.europa.eu/plants/pesticides/approval-active-substances-safeners-and-synergists/renewal-approval_en#:~:text=The%206th%20renewal%20programme

Or. en

Amendment 134

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital J f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***J f.** whereas the shift to biological control methods must be implemented in a manner that safeguards the EU's food security, economic competitiveness, and farmers' livelihoods; whereas the uptake of biological control agents must be accompanied by support mechanisms and flexibility to ensure that European agriculture remains safe, productive and resilient;*

Or. en

Amendment 135

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital J f (new)

J f. whereas the current EU regulatory framework results in long approval times for biological control agents, possibly taking in reality on average 7 to 10 years to bring such products to the market, compared to 1 to 3 years in other global regions; whereas this regulatory lag is discouraging innovation and driving investment away from Europe, thereby undermining the Union's strategic goal of becoming a global leader in sustainable agriculture;

Or. en

Amendment 136

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital J g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J g. whereas phasing out traditional plant protection products without ensuring the timely availability of safe, affordable and effective biological alternatives may undermine the EU's food production capacity and food sovereignty, reduce yields, and threaten the economic viability of farms; whereas maintaining a competitive and productive European agriculture sector requires prioritising the approval and uptake of biocontrol tools that allow farmers to meet both environmental goals and market demands;

Or. en

Amendment 137

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution
Recital J h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J h. whereas a pragmatic and proportionate approach to pesticide reduction is needed to maintain food security and competitiveness;

Or. en

Amendment 138

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution
Recital J i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J i. whereas small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) represent approximately 70% of biological control products manufacturers in Europe; whereas these companies are key drivers of sustainable innovation and should be supported through simplified procedures, access to funding, and a predictable regulatory environment to prevent relocation of production and ensure Europe's leadership in sustainable agricultural solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 139

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution
Recital J j (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J j. whereas the approval of active substances for plant protection products and biological control agents in the EU entails significant costs, with overall investments, including data generation, regulatory fees, and national authorisations, estimated between €1.5 and €4 million per substance; whereas the subsequent renewal of such approvals also involves considerable expenses, with fees set at Member State level and typically ranging from €10,000 to over €100,000 depending on the dossier complexity and the national authority involved;

Or. en

Amendment 140
Majdouline Sbai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph -1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

-1. Stresses that conventional pesticides have significant impacts on human health and the environment, with associated economic costs; emphasises that it is therefore of paramount importance to strictly apply the approval criteria of Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 and to continue to reduce the use of such pesticides in favour of lower risk biocontrol solutions; notes the degree of progress made to replace highly hazardous active substances and the continued need to substantially reduce the use and risk of pesticides in general;

Or. en

Amendment 141
Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear legal definition of biological control agents and a framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control products in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear legal definition of biological control agents and a framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control products in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; ***stresses the need for this definition and the framework for authorisation to include strict environmental and biodiversity impact assessment criteria harmonised at EU level, in order to avoid diverging standards among Member States***; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

Or. ro

Amendment 142

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear legal definition of biological control agents and a framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control products in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear legal definition of biological control agents and a framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control products in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation, ***while properly assessing and managing the associated risks and maintaining a high level of protection of health and the environment***; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a

competitive EU biological control sector;

Or. en

Amendment 143
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear legal definition of biological control agents and a framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control products in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear legal definition of biological control agents and a framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control products, ***including reintroducing provisional authorisations in line with Article 30 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009***, in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

Or. en

Amendment 144
Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear legal definition of biological control agents ***and*** a framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control products in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear legal definition of biological control agents, ***clear data requirements and updated guidance documents that create together*** a framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control products

prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

Or. en

Amendment 145
Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear legal definition of biological **control agents** and a framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological **control** products in order to **enhance** legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive **EU** biological **control** sector;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear **EU-wide** legal definition of biological **plant protection products, active substances and products** and a framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological **active substances and products**, in order to **increase** legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive **European** biological **plant protection** sector;

Or. nl

Amendment 146
Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls on the Commission to provide

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to provide

a clear legal definition of biological control agents and **a** framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control products in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; **notes that** regulatory **certainty will also facilitate innovation among** small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

a clear legal definition of biological control agents and **an adapted** framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control products in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; **stresses that this framework should support innovation, reduce regulatory burden especially for** small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

Or. en

Amendment 147

Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear legal definition of biological control **agents** and a framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control products in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear **EU-wide** legal definition of biological control **solutions** and a framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control **active substances and** products in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector

Or. en

Amendment 148

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear legal definition of biological control agents and a framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control products in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear legal definition of biological control agents and a framework for the accelerated ***approval and*** authorisation of biological control products in order to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

Or. en

Amendment 149

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear ***legal definition of biological control agents and a*** framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control ***products in order*** to enhance legal certainty, foster investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to provide a clear ***distinct, enabling regulatory*** framework for the accelerated authorisation of biological control ***tools*** to enhance legal certainty, foster ***Union's innovation efforts and*** investment in sustainable alternatives and prevent market fragmentation; notes that regulatory certainty will also facilitate innovation among small and medium-sized enterprises and stimulate the development of a competitive EU biological control sector;

Or. en

Amendment 150

Jessika Van Leeuwen, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. *Stresses the importance of explicitly including biological control agents in future legislative frameworks, such as the upcoming EU Biotech Act, in order to align innovation in biocontrol with broader bioeconomy objectives and ensure legal clarity;*

Or. en

Amendment 151

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. *Verzoekt de Commissie in 2025, in het kader van het vereenvoudigingspakket in het vierde kwartaal, een voorstel indienen om de toegang van groene gewasbeschermingsmiddelen tot de EU-markt te versnellen.*

Or. en

Amendment 152

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. *Calls, at the same time, for technical and financial support for SMEs in the authorisation process in order to support innovation and competitiveness in the biological control sector;*

Or. ro

Amendment 153

Benoit Cassart, Jeannette Baljeu, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must be compatible with the system of mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, in line with Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009;

Amendment

2. Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must be compatible with the system of mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, in line with Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009; ***encourages Member States to use the mutual recognition procedure in order to shorten the authorisation procedure and to accelerate the uptake and availability of biocontrol agents across the EU;***

Or. en

Amendment 154

Jessika Van Leeuwen, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must be compatible with the system of mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, in line with Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009;

Amendment

2. Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must be compatible with the system of mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, in line with Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009 ***and encourages the automatic recognition of biological control authorisations granted by other Member States, provided that equivalent scientific standards and safety levels are met;***

Or. en

Amendment 155

Anna Strolenberg, Majdouline Sbai
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must ***be compatible with the system of*** mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, ***in line with*** Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009;

Amendment

2. Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must ***facilitate*** mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, ***amending*** Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009 ***to allow that an authorisation granted in accordance with Article 29 is automatically recognized in all Member States, therefore creating one geographic zone;***

Or. en

Amendment 156

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must ***be compatible with the system of*** mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, in line with Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009;

Amendment

2. Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must ***facilitate*** mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, in line with Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009, ***reducing burdens related to duplication of national assessments while respecting the precautionary principle and local conditions (zone difference);***

Or. en

Amendment 157

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must be compatible with the system of mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, in line with Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009;

Amendment

2. Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must be compatible with the system of mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, in line with Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009; ***calls for automatic mutual recognition of organic pesticides;***

Or. nl

Amendment 158
Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must be compatible with the system of mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, in line with Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009;

Amendment

2. Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must be compatible with the system of mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, in line with Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009, ***in order to avoid any ambiguity or extension of the authorisation period;***

Or. ro

Amendment 159
Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. ***Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must be compatible with the system of mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, in line with Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009;***

Amendment

2. ***Calls for amendment of Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009 to ensure automatic mutual recognition of authorisations granted in line with Article 29 Regulation 1107/2009.***

Amendment 160

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. *Notes that EU biological control authorisation procedures must be compatible with the system of mutual recognition of Member State authorisations, in line with Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009;*

Amendment

2. *Calls on the Member States to give priority to requests for mutual recognition of authorisations for biological control products submitted in accordance with Article 40 of Regulation 1107/2009;*

Or. en

Amendment 161

Flavio Tosi, Salvatore De Meo, Herbert Dorfmann, Letizia Moratti, Massimiliano Salini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. *Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 in order to strengthen, operationalise and render automatic the principle of mutual recognition laid down in Article 40, thus ensuring that plant protection products – including biological control agents and low-risk products – that are authorised in one Member State are automatically recognised without further requests or assessments in other Member States; stresses that this approach would avoid bureaucratic duplication, facilitate the uptake by farmers of sustainable solutions and improve uniform market access;*

Or. it

Amendment 162

Laurence Trochu, Veronika Vrecionová, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. Calls on the Commission to phase out the zonal system for the mutual recognition of biological control product authorizations, in favour of a centralized and harmonized EU-level approach for the evaluation and authorization of biological control products; emphasizes that this reform should reduce delays, simplify procedures for innovators, particularly SMEs, and ensure faster and equitable access to biological control products for farmers across all Member States, while maintaining rigorous standards for human health and environmental protection;



Or. en

Amendment 163

Aurelijus Veryga, Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. Calls on the Commission to phase out the zonal system for the mutual recognition of Plant Protection Product (PPP) and biological control product authorizations, in favour of a centralized and harmonized EU-level approach to the evaluation and authorization processes; emphasizes that such reform should reduce delays, simplify procedures, and ensure faster and more equitable access for farmers across all Member States, while maintaining rigorous standards for human health and environmental

protection;

Or. en

Amendment 164

Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. *Calls for an ambitious review of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 with a view to eliminating administrative barriers to marketing authorisation that hinder access to the market for plant-protection solutions and to increasing the competitiveness of the biocontrol sector, while maintaining enhanced surveillance for solutions containing living organisms (macro- and micro-organisms) that are foreign to the target environment, in order to ensure that introducing such products is safe for the environment;*

Or. fr

Amendment 165

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. *In order to ensure that the mutual recognition procedure can be fully implemented, calls on the Commission to pay particular attention to the implementation of Article 40 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 in its implementation report, and to take follow-up initiatives to ensure its full implementation where appropriate;*

Amendment 166

Jessika Van Leeuwen, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. Calls for the creation of a single EU authorisation zone for biological control agents, separate from the current zonal system for conventional plant protection products, in order to avoid duplication of assessments and reduce delays in market access;

Or. en

Amendment 167

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Considers that the Member States **should expedite application processes by extending** the authorisation of already authorised **PPPs containing solely** biological control products to minor uses;

3. Calls on the Member States **to prioritize requests for extension of authorisations for minor uses for already authorised biological control products in accordance with Article 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009; calls in particular on Member States to facilitate or encourage the submission of applications to extend** the authorisation of already authorised biological control products to minor uses **as provided in Article 51, paragraph 3 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009;**

Or. en

Amendment 168

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Member States should expedite application processes by extending the authorisation of already authorised PPPs containing solely biological control products to minor uses;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Member States should expedite application processes by extending the authorisation of already authorised PPPs containing solely biological control products to minor uses ***where possible, based on thorough risk assessments and in line with the One Health approach and the precautionary principle;***

Or. en

Amendment 169

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Member States should expedite application processes by extending the authorisation of already authorised PPPs containing solely biological control products to minor uses;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Member States should expedite application processes by ***automatically*** extending the authorisation of already authorised PPPs containing solely biological control products to minor uses ***unless the competent authority identifies a specific and scientifically justified risk within a clearly defined period;***

Or. en

Amendment 170
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Member States should expedite application processes by extending the authorisation of already authorised PPPs containing solely biological control products to minor uses;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Member States should expedite application processes by extending the authorisation of already authorised PPPs containing solely biological control products to minor uses ***such as most controlled environment agriculture (CEA) and orchard cultivations***;

Or. en

Amendment 171
Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. ***Considers that*** the Member States ***should expedite*** application ***processes by*** extending the authorisation of ***already authorised PPPs containing solely biological control products to minor uses***;

Amendment

3. ***Calls on*** the Member States ***to speed up*** application ***procedures by automatically*** extending the authorisation of ***green PPPs to all crops***;

Or. nl

Amendment 172
Flavio Tosi, Salvatore De Meo, Herbert Dorfmann, Letizia Moratti, Massimiliano Salini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Member States should expedite application processes by extending the authorisation of already authorised PPPs containing ***solely*** biological control products to minor uses;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Member States should expedite application processes by extending the authorisation of already authorised PPPs containing biological control products ***and low-risk products*** to minor uses;

Or. it

Amendment 173

Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Member States ***should expedite application processes by extending*** the authorisation of already authorised ***PPPs containing solely biological control products to minor uses***;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Member States ***shall facilitate the extension of*** the authorisation of already authorised ***biocontrol PPPs for a specific pest to all crops***;

Or. en

Amendment 174

Mireia Borrás Pabón

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Member States should expedite application processes by extending the authorisation of already authorised PPPs containing ***solely*** biological control products to minor uses;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Member States should expedite application processes by extending the authorisation of already authorised PPPs containing ***low-risk products and*** biological control products to minor uses;

Or. es

Amendment 175

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Considers it regrettable that although the 2030 pesticide reduction targets were announced in the Farm to

Fork Strategy in May 2020, the Commission's proposals regarding alternative pesticides have been very limited, and only in the last quarter of 2024 did the Commission announce a package of measures to accelerate the placing on the market of biopesticides, which may include certain biological control agents;

Or. ro

Amendment 176
Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Calls for authorisations of biological plant protection products to be granted in principle for an indefinite period, unless there are objective grounds to limit the authorisation in time or new scientific or technical insights arise during the term that justify re-evaluation.

Or. nl

Amendment 177
Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Calls on the Commission to ensure the effective implementation and enforcement of Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and Council of 21 October 2009, particularly in relation to the timely processing of authorisations and integrated pest management;

Amendment 178

Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Calls for the removal of automatic renewal process for biocontrol – unless new scientific and technical ground arises

Or. en

Amendment 179

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3b. Urges the Commission to implement a European certification method for farmers who solely apply biological control agents, to enable them to automatically comply with certain GAEC standards or other conditionality requirements under the future CAP;

Or. ro

Amendment 180

Sander Smit, Jessika Van Leeuwen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3b. Recalls Article 30 which provides for the possibility of provisional

admission; calls for the introduction of a provisional authorisation for biocontrol plant protection products by amending Article 30 of Regulation 1107/2009.

Or. nl

Amendment 181

Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 b. *Calls for the establishment of provisional authorisation for biological control products by amending Article 30 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.*

Or. en

Amendment 182

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3c. *Draws attention to the need for a detailed assessment of the technical and economic implications of replacing current plant protection substances with biological or biopesticide alternatives, taking into account pertinent examples, such as that of neonicotinoids, where the effectiveness of the alternatives proposed has proved to be limited in some regions; draws attention to the significant production losses and economic consequences that may arise in the absence of effective alternatives to plant protection products that have been withdrawn;*

Amendment 183
Sander Smit, Jessika Van Leeuwen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3c. whereas alternative testing methods are needed that are tailored to the intrinsic properties of biological pesticides and do not automatically follow the testing standards for chemicals; whereas the precautionary principle, as set out in COM(2000)1, should be applied proportionately, also taking into account the likelihood of exposure to the substance in question;^{1 a}

^{1 a} Galli, M., Feldmann, F., Vogler, U. K., & Kogel, K.-H. (2024). Can biocontrol be the game-changer in integrated pest management? A review of definitions, methods and strategies. *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection*.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s41348-024-00745-3>

Amendment 184
Majdouline Sbai
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 c. Welcomes the Commission's recent proposal to ban two PFAS pesticides (flufenacet and flutolanil), while regretting that 31 PFAS pesticides remain approved for use; calls on the

*Commission to review the approval of all
PFAS pesticides;*

Or. en

Amendment 185

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

**Motion for a resolution
Subheading 2**

Motion for a resolution

A simple accelerated authorisation process

Amendment

Short-term actions: A simple accelerated authorisation process

Or. en

Amendment 186

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

**Motion for a resolution
Subheading 2**

Motion for a resolution

A simple accelerated authorisation process

Amendment

A ***robust***, simple accelerated authorisation process

Or. en

Amendment 187

Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4**

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including

Amendment

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including

through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment; *acknowledges that the entry, establishment and spread of plant pests are a threat to the sustainability of agriculture, forests, natural ecosystems, biodiversity and environmental balance; that factors like international trade, mobility of people, climate change and extreme weather events contribute to making pests and plant health risks more common; that new exotic pest species pose an additional risk to Union agriculture and forestry production systems as well as to native flora and fauna; that the introduction of natural enemies from the pest's region of origin can form part of an effective control strategy, although it is not free of risk to local ecosystems; considers it essential, however, that, before any sterile male insects, acarids and nematodes are introduced, a thorough scientific assessment is made of the potential impact on plant health and biodiversity based on a standard methodology and including possible adverse effects on non-target species and ecosystems, as well as on biodiversity in general;*

Or. pt

Amendment 188
Krzysztof Hetman

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the

Amendment

4. ***Calls on the Commission to analyse the current process of plant protection products authorisation and registration***, recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for ***plant protection products, including*** biological control products, including

environment;

through the establishment of fast-track procedures, ***reducing the complexity of evaluation process and unnecessary bureaucratic burden***, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 189

Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Amendment

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, ***in order to reduce costs and delays***, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health, ***neighbouring farms*** and the environment, ***and guaranteeing the effectiveness of solutions, which would otherwise damage the image of the sector among conventional farmers***;

Or. fr

Amendment 190

Stefan Köhler, Alexander Bernhuber, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the

Amendment

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures ***to better keep in line with legal evaluation deadlines, while also***

environment;

respecting other ongoing assessment procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment ***as biological control agents can also pose risks to humans, animals and the environment***;

Or. en

Amendment 191

Paolo Inselvini, Antonella Sberna, Pietro Fiocchi, Michele Picaro, Nicola Procaccini, Sergio Berlato, Stefano Cavedagna

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Amendment

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including ***alien insect parasitoids, also*** through the establishment of fast-track procedures – ***which would accelerate the placing on the market of biological control products and enable rapid responses to plant protection emergencies threatening European crops*** – while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Or. it

Amendment 192

Carlo Fidanza, Sergio Berlato

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the

Amendment

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including ***alien insect parasitoids, also*** through the establishment of fast-track procedures – ***which would accelerate the placing on the***

environment;

market of biological control products and enable rapid responses to plant protection emergencies threatening European crops
– while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Or. it

Amendment 193

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Amendment

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment; ***emphasises the need to simplify procedures based on risk assessment proportional to the nature of biological agents, and avoid delays that would disadvantage EU innovators and farmers;***

Or. en

Amendment 194

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wieszik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the

Amendment

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the

environment;

environment; ***calls, furthermore, on the Commission to consider establishing an approval mechanism for specific low-risk biocontrol categories at Union level;***

Or. en

Amendment 195

Raffaele Stancanelli, Roberto Vannacci, Isabella Tovaglieri, Silvia Sardone

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Amendment

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including ***parasitoids targeting alien insect species***, through the establishment of fast-track procedures, ***in order to facilitate market access and enable timely responses to plant health emergencies threatening European crops***, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 196

Benoit Cassart, Jeannette Baljeu, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Amendment

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures ***to reduce the time for approval to two years***, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the

environment *as well as food security*;

Or. en

Amendment 197

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Amendment

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ***properly assessing and managing the associated risks and*** ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 198

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for ***human*** health and the environment;

Amendment

4. Recommends ***optimising,*** streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a ***thorough risk assessment, ensuring a*** high level of protection for health and the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 199

Anna Strolenberg, Majdouline Sbai

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Amendment

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation **and re-approval** procedures for biological control products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 200

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological **control** products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Amendment

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological **active substances and** products, including through the establishment of fast-track procedures, while ensuring a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Or. nl

Amendment 201

Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including

Amendment

4. Recommends streamlining and accelerating the authorisation procedures for biological control products, including

through the establishment of fast-track procedures, ***while ensuring a high*** level of protection for human health and the environment;

through the establishment of fast-track procedures, ***without impacting on the*** level of protection for human health and the environment;

Or. ro

Amendment 202

Flavio Tosi, Salvatore De Meo, Herbert Dorfmann, Letizia Moratti, Massimiliano Salini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Highlights the urgent need to effectively address emerging threats to plant health caused by invasive alien insects like the stink-bug (*Halyomorpha halys*) and recommends establishing fast-track authorisation procedures for the placing of alien insect parasitoids and other specific biological control agents on the market; highlights that these measures are essential to ensuring rapid, effective and sustainable responses to threats to European crops while maintaining high safety standards for human health and the environment.

Or. it

Amendment 203

Anna Strolenberg, Majdouline Sbai
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological control

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological control

products, and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that uses less harmful active substances;

products, and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that uses less harmful active substances; ***stresses, however, that such biological control products shall only be approved if they meet the approval criteria set out in Article 4(1) to (5) and in points 3.6 to 3.10 of Annex II to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009;***

Or. en

Amendment 204

Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological control products, and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that uses less harmful active substances;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological control products, and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that uses less harmful active substances, ***while ensuring that this streamlining of authorisation procedures neither compromises efficacy criteria nor forms part of an approach that excludes conventional solutions which have no credible alternatives;***

Or. fr

Amendment 205

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls ***on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009*** to allow the

Amendment

5. Calls ***for amendment of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009*** to allow

Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological **control** products, **and to accelerate their** approval, **in order to move towards** plant protection **processes that uses** less harmful active substances;

the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological **plant protection** products, **so as to make authorisation procedures more efficient and speed up the** approval of such **products; stresses that this is necessary to increase the supply of available** plant protection **products in the short term and support the move to systems with** less harmful active substances.

Or. nl

Amendment 206

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls on the Commission to **amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow** the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological control products, and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that uses less harmful active substances;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to **propose a targeted revision of Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 to enable** the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological control products, and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that uses less harmful **chemical** active substances;

Or. en

Amendment 207

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment

of applications for biological control products, and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that uses less harmful active substances;

of applications for biological control products, ***to facilitate the renewal process of biocontrol agents that have already been approved*** and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that uses less harmful active substances;

Or. en

Amendment 208

Mireia Borrás Pabón

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological control products, and to accelerate their approval, ***in order to move towards plant protection processes that uses less harmful active substances;***

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological control products, and to accelerate their approval;

Or. es

Amendment 209

Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological control products, and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that ***uses*** less harmful active substances;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological control products, and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that ***use*** less harmful active substances, ***but ones which ensure increased plant protection;***

Amendment 210

Stefan Köhler, Alexander Bernhuber, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological control products, and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that uses less harmful active substances;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009, **as part of a simplification package**, to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological control products, and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that uses less harmful active substances;

Or. en

Amendment 211
Krzysztof Hetman

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for biological control products, and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that uses less harmful active substances;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to amend Regulation 1107/2009 to allow the Member States to accelerate the assessment of applications for **plant protection products, including** biological control products, and to accelerate their approval, in order to move towards plant protection processes that uses less harmful active substances;

Or. en

Amendment 212

Laurence Trochu, Veronika Vrecionová, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5 a. Calls on the Commission to introduce a mechanism for "initial authorizations", allowing controlled real-world testing of biological control agents under specific conditions, such as limited crops, duration, or application methods, where necessary and justified; emphasizes that such initial authorizations should facilitate the generation of real-world data to support rapid full authorizations of up to 15 years if the data are conclusive with a proper post-marketing phytopharmacovigilance, thereby accelerating market access, supporting innovators, particularly SMEs, and ensuring rigorous safety and environmental standards;



Or. en

Amendment 213

Flavio Tosi, Salvatore De Meo, Herbert Dorfmann, Letizia Moratti, Massimiliano Salini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Calls on the Commission to establish a system within the current regulatory framework for granting provisional authorisation for biopesticides and low-risk substances that would allow Member States to temporarily authorise the placing on the market of biopesticides and low-risk substances containing new active substances that have not yet been approved with a view to ensuring rapid and effective responses which address the urgent needs of farmers and plant

protection emergencies;

Or. it

Amendment 214

Anja Arndt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5 a. *Calls for efficient and national authorisation authorities for producers of biological plant protection products, as well as clear, simpler and faster authorisation procedures. Authorisation procedures should be accelerated in the future and, in principle, should not exceed 3 years in order to make the urgently needed biological plant protection products available to farmers within a shorter period of time.*



Or. de

Amendment 215

Flavio Tosi, Salvatore De Meo, Herbert Dorfmann, Letizia Moratti, Massimiliano Salini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5b. *Calls on the Commission to adapt Regulation 1107/2009 in order to ensure that no active substance used to protect crops is withdrawn in the absence of equally effective and affordable technical alternatives in line with Articles 4(2) and 30 of that Regulation.*

Or. it

Amendment 216
Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls for the **Commission's accompanying impact** assessment to **evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between 'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents**, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, **while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;**

Amendment

6. Calls for the **mandatory introduction of an accelerated** assessment **procedure for the approval of** biological control products, within the approval procedures for active substances **and plant protection products** under Regulation 1107/2009,



Or. nl

Amendment 217
Benoit Cassart, Jeannette Baljeu, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between 'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory

Amendment

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between 'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls **on the Commission**

establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

to consider allowing provisional authorisation of biocontrol agents if the active substances meet all the requirements under article 30 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments; underlines that the establishment of a priority lane for authorising biocontrol products must not lead to longer authorisation timeline for ‘traditional’ PPPs;

Or. en

Amendment 218

Christine Schneider, Alexander Bernhuber, Lena Düpont, Stefan Köhler, Marion Walsmann, Norbert Lins

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between 'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

Amendment

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between 'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments; ***calls for the effectiveness of biological control products to be scientifically verified***

through independent testing and assessed by an authority independent of the Member State concerned as part of the authorisation procedure; calls for the results to be made publicly available including in comparison with ‘traditional’ plant protection products.

Or. de

Amendment 219
Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls for the Commission’s accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between ‘*traditional*’ PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

Amendment

6. Calls for the Commission’s accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between ‘*conventional*’ PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments; ***calls for the accompanying impact assessment to identify where amendments to the current regulatory are insufficient to achieve a fit-for purpose and robust framework for bringing biocontrol solutions to market;***

Or. en

Amendment 220
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between 'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

Amendment

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between 'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments; ***advocates not requiring re-registration for authorised biological pesticides in order to widen the availability of resources and assessment capacity;***



Or. nl

Amendment 221
Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, ***and consider the differences between 'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements,*** mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for

Amendment

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures ***and to facilitate*** mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing

approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

Or. fr

Amendment 222

Anna Strolenberg, Majdouline Sbai
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 6**

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between '*traditional*' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

Amendment

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between '*conventional*' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops, ***while ensuring that risk assessment protocols for non-target organisms are maintained for biological control products***; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

Or. en

Amendment 223

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 6**

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls ***for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between 'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops***; calls for the ***obligatory*** establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control ***agents***, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, ***while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments***;

Amendment

6. Calls ***on the Commission, when preparing the targeted revision referred to in paragraph 5, to also evaluate the appropriateness of current assessment and approval procedures under Regulation 1107/2009 for the assessment and approval of biological control products, inter alia, in terms of information requirements, approval criteria, mutual recognition***; calls for the establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control ***active substances and products***, within the approval procedures for active substances ***and products*** under Regulation 1107/2009;

Or. en

Amendment 224

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between ***'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops***; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control ***agents***, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific

Amendment

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between ***synthetic*** PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control ***substances and products***, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control ***tools***, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific

and technical developments;

and technical developments;

Or. en

Amendment 225

Stefan Köhler, Alexander Bernhuber, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between 'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a **priority** lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

Amendment

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between 'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a **dedicated** lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

Or. en

Amendment 226

Céline Imart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between '**traditional**' PPPs, on the one hand, and

Amendment

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between '**conventional**' PPPs, on the one hand, and

biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a **priority** lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the obligatory establishment of a **dedicated** lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

Or. fr

Amendment 227

Mireia Borrás Pabón

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between 'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the **obligatory** establishment of a **priority lane** for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

Amendment

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between 'traditional' PPPs, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the establishment of **simplified mechanisms** for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

Or. es

Amendment 228

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between **'traditional' PPPs**, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the **obligatory** establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

Amendment

6. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to evaluate current approval procedures, and consider the differences between **chemical pesticides**, on the one hand, and biological control products, on the other, in terms of data requirements, mutual recognition and the possibility of extending biological control product authorisation to additional crops; calls for the establishment of a priority lane for approving biological control agents, within the approval procedures for active substances under Regulation 1107/2009, while also assessing and updating approval procedures in accordance with scientific and technical developments;

Or. en

Amendment 229
Herbert Dorfmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. calls for the accompanying impact assessment to identify where amendments to the current regulatory are insufficient to achieve a fit-for-purpose, dedicated, and robust framework for bringing biocontrol products to market as called for in the final report of the Strategic Dialogue on the Future of EU Agriculture and to fulfil farmers' "need for a more advanced toolbox to be able to farm in a nature-friendly way" noted by the European Commission in its Vision for Agriculture and Food; calls on the European Commission to present a legislative proposal concerning the

placing on the market of plant protection products containing solely active substances exerting biological control to address the aforementioned insufficiencies.

Or. en

Amendment 230

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Calls on the Commission to consider a dedicated legislative framework or a tailored fast-track pathway for biological control products, based on an impact assessment, distinct from traditional plant protection products to reflect their specific risk profiles and avoid regulatory bottlenecks; highlights the potential of regulatory sandboxes and provisional authorisation models to accelerate safe market entry;

Or. en

Amendment 231

Majdouline Sbai

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Recalls that the authorisation procedure and risk assessment should take into consideration all risks associated with release into the environment of living organisms or their constituent parts, with regard to risks to non-target species,

especially beneficial species, in the habitats where they are released to, or are spread to, or are distributed to.

Or. en

Amendment 232

Stefan Köhler, Alexander Bernhuber, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Calls for the Commission's accompanying impact assessment to identify the need for further legislation to create a robust framework for biocontrols also in regards to the acceleration of bringing biocontrol products to market, with the goal of creating a comprehensive toolbox for farmers in Europe to adequately respond to current and future challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 233

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Stresses, at the same time, that any fast-tracking of procedures for the placing of biocontrol products on the market must be subject to rigorous scientific assessment, including risk analysis and validation of efficacy under a range of agro-climatic conditions, in order to avoid any undue risks for producers and ecosystems;

Amendment 234

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Calls on the Commission to develop tailored and proportionate risk assessment protocols for each biocontrol category; believes, furthermore, that provisional, conditional authorisations should be enabled and coupled with necessary monitoring, after which the authorisations could become permanent if safety of the tool is proven;

Or. en

Amendment 235

Jessika Van Leeuwen, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Calls on the Commission to allow the provisional authorisation of biological control products under Article 30 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, where possible and underlines that such a mechanism can accelerate access while maintaining high safety standards;

Or. en

Amendment 236

Galato Alexandraki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Calls on the Commission to establish an accelerated procedure for reviewing and modifying authorisations of biological agents in the event of the emergence of new, unforeseen or mutant pests, while respecting environmental and consumer safety;

Or. el

Amendment 237

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 b. Calls on the Commission and Member States to create and implement adaptive management frameworks, allowing conditional approvals, and promoting transparency and stakeholder involvement in managing potential risks; calls, in this regard, on the Commission and Member States to perform targeted monitoring to detect any unintended effects, via digital and other reporting tools, to enable real-time environmental feedback;

Or. en

Amendment 238

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 c. Reminds the Commission and

Member States of the key goals of the Roadmap for action on the environmental risk assessment of chemicals for insect pollinators (IPol-ERA) and the PollinERA initiative, aiming to improve the evaluation of the risk and impacts of all pesticides on insect pollinators, based on one system framework.

Or. en

Amendment 239

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants during the application preparation process, to create simple procedures and to designate dedicated helpdesks; notes the importance of updated guidance documents specific to biological control products in enhancing consistency across the Member States;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants, ***especially SMEs***, during the application preparation process, to create simple procedures and to designate dedicated helpdesks; notes the importance of updated guidance documents specific to biological control products in enhancing consistency across the Member States; ***calls on the Rapporteur Member States to ensure that their competent authorities are well equipped to deal with the applications for biocontrol agents and highlight the benefits of exchanging best practice measures between Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 240 Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants during the application preparation process, to create simple procedures and to designate dedicated helpdesks; notes the importance of updated guidance documents specific to biological control products in enhancing consistency across the Member States;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants during the application preparation process, to create simple procedures and to designate dedicated helpdesks, ***especially for small and medium-sized enterprises, by streamlining data requirements and promoting proportionate risk assessments adapted to low-risk profile of many biocontrol products***; notes the importance of updated guidance documents specific to biological control products in enhancing consistency across the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 241

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants during the application preparation process, to create simple procedures and to designate dedicated helpdesks; ***notes the importance of*** updated guidance documents specific to biological control products in enhancing consistency across the Member States;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants during the application preparation process, to create simple procedures and to designate dedicated helpdesks; ***calls on the Commission to adopt an*** updated guidance documents specific to biological control products in enhancing consistency across the Member States; ***underlines that this should be done without delay and well ahead of the preparation and adoption of any new legislation, which may take several years to complete;***

Or. en

Amendment 242

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. ***Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants during the application preparation process, to create simple procedures and to designate dedicated helpdesks;*** notes the importance of updated guidance documents specific to biological control products in enhancing consistency across the Member States;

Amendment

7. Notes the importance of updated guidance documents specific to biological control products in ***promoting their uptake and in*** enhancing consistency across the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 243
Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants during the application preparation process, to create ***simple*** procedures and to designate dedicated helpdesks; notes the importance of updated guidance documents specific to biological control products in enhancing consistency across the Member States;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to ***micro- and small business that are*** applicants ***for biocontrol applications*** during the application preparation process, to create procedures ***that are easy to understand*** and to designate dedicated helpdesks; notes the importance of updated guidance documents specific to biological control products in enhancing consistency across the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 244
Stefan Köhler, Alexander Bernhuber, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants during the application preparation process, to create simple procedures and to designate dedicated helpdesks; notes the importance of updated guidance documents specific to biological control products in enhancing consistency across the Member States;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants during the application preparation process, to create simple **and clear** procedures **and timelines** and to designate dedicated helpdesks; notes the importance of updated guidance documents specific to biological control products in enhancing consistency across the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 245

Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants during the application preparation process, to create simple procedures and to designate dedicated helpdesks; notes the importance of updated guidance documents specific to biological control products in enhancing consistency across the Member States;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants during the application preparation process, to create simple procedures and to designate dedicated helpdesks; notes the importance of updated, **harmonised**, guidance documents specific to biological control products in enhancing consistency across the Member States;

Or. ro

Amendment 246

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants during the application preparation process, to create simple procedures and to

Amendment

7. Calls on the Member States to provide technical support to applicants during the application preparation process, to create simple procedures and to

designate dedicated helpdesks; notes the importance of updated guidance documents specific to biological **control** products in enhancing consistency across the Member States;

designate dedicated helpdesks; notes the importance of updated guidance documents specific to biological **plant protection** products in enhancing consistency across the Member States;

Or. nl

Amendment 247

Benoit Cassart, Jeannette Baljeu, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Calls on EFSA to create a one-stop shop for biocontrol agents to provide clear guidance, and support to applicants, especially to SMEs, on dossier preparation, data requirements and submission procedures, with the aim of improving the quality of applications, reducing delays in the assessment and authorisation process and facilitating the entry of authorised biocontrol agents on EU markets;

Or. en

Amendment 248

Galato Alexandraki

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure that the authorisation and registration procedures for biological agents do not entail new administrative or financial burdens, in particular for small and medium-sized

holdings and enterprises, with simplified procedures and free technical support;

Or. el

Amendment 249

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to assess the functioning of the relevant national competent authorities, and for the Member States' National Action Plans (NAPs), under Directive 2009/128/EC², to include planned and adopted measures to improve the authorisation procedures for biological control and other low-risk products;

² Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2009/128/oj>).

Amendment

8. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to assess the functioning of the relevant national competent authorities, *by means of evaluations that include clear administrative performance and institutional capacity indicators*, and for the Member States' National Action Plans (NAPs), under Directive 2009/128/EC², to include planned and adopted measures to improve the authorisation procedures for biological control and other low-risk products;

² Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2009/128/oj>).

Or. ro

Amendment 250

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Calls on the Commission and the

Amendment

8. Calls on the Commission and the

Member States to assess the functioning of the relevant national competent authorities, and **for** the Member States' National Action Plans (NAPs), under Directive 2009/128/EC², **to include planned and adopted** measures to improve the authorisation procedures for biological control and other low-risk products;

² Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2009/128/oj>).

Member States to assess the functioning of the relevant national competent authorities, and **on** the Member States' **to ensure that their** National Action Plans (NAPs), under Directive 2009/128/EC², **are fully implemented and result-oriented in the application of the Integrated Pest Management, including by adopting** measures to improve the authorisation procedures for biological control and other low-risk products;

² Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2009/128/oj>).

Or. en

Amendment 251

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to assess the **functioning of** the relevant national competent authorities, and for the Member States' National Action Plans (NAPs), under Directive 2009/128/EC², to include planned and adopted measures to improve the authorisation procedures for biological control and other low-risk products;

² Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the

Amendment

8. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to assess the **appropriateness of the resources allocated to respectively EFSA and** the relevant national competent authorities **to fulfil their tasks**, and for the Member States' National Action Plans (NAPs), under Directive 2009/128/EC², to include planned and adopted measures to improve the authorisation procedures for biological control and other low-risk products;

² Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the

sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2009/128/oj>).

sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2009/128/oj>).

Or. en

Amendment 252

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to assess the functioning of the relevant national competent authorities, and for the Member States' National Action Plans (NAPs), under Directive 2009/128/EC², to include planned and adopted measures to improve the authorisation procedures for biological **control** and other low-risk products;

² Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2009/128/oj>).

Amendment

8. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to assess the functioning of the relevant national competent authorities, and for the Member States' National Action Plans (NAPs), under Directive 2009/128/EC², to include planned and adopted measures to improve the authorisation procedures for biological **plant protection products** and other low-risk products;

² Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2009/128/oj>).

Or. nl

Amendment 253

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to assess the functioning of

Amendment

8. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to assess the functioning of

the relevant national competent authorities, and for the Member States' National Action Plans (NAPs), under Directive 2009/128/EC², to include planned and adopted measures to improve the authorisation procedures for biological control **and other low-risk products**;

² Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2009/128/oj>).

the relevant national competent authorities, and for the Member States' National Action Plans (NAPs), under Directive 2009/128/EC², to include planned and adopted measures to improve the authorisation procedures for biological control;

² Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2009/128/oj>).

Or. en

Amendment 254

Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Calls on **the Commission and** the Member States to assess the functioning of the relevant national competent authorities, and for the Member States' National Action Plans (NAPs), under Directive 2009/128/EC², to include planned and adopted measures to improve the authorisation procedures for biological control and other low-risk products;

² Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2009/128/oj>).

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States to assess the functioning of the relevant national competent authorities, and for the Member States' National Action Plans (NAPs), under Directive 2009/128/EC², to include planned and adopted measures to improve the authorisation procedures for biological control and other low-risk products;

² Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2009/128/oj>).

Or. fr

Amendment 255

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. Emphasises that speeding up the assessment and authorisation of biocontrol products must not lead to an even greater backlog in the review of chemical pesticides that have been on the market for more than ten years; emphasises that investment is needed in (re)assessment capacity in the Member States and the EFSA; calls for an end to automatic renewals of authorisations and for the most dangerous products to be re-evaluated as a matter of priority;

Or. en

Amendment 256

Galato Alexandraki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Calls on the Commission to set up a 'Zero Bureaucracy' pilot fund to trial the implementation of simplified and accelerated authorisation procedures for biological agents, with a focus on products from European small and medium-sized enterprises and cooperatives. The results should be made public so that they can be utilised throughout Europe;

Or. el

Amendment 257

Céline Imart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. *calls on the Commission to amend Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 to ensure more effective use is made of the provisions of Article 4(7) authorising, by way of derogation, the use of an active substance where there is no effective and affordable alternative;*

Or. fr

Amendment 258

Céline Imart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8b. *Calls on the Commission to strengthen the principle of mutual recognition in order to ensure that a plant-protection product, including biocontrol products recognised in one Member State is automatically recognised in all Member States without the need to apply for authorisation in each Member State.*

Or. fr

Amendment 259

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on ‘traditional’ PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Amendment

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on ‘traditional’ PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; ***underlines that biocontrol agents should be recognised as one of the components of a broad and balanced toolbox available to farmers, alongside other crop protection methods such as ‘traditional’ PPPs where no cost-effective and efficient alternatives are available;*** insists that broader uptake ***of biocontrol agents*** can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental, ***food security*** and health objectives; ***while ensuring that farmers are not left without effective alternatives to protect their crops; highlights that this should be considered especially when phasing-out any substance from the market;***



Or. en

Amendment 260

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on ***‘traditional’*** PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Amendment

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on ***chemical*** PPPs; stresses that biological control agents ***can*** offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake ***of biological control*** can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives, ***in particular reducing the use of PPPs***

and their risks to human health and the environment, and promoting the use of integrated pest management and of alternative approaches or techniques such as non-chemical alternatives to pesticides;

Or. en

Amendment 261
Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on ‘traditional’ PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Amendment

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on ‘traditional’ PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives; *emphasises, nonetheless, that in certain situations traditional plant health products remain the only technically viable alternative and that their use cannot be called into question, provided that all the safety requirements for public health are met in full;*

Or. pt

Amendment 262
Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches

Amendment

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches

in reducing the dependency on **'traditional'** PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with **minimal** off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

in reducing the dependency on **synthetic** PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with **smaller** off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake, **coupled with necessary education of land managers on effects of application of various biocontrol tools**, can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 263

Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on **'traditional'** PPPs; stresses that biological control **agents** offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Amendment

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on **conventional** PPPs; stresses that **authorised** biological control **solutions** offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake **of these solutions** can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives **without undermining agricultural productivity or farmers' incomes**;

Or. fr

Amendment 264

Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on '**traditional**' PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Amendment

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on '**conventional**' PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives **by reducing the use of conventional synthetic pesticides** ;

Or. en

Amendment 265

Stefan Köhler, Alexander Bernhuber, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recognises the high **substitution** potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on 'traditional' PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Amendment

9. Recognises the high potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on 'traditional' PPPs, **thus making them a promising addition to the farmers' toolbox**; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 266

Laurence Trochu, Veronika Vrecionová, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on ‘traditional’ PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Amendment

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on ‘traditional’ PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental, **food security and safety**; and health objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 267

Raffaele Stancanelli, Roberto Vannacci, Isabella Tovaglieri, Silvia Sardone

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of **biological control** approaches in reducing the dependency on ‘**traditional**’ PPPs; stresses that **biological control** agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Amendment

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of **biocontrol** approaches in reducing the dependency on **conventional** PPPs; stresses that **safe, effective and affordable biocontrol** agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 268

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological **control approaches** in reducing the dependency on **‘traditional’** PPPs; stresses that biological **control agents** offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Amendment

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological **plant protection products** in reducing the dependency on **synthetic** PPPs; stresses that biological **plant protection products** offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Or. nl

Amendment 269

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on **‘traditional’** PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Amendment

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on **chemical pesticides**; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 270

Céline Imart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on **‘traditional’** PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

9. Recognises the high substitution potential of biological control approaches in reducing the dependency on **conventional** PPPs; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives;

Or. fr

Amendment 271
Mireia Borrás Pabón

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recognises the **high substitution** potential of biological control approaches **in reducing the dependency on ‘traditional’ PPPs**; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that **broader uptake can thus significantly contribute to achieving EU environmental and health objectives**;

Amendment

9. Recognises the potential of biological control approaches; stresses that biological control agents offer targeted action with minimal off-target or residual effects, making them compatible with IPM and organic farming practices; insists that **‘traditional’ PPPs and, if applicable, biological control agents are essential to ensuring the viability of the EU’s agri-food sector and to guaranteeing EU food sovereignty**;

Or. es

Amendment 272
Krzysztof Hetman

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Calls on the Commission to carefully consider any ban of plant

protection products or any modification of the maximum levels for certain substances used in plant protection products if no effective alternatives are available on the market, as specified in the Commission's Vision for Agriculture and Food of 19 February 2025; calls on the Commission to conduct a thorough impact assessment and a thorough analysis of alternatives available on the market, as well as to conduct consultation with representatives of affected sectors of agriculture before reaching a decision concerning any ban of plant protection products or any modification of the maximum levels for certain substances used in plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 273

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Stresses that the facilitation of the authorisation and uptake of biological control must be enshrined in a broader objective of strengthening the uptake and scale-up of integrated pest management and reducing the risks and use of chemical PPPs; calls on the Commission and the Member States, in coordination with farmers, to launch a coordinated action to reinforce the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC by making use of easier access to biocontrol products, to revise and strengthen their National Action Plans, and to accompany farmers in transitioning toward more sustainable practices;

Or. en

Amendment 274

Flavio Tosi, Salvatore De Meo, Herbert Dorfmann, Letizia Moratti, Massimiliano Salini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Calls on the Commission to assess and, if necessary, propose amendments to Regulation (EU) 2018/848 in order to automatically allow the use of biological control agents – including those which, like pelargonic acid, already fall under the definition of biological control agent – in organic production, with a view to promoting the use of natural and effective crop protection solutions that are compatible with organic farming, thereby reducing dependence on synthetic chemical products and enhancing the sustainability and competitiveness of agricultural businesses;

Or. it

Amendment 275

Cristina Maestre

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Calls on the Commission to strengthen the principle of mutual recognition established in Article 40 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 to ensure that a product authorized in one Member State is automatically authorized in all Member States, without the need to apply for authorization in each single Member State; insists that this should apply for all plant protection products that may access the EU market, including biocontrol and low-risk plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 276
Carlo Fidanza, Sergio Berlato

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Calls on the Commission to promote a genuine and effective principle of mutual recognition for biological control agents authorised in other Member States located in the same climate zone with a view to ensuring free movement and faster and more uniform market access for these agents, thereby avoiding the duplication of assessments and bureaucracy which is hampering the uptake by farmers of sustainable tools.

Or. it

Amendment 277
Paolo Inselvini, Antonella Sberna, Pietro Fiocchi, Michele Picaro, Nicola Procaccini, Sergio Berlato, Stefano Cavedagna

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Calls on the Commission to promote a genuine and effective principle of mutual recognition for biological control agents authorised in other Member States located in the same climate zone with a view to ensuring free movement and faster and more uniform market access for these agents, thereby avoiding the duplication of assessments and bureaucracy which is hampering the uptake by farmers of sustainable tools.

Or. it

Amendment 278

Raffaele Stancanelli, Roberto Vannacci, Isabella Tovaglieri, Silvia Sardone

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Calls on the Commission to promote a genuine and effective principle of mutual recognition for biological control agents authorised in other Member States belonging to the same climatic zone, in order to ensure their free movement and facilitate faster and more consistent access to the European market, while avoiding duplication of national assessments and dossiers that hinder the adoption of sustainable tools by farmers;

Or. en

Amendment 279

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Stresses that the fast-track registration and placing on the European market of biological control agents provides an opportunity to combat the phenomenon of resistance to diseases and plant protection products, helping to promote more sustainable agricultural practices and increase the competitiveness and resilience of the agricultural sector;

Or. ro

Amendment 280

Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Calls on the Commission to present as soon as possible a legislative proposal for a new regulatory framework dedicated to biocontrol solutions, which should facilitate a centralized risk assessment procedure for the evaluation of all biocontrol applications and should provide for authorisation of biocontrol solutions at EU level directly;

Or. en

Amendment 281

Mireia Borrás Pabón

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Calls on the Commission to adapt Regulation 1107/2009 to improve the efficacy of its articles intended to ensure that no active ingredient of any kind in PPPs is withdrawn unless an effective and affordable alternative is available, as is established in Articles 4, 7 and 30;

Or. es

Amendment 282

Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Calls on the Commission to present, by 2028, a proposal for a new regulatory framework dedicated to

biocontrol, which should be an EFSA centralised risk assessment procedure for the evaluation of all biocontrol applications;

Or. en

Amendment 283

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Stresses that biological control which is used in combination with other protective measures must complement rather than prematurely replace traditional plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 284

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Underlines that a proper implementation and enforcement of integrated pest management is crucial to ensure food security within the planetary boundaries;

Or. en

Amendment 285

Benoit Cassart, Jeannette Baljeu, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***Long-term actions: A new framework for
biocontrol agents***

Or. en

Amendment 286

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***9 a. Underlines that biocontrol agents
have specific characteristics and different
risk profiles from ‘traditional PPP’;***

Or. en



Amendment 287

Carlo Fidanza, Sergio Berlato

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***9b. Calls on the Commission to assess
and, if necessary, propose amendments to
Regulation (EU) 2018/848 in order to
make biological control agents –
including those which, like pelargonic
acid, already fall under the definition of
biological control agent – directly eligible
for organic production, with a view to
promoting the deployment of natural and
effective crop protection solutions,
reducing dependence on synthetic
chemical products and enhancing the***

*sustainability and competitiveness of
European agricultural businesses;*

Or. it

Amendment 288

**Paolo Inselvini, Antonella Sberna, Pietro Fiocchi, Michele Picaro, Nicola Procaccini,
Sergio Berlato, Stefano Cavedagna**

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9b. Calls on the Commission to assess and, if necessary, propose amendments to Regulation (EU) 2018/848 in order to make biological control agents – including those which, like pelargonic acid, already fall under the definition of biological control agent – directly eligible for organic production, with a view to promoting the deployment of natural and effective crop protection solutions, reducing dependence on synthetic chemical products and enhancing the sustainability and competitiveness of European agricultural businesses;

Or. it

Amendment 289

Krzysztof Hetman

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 b. In the case of introduction of a ban of plant protection products or a modification of the maximum levels for certain substances used in plant protection products, calls on the Commission to ensure an appropriate transition period to allow agricultural

producers to adjust their production to new requirements; underlines that such transition period should take into account the specific nature of various agricultural products cultivation and processing methods, as well as specific crops cultivation calendars;

Or. en

Amendment 290

Raffaele Stancanelli, Roberto Vannacci, Isabella Tovaglieri, Silvia Sardone

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 b. Calls on the Commission to assess and, where appropriate, propose amendments to Regulation (EU) 2018/848 in order to make biological control agents directly eligible for use in organic farming, including those already falling under the definition of biological control agent, such as pelargonic acid, with a view to promoting the use of natural and effective crop protection solutions, reducing dependence on synthetic chemical products, and enhancing the sustainability and competitiveness of European farms;

Or. en

Amendment 291

Majdouline Sbai

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 b. Recalls that, in order to comply with the requirements of Regulation (EC)

No 1107/2009 to ensure a high level of protection of human and animal health and the environment, the prioritisation of biocontrol agents must not lead to any further delay in the risk assessment of conventional active substances;

Or. en

Amendment 292
Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 b. Stresses the needs to rapidly reduce the use of pesticides and move to ecological farming and to sustainable farming practices, in order to reduce the immense costs resulting from the harmful effects on human and animal health and on the environment;



Or. en

Amendment 293
Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 b. Stresses that biocontrol agents require clear and adapted data requirements, assessment procedures and approval timelines;

Or. en

Amendment 294

Benoit Cassart, Jeannette Baljeu, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 c. Calls on the Commission to conduct a comprehensive impact assessment of the current regulatory framework and, if appropriate, to propose a new legislative framework by 2030 at the latest dedicated solely to biocontrol agents in order to facilitate their development and uptake while ensuring food security as well as a high level of protection for human health and the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 295

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 d. Calls on the Commission to assess the possibility to streamline zonal assessment procedures for biocontrol products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, including the possibility of accelerated or automatic mutual recognition across zones, while ensuring that regional agronomic and environmental specificities are duly considered;

Or. en

Amendment 296

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Calls on the Commission to assess the needs for additional funding for training and employing additional staff within the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the Commission, in order to prevent undue delays in the authorisation process of biological control products;

Amendment

10. Calls on the Commission to assess the needs for additional funding for training and employing additional staff within the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the Commission, in order to prevent undue delays in the authorisation process of biological control products;
calls on the Commission to take appropriate follow-up actions to ensure enough funding and staff for EFSA to accelerate the authorisation procedure and uptake of biocontrol agents;

Or. en

Amendment 297

Stefan Köhler, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Calls on the Commission to assess the needs for additional funding for training and employing additional staff within the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the Commission, in order to prevent undue delays in the authorisation process of biological control products;

Amendment

10. Calls on the Commission to assess the needs for additional funding for training and employing additional staff ***with microbiological expertise*** within the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the Commission, in order to prevent undue delays in the authorisation process of biological control products;

Or. en

Amendment 298

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Calls on the Commission to assess the needs for additional funding for training and employing additional staff within the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the Commission, in order to prevent undue delays in the authorisation process of biological control **products**;

Amendment

10. Calls on the Commission to assess the needs for additional funding for training and employing additional staff within the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the Commission, in order to prevent undue delays in the authorisation process of biological control **active substances**;

Or. en

Amendment 299
Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Calls on the Commission to assess the needs for additional funding for training and employing additional staff within the **European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the Commission**, in order to prevent undue delays in the authorisation process of biological control products;

Amendment

10. Calls on the Commission **and the Member States** to assess the needs for additional funding for training and employing additional staff within the **relevant authorities** in order to prevent undue delays in the authorisation process of biological control products;

Or. fr

Amendment 300
Anja Arndt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Calls for each EU Member State to receive substantial financial support

under the Common Agricultural Policy for a single national contact point for users of conventional and biological plant protection products. The purpose of this contact point is to provide support during the authorisation procedure and advise farmers on the use of biological plant protection products. Freedom of choice between conventional and biological plant protection products must be ensured in the future;

Or. de

Amendment 301
Galato Alexandraki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Calls on the Commission to set up a European digital portal to register, assess and provide data and transparency on all authorised biological agents, with information on effectiveness, safety and environmental impact;

Or. el

Amendment 302
Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Calls on the Commission to identify resources and mechanisms to support Member States in carrying out locally-relevant tests and analyses suited to the specific pedo-climatic conditions and pest population structure in every given area;

Amendment 303

Jessika Van Leeuwen, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Calls on the Commission and EFSA to establish a dedicated unit for biological control agents within EFSA, with sufficient resources and specialised staff, to ensure tailored assessments and reduce authorisation bottlenecks;

Or. en

Amendment 304

Paolo Inselvini, Antonella Sberna, Pietro Fiocchi, Michele Picaro, Nicola Procaccini, Sergio Berlato, Stefano Cavedagna

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Calls on the Commission to allocate resources to young farmers in order to foster a fair and sustainable generational transition

Or. it

Amendment 305

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Calls on the Member States to ensure sufficient budget is ring-fenced for

11. Calls on the Member States to ensure sufficient budget is ring-fenced for

the relevant national competent authorities, in order to prevent delays in biological control authorisation procedures; insists that the Member States guarantee that the relevant national competent authorities have sufficient budget, staff and expertise to carry out assessments in a timely and effective manner; calls on the Commission to propose measures to ensure sufficient resources at Commission, EFSA and Member State level to accelerate authorisation procedures;

the relevant national competent authorities, in order to prevent delays in biological control authorisation procedures; insists that the Member States guarantee that the relevant national competent authorities have sufficient budget, staff and expertise to carry out assessments in a timely and effective manner; calls on the Commission to propose measures to ensure sufficient resources at Commission, EFSA and Member State level to accelerate authorisation procedures; ***proposes, in this regard, the establishment of minimum standards, applicable across the EU, on the administrative capacity required to assess low-risk plant protection products, including as regards biological control;***

Or. ro

Amendment 306

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Calls on the Member States to ensure sufficient budget is ring-fenced for the relevant national competent authorities, in order to prevent delays in biological control authorisation procedures; insists that the Member States guarantee that the relevant national competent authorities have sufficient budget, staff and expertise to carry out assessments in a timely and effective manner; calls on the Commission to propose measures to ensure sufficient resources at Commission, EFSA and Member State level to accelerate authorisation procedures;

Amendment

11. ***Stresses that providing adequate funding and staff are essential to prevent undue delays in the authorisation process and to accelerate the uptake of biocontrol agents; calls therefore*** on the Member States to ensure sufficient budget is ring-fenced for the relevant national competent authorities, in order to prevent delays in biological control authorisation procedures; insists that the Member States guarantee that the relevant national competent authorities have sufficient budget, staff and expertise to carry out assessments in a timely and effective manner; calls on the Commission to propose measures to ensure sufficient resources at Commission, EFSA and Member State level to accelerate

authorisation procedures;

Or. en

Amendment 307

Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Calls on the Member States to ensure sufficient budget is ring-fenced for the relevant national competent authorities, in order to prevent delays in biological control authorisation procedures; insists that the Member States guarantee that the relevant national competent authorities have sufficient budget, staff and expertise to carry out assessments in a timely and effective manner; calls on the Commission to propose measures to ensure sufficient resources at Commission, EFSA and Member State level to accelerate authorisation procedures;

Amendment

11. Calls on the Member States to ensure sufficient budget is ring-fenced for the relevant national competent authorities, in order to prevent delays in biological control authorisation procedures; insists that the Member States guarantee that the relevant national competent authorities have sufficient budget, staff and expertise to carry out assessments in a timely and effective manner; calls on the Commission to propose measures to ensure sufficient resources at Commission, EFSA and Member State level to accelerate authorisation procedures *as a matter of priority for crops where these solutions are most appropriate and where the environmental challenges are greatest*;

Or. fr

Amendment 308

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Calls on the Member States to ensure sufficient budget is ring-fenced for the relevant national competent authorities, in order to prevent delays in biological control authorisation procedures; insists that the Member States guarantee that the

Amendment

11. Calls on the Member States to ensure sufficient budget is ring-fenced for the relevant national competent authorities, in order to prevent delays in biological control authorisation procedures; insists that the Member States guarantee that the

relevant national competent authorities have sufficient budget, staff and expertise to carry out assessments in a timely and effective manner; ***calls on the Commission to propose measures to ensure sufficient resources at Commission, EFSA and Member State level to accelerate authorisation procedures;***

relevant national competent authorities have sufficient budget, staff and expertise to carry out assessments in a timely and effective manner;

Or. en

Amendment 309

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Calls on the Member States to ensure sufficient budget is ring-fenced for the relevant national competent authorities, in order to prevent delays in biological control authorisation procedures; insists that the Member States guarantee that the relevant national competent authorities have sufficient budget, staff and expertise to carry out assessments in a timely and effective manner; calls on the Commission to propose measures to ensure sufficient resources at Commission, EFSA and Member State level to accelerate authorisation procedures;

Amendment

11. Calls on the Member States to ensure sufficient budget is ring-fenced for the relevant national competent authorities, in order to prevent delays in biological control authorisation procedures ***and in re-assessment procedures for chemical pesticides***; insists that the Member States guarantee that the relevant national competent authorities have sufficient budget, staff and expertise to carry out ***(re)***assessments in a timely and effective manner; calls on the Commission to propose measures to ensure sufficient resources at Commission, EFSA and Member State level to accelerate ***approval and*** authorisation procedures;

Or. en

Amendment 310

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Calls on the Member States to ensure sufficient budget is ring-fenced for the relevant national competent authorities, in order to prevent delays in biological **control** authorisation procedures; insists that the Member States guarantee that the relevant national competent authorities have sufficient budget, staff and expertise to carry out assessments in a timely and effective manner; calls on the Commission to propose measures to ensure sufficient resources at Commission, EFSA and Member State level to accelerate authorisation procedures;

11. Calls on the Member States to ensure sufficient budget is ring-fenced for the relevant national competent authorities, in order to prevent delays in biological **plant protection product** authorisation procedures; insists that the Member States guarantee that the relevant national competent authorities have sufficient budget, staff and expertise to carry out assessments in a timely and effective manner; calls on the Commission to propose measures to ensure sufficient resources at Commission, EFSA and Member State level to accelerate authorisation procedures;

Or. nl

Amendment 311

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. Stresses the importance of supporting European biocontrol SMEs that make up the majority of the EU biocontrol sector to improve the resilience of the sector along the value chain; calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure that these innovators are not disproportionately burdened by complex regulatory and administrative procedures; encourages targeted support through relevant programmes such as Horizon Europe, Innovation Fund, or LIFE programme;

Or. en

Amendment 312

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. Stresses that transparency in all stages of the EU approval and authorisation procedures and in re-assessment procedures for chemical pesticides is insufficient and results in public mistrust in the system regulating plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 313

Jeannette Baljeu, Benoit Cassart, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Urges increased investment in public and private research and the development of biological control technologies;

12. Calls on the European Commission and on Member States to foster investment in research and development of biocontrol technologies and to prioritise research into addressing the protection gaps over the next decades in crop-pest-disease combinations through Horizon Europe and to increase investment through public and private partnerships;

Or. en

Amendment 314

Stefan Köhler, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Urges increased investment in public and private research and the development of biological control technologies;

12. Urges increased investment in public and private research and the development of biological control technologies, ***application methods and IPM strategies in combination with biocontrol as well as fostering a competitive environment that enables and nurtures European innovation in this field;***

Or. en

Amendment 315
Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Urges increased investment in public and private research and the development of biological control technologies;

Amendment

12. Urges increased investment in public and private research and the development of biological control technologies ***and in cooperation with research centres, universities and regional innovation hubs, with a particular emphasis on regions that have farming potential;***

Or. pt

Amendment 316
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Urges increased investment in public and private research and the development of biological control technologies;

Amendment

12. Urges increased investment in public and private research and the development of biological control technologies, ***application methods and IPM strategies in combination with biocontrol;***

Amendment 317

Raffaele Stancanelli, Roberto Vannacci, Isabella Tovaglieri, Silvia Sardone

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Urges increased investment in public and private research and *the* development of **biological control** technologies;

Amendment

12. Urges increased investment in public and private research and development of **biocontrol** technologies; **application methods and IPM strategies in combination with biocontrol**;

Or. en

Amendment 318

Anna Strolenberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Urges increased investment in public and private research and the development of biological control technologies;

Amendment

12. Urges increased investment in public and private research and the development of biological control technologies **and IPM strategies** ;

Or. en

Amendment 319

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Urges increased investment in public and private research and the development of biological **control**

Amendment

12. Urges increased investment in public and private research and the development of biological **plant protection**

technologies;

technologies;

Or. nl

Amendment 320
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. *Notes that while an accelerated authorization process will contribute to the overall availability of new plant protection products, but a more proactive and targeted policy is needed for crops for which growers are expected to have too few alternatives at hand to remain productive or competitive; calls on the Commission to provide an impact assessment assessing expected challenges in crop protection for the coming 10 years for specific crops, pests and diseases, and to subsequently support the development in alternative plant protection products and IPM measures;*

Or. en

Amendment 321
Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. *Calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure that public investment in research and development of biological control technologies prioritises nature-based, low-intervention solutions that are compatible with organic farming and avoid reinforcing dependency on synthetic inputs or input-*

heavy production systems; stresses that public funding should support open-access research to prevent monopolisation of biological control tools by a few large actors;

Or. en

Amendment 322
Georgiana Teodorescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Urges the European Commission to assess the exceptional situation specific to certain crops cultivated in some Member States that are geographically located at the border of the European Union and that are exposed to a series of pests and diseases, coming from neighbouring third countries using a broader range of active substances that make them resistant to the PPPs approved in the EU and which are not to be found elsewhere in the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 323
Galato Alexandraki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to specifically strengthen research and development of biological plant protection agents produced within the EU, with a focus on small and medium-sized enterprises, research institutes and academic bodies, in order to

*reduce European agriculture's
dependence on imported products and
protect local biodiversity;*

Or. el

Amendment 324
Krzysztof Hetman

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Calls on the Commission to ensure support to EU farmers reporting losses resulting from a ban of plant protection products or any modification in the maximum levels of certain substances used in plant protection products in case there were no effective alternatives available on the market or no appropriate transition period was ensured;



Or. en

Amendment 325
Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Calls on Member States and the Commission to provide more resources and funding, since this facilitation of market access must go hand in hand with increased phytosanitary surveillance to improve preventive measures, in particular by strengthening early surveillance in the biological cycle in order improve effectiveness;

Or. fr

Amendment 326

Jessika Van Leeuwen, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Recommends that EU support and funding prioritise crops and pest situations for which few or no alternative pest control solutions exist, in order to direct innovation and regulatory efforts where they are most urgently needed;

Or. en

Amendment 327

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Proposes that the Commission and the Member States encourage the widespread use of biological control agents by farmers by offering benefits and incentives for this, including in financial form;

Or. ro

Amendment 328

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Calls on the Commission to incorporate incentives and advisory services related to the IPM strategies,

*including the uptake of informed
biocontrol, in the future Common
Agricultural Policy;*

Or. en

Amendment 329

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***12 a. Calls on the Commission and the
Member States to promote and encourage
research and development of non-
chemical alternatives to pesticides and
non-animal testing methods;***

Or. en

Amendment 330

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***12a. Invites the Commission, in the
framework of the CAP review, to promote
the use of organic crop protection
through relevant instruments, such as
eco-regulations.***

Or. nl

Amendment 331

Anja Arndt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. calls for comprehensive financial support for independent research into biological plant protection products carried out in the Member States.

Or. de

Amendment 332
Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 b. Calls for targeted financial and technical support for SMEs involved in the development and marketing of biological control agents, including through Horizon Europe, national innovation programmes and the CAP Strategic Plans; underlines the importance of supporting early-stage innovation and facilitating the scaling up of SME-led biocontrol solutions to ensure a diverse and competitive market;

Or. en

Amendment 333
Jessika Van Leeuwen, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 b. Calls for the availability of an emergency toolbox allowing for the temporary and strictly controlled use of otherwise prohibited plant protection substances in times of severe agricultural crises, stresses that such a mechanism must include transparent public

communication and should only be activated under exceptional circumstances to preserve food security in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 334
Georgiana Teodorescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 b. Calls on the Commission to draft an impact assessment assessing expected challenges in crop protection for the coming 10 years for specific crops, pests and diseases, and to subsequently support the development in alternative plant protection products and IPM measures;

Or. en

Amendment 335
Galato Alexandraki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12c. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to support the creation of local partnerships between producers, universities, start-ups and cooperatives to develop innovative biological agents, making use of local biodiversity and traditional knowledge;

Or. el

Amendment 336
Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

EFSA, the organisation

Or. nl

Amendment 337
Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Notes that the use of biological control products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; notes that this is already incorporated into IPM and other production systems; calls for biological control options to be offered as part of suitably resourced, independent advisory systems that should be accessible to all **producers**;

13. Notes that the use of biological control products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; notes that this is already incorporated into IPM and other production systems ***but that wide disparities remain between Member States in access to knowledge and advisory services***; calls for ***advice on the use of*** biological control options to be offered as part of suitably resourced, ***continued***, independent advisory systems, that should be accessible to all ***farmers, which would also contribute to the objectives of the Soil Monitoring Framework Directive and other relevant frameworks***;

Or. en

Amendment 338
Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Notes that the use of biological control products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; notes that this is already incorporated into IPM and other production systems; calls for biological control options to be offered as part of suitably resourced, independent advisory systems that should be accessible to all producers;

13. Notes that the use of biological control products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; ***points out, in this regard, the need to consider soil and climate conditions in the outermost regions, which often require different solutions***; notes that this is already incorporated into IPM and other production systems; calls for biological control options to be offered as part of suitably resourced, independent advisory systems that should be accessible to all producers;

Or. pt

Amendment 339

Vytenis Povilas Andriukaitis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Notes that the use of biological control products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; notes that this is already incorporated into IPM and other production systems; calls for biological control options to be offered as part of suitably resourced, independent advisory systems that should be accessible to all producers;

Amendment

13. Notes that the use of biological control products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; notes that this is already incorporated into IPM and other production systems; calls for biological control options to be offered as part of suitably resourced, independent advisory systems that should be accessible to all producers; ***as well as facilitate access to decision support systems to ensure that farmers have access to the best advice on how to properly use biological control products***;

Or. en

Amendment 340

Stefan Köhler, Alexander Bernhuber, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Notes that the use of biological control products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; notes that this is already incorporated into IPM and other production systems; calls for biological control options to be offered as part of suitably resourced, independent advisory systems that should be accessible to all producers;

Amendment

13. Notes that the use of biological control products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; notes that this is already incorporated into IPM and other production systems, ***but underlines that further knowledge development on the effective use of biocontrol products is necessary***; calls for biological control options to be offered as part of suitably resourced, independent advisory systems that should be accessible to all producers;

Or. en

Amendment 341
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Notes that the use of biological control products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; notes that this is already incorporated into IPM and other production systems; calls for biological control options to be offered as part of suitably resourced, independent advisory systems that should be accessible to all producers;

Amendment

13. Notes that the use of biological control products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; notes that this is already ***partially*** incorporated into IPM and other production systems, ***but that more knowledge development on the effective use of biocontrol is needed***; calls for biological control options to be offered as part of suitably resourced, independent advisory systems that should be accessible to all producers;

Or. en

Amendment 342
Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Notes that the use of biological **control** products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; notes that this is already incorporated into IPM and other production systems; calls for biological **control options** to be offered as part of suitably resourced, independent advisory systems that should be accessible to all producers;

Amendment

13. Notes that the use of biological **plant protection** products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; notes that this is already incorporated into IPM and other production systems; calls for biological **plant protection products** to be offered as part of suitably resourced, independent advisory systems that should be accessible to all producers;

Or. nl

Amendment 343
Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Notes that the use of biological control products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; **notes that this is already incorporated into IPM and other production systems**; calls for biological control **options to be offered as part of suitably resourced, independent advisory systems that should be accessible to all producers**;

Amendment

13. Notes that the use of biological control products requires different approaches, knowledge bases and skill sets, particularly regarding the care of beneficial species that act to protect crops; calls **on the Member States to consider how to best facilitate targeted voluntary training and incentives for farmers transitioning to biological control and integrated pest management systems, especially SMEs and smallholders**;

Or. en

Amendment 344

Laurence Trochu, Veronika Vrecionová, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure that the specific needs of the EU's outermost regions are addressed in the authorization and uptake of biological control agents, by adapting regulatory processes to account for their unique agro-ecological conditions and pest profiles, and by providing targeted training, advisory services, and funding support to farmers and SMEs in these regions; urges the development of crop- and pest-specific guidance and knowledge platforms that reflect the realities of outermost regions to enhance their access to safe, effective, and sustainable biological control solutions;



Or. en

Amendment 345
Anna Strolenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. calls for the use of IPM to be broadened across the agricultural sectors, as envisaged in existing pesticide Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and sustainable use Directive 2009/128/EC (SUD), to increase the effectiveness and uptake of biocontrol solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 346
Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding **both** biological control approval processes **and** best practices in implementing IPM;

Amendment

14. Calls for more **cooperation**, knowledge exchange and capacity-building, regarding biological control approval processes, best practices in implementing IPM **and regarding development of schemes incentivising IPM, involving farmers and researchers in the field of biological control, taxonomy and ecology and calls to ensure adequate capacity-building in this domain for advisory services;**

Or. en

Amendment 347
Stefan Köhler, Alexander Bernhuber, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding **both** biological control approval processes **and** best practices in implementing IPM;

Amendment

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding **the** biological control approval processes **as well as the development and uptake of** best practices in implementing IPM, **across the European Union as well as with partners in third countries;**

Or. en

Amendment 348
Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding both biological control approval processes and best practices in implementing IPM;

Amendment

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding both biological control approval ***and application*** processes, ***including risk assessment for and effects on non-target species such as pollinators***, and best practices in implementing IPM;

Or. en

Amendment 349

Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding both biological control approval processes and best practices in implementing IPM;

Amendment

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding both biological control approval processes and best practices in implementing IPM ***and agro-ecological and organic plant health care strategies*** ;

Or. en

Amendment 350

Benoit Cassart, Jeannette Baljeu, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding both biological control approval processes and best practices in implementing IPM;

Amendment

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building ***between all relevant stakeholders such as researchers, applicants, and national authorities*** regarding both biological control approval

processes and best practices in
implementing IPM;

Or. en

Amendment 351
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding both biological control approval processes and best practices in implementing IPM;

Amendment

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding both biological control approval processes and ***development and uptake of*** best practices in implementing IPM ***specific for biocontrol***;

Or. en

Amendment 352
Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding both ***biological control*** approval ***processes and*** best practices in implementing IPM;

Amendment

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding both ***the*** approval ***process and the development and dissemination of*** best practices in implementing IPM;

Or. en

Amendment 353
Raffaele Stancanelli, Roberto Vannacci, Isabella Tovaglieri, Silvia Sardone

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding both **biological control** approval **processes and** best practices in implementing IPM;

Amendment

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding both **the** approval **process and development and uptake of** best practices in implementing IPM;

Or. en

Amendment 354
Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding both biological **control** approval processes and best practices in implementing IPM;

Amendment

14. Calls for more knowledge exchange and capacity-building regarding both biological **plant protection product** approval processes and best practices in implementing IPM;

Or. nl

Amendment 355
Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Calls on the Commission to create a European digital platform for the dissemination of good practices and proven biological control solutions so that farmers can make informed decisions on the most effective type of biological control for the pest population structure and pedo-climatic conditions of the farm concerned;

Or. ro

Amendment 356

Majdouline Sbai, Anna Strolenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Advocates for the application of biocontrol within the Integrated Pest Management (IPM) framework, and calls for the full implementation of IPM, which has been mandatory under the sustainable use directive 2009/128/EC (SUD) since 2014;

Or. en

Amendment 357

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Calls for details on advisory system funding, as well as training and capacities in biological control and IPM, to be included in the Member States' NAPs; calls **for the** NAPs to **include** farmers' access to crop- and pest-specific guidance that includes biological control options, their possible integration into IPM programmes and compatibility with other PPPs, where available and appropriate;

15. Calls for details on advisory system funding, as well as training and capacities in biological control and IPM, to be included in the Member States' NAPs; **stresses that the development and availability of IPM crop- and pest-specific guidance remains inconsistent between Member States; calls on the Member States to revise their** NAPs to **strengthen** farmers' access to crop- and pest-specific guidance that includes biological control options, their possible integration into IPM programmes and compatibility with other PPPs, where available and appropriate; **calls on the Commission to actively cooperate with all relevant stakeholders in order to follow-up and build on the success of the 'IPM Toolbox for farmers' pilot project by broadening the range of**

best practices available, better promoting the toolbox, and by making it a continued, permanent initiative accessible in all EU languages;

Or. en

Amendment 358

Veronika Vrecionová, Laurence Trochu, Nora Junco García, Aurelijus Veryga, Georgiana Teodorescu, Diego Solier, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Anna Zalewska

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls for details on advisory system funding, as well as training and capacities in biological control and IPM, to be included in the Member States' NAPs; calls for the NAPs to include farmers' access to crop- and pest-specific guidance that includes biological control options, their possible integration into IPM programmes and compatibility with other **PPPs**, where available and appropriate;

Amendment

15. Calls for details on advisory system funding, as well as training and capacities in biological control and IPM, to be included in the Member States' NAPs; calls for the NAPs to include farmers' access to crop- and pest-specific guidance that ***reflects regional realities and market structures that*** includes biological control options, their possible integration into IPM programmes and compatibility with other ***plant protection products***, where available and appropriate; ***encourages the development of publicly accessible EU-wide knowledge platforms, AI-based where relevant, to support farmers and advisers;***

Or. en

Amendment 359

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls for details on advisory system funding, as well as training and capacities in biological **control** and IPM, to be

Amendment

15. Calls for details on advisory system funding, as well as training and capacities in biological ***plant protection products*** and

included in the Member States' NAPs; calls for the NAPs to include farmers' access to crop- and pest-specific guidance that includes biological **control options**, their possible integration into IPM programmes and compatibility with other PPPs, where available and appropriate;

IPM, to be included in the Member States' NAPs; calls for the NAPs to include farmers' access to crop- and pest-specific guidance that includes biological **plant protection products**, their possible integration into IPM programmes and compatibility with other PPPs, where available and appropriate;

Or. nl

Amendment 360
Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 a. Salienta a necesidade de reforçar a formação e capacitação técnica dos agricultores, através de redes de demonstração e apoio técnico local, com vista a promover a utilização segura, eficaz e generalizada de agentes de controlo biológico;

Or. en

Amendment 361
Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 b. Invita Comisia Europeana sa ia in calcul resursele financiare pentru formarea profesionala a utilizatorilor pentru cunoasterea modului de utilizare a produselor de control biologic.

Or. en

Amendment 362
Sander Smit, Jessika Van Leeuwen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 c. Verzoekt de Commissie, in het kader van de evaluatie van de Europese Autoriteit voor voedselveiligheid (EFSA), die uiterlijk op 28 maart 2026 moet worden afgerond overeenkomstig artikel 61, lid 2, van Verordening (EU) 2019/1381, specifiek te beoordelen: (1) wat de oorzaken zijn van de achterstanden bij de beoordeling van aanvragen voor gewasbeschermingsmiddelen op basis van biologische controle (biocontrolemiddelen); (2) welke personele en financiële capaciteit EFSA structureel nodig heeft om dergelijke aanvragen tijdig af te handelen; en daarbij ook de beschikbare gegevens uit de jaarlijkse EFSA-jaarverslagen in ogenschouw te nemen. (3) de verdeling van middelen en personeel binnen EFSA tussen de beoordeling van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en andere wettelijke of aanvullende taken, waaronder opdrachten inzake milieu-impactanalyse;

Or. en

Amendment 363
Jessika Van Leeuwen, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 d. Verzoekt de Commissie, in het licht van de aanhoudende en structurele vertragingen bij de beoordeling van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen, waaronder biocontrolemiddelen — waardoor

belangrijke innovaties in de duurzame gewasbescherming onvoldoende beschikbaar komen voor boeren — de beoordelings- en toelatingsprocedure zodanig aan te passen dat artikel 53 van Verordening (EG) nr. 1107/2009 doelgericht kan worden ingezet voor de tijdelijke toelating van biocontrolemiddelen en andere laag-risico gewasbeschermingsmiddelen, met het oog op het zo snel mogelijk wegwerken van de bestaande achterstanden en het garanderen van de beschikbaarheid van effectieve en milieuvriendelijke alternatieven voor synthetische middelen;

Or. en

Amendment 364
Herbert Dorfmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of priority lanes for biological control product authorisation, and to propose *legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached*;

Amendment

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of priority lanes for biological control product authorisation, and to propose *a dedicated legislation for bringing biocontrol products to market that will enable a future-proof and fit-to-purpose framework; calls on the European Commission to start in 2026 the process to propose a dedicated legislation for biocontrol products as a follow-up to the amendments to the current regulation*

already presented in this report;

Or. en

Amendment 365
Georgiana Teodorescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of priority lanes for biological control product authorisation, and to propose *legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached*;

Amendment

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of priority lanes for biological control product authorisation, and to propose *a dedicated legislation for bringing biocontrol products to market that will enable a future-proof and fit-to-purpose framework; calls on the European Commission to start in 2026 the process to propose a dedicated legislation for biocontrol products*;

Or. en

Amendment 366
Daniel Buda, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate

Amendment

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate

the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of priority lanes for biological control product authorisation, and to propose legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached;

the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of priority lanes for biological control product authorisation, and to propose legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached; ***urges that this assessment be accompanied by a publicly-available report that enables transparency and progress to be monitored at national and European level, and that this report be submitted to Parliament and the Council;***

Or. ro

Amendment 367

Anne-Sophie Frigout, Gilles Pennelle, Mathilde Androuët

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the Commission, ***as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs***, to evaluate the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of priority lanes for biological control product authorisation, and to propose legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached;

Amendment

16. Calls for the Commission to evaluate the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of priority lanes for biological control product authorisation, and to propose legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached;

Or. fr

Amendment 368

Stefan Köhler, Alexander Bernhuber, Lena Düpont, Norbert Lins, Marion Walsmann, Christine Schneider

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the **sale** of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of **priority** lanes for biological control product authorisation, and to propose legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached;

Amendment

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the **market uptake** of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of **dedicated** lanes for biological control product authorisation, and to propose legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached **with the goal of creating a future-proof and fit-for-purpose framework**;

Or. en

Amendment 369

Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological **control** products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological **control**, and especially the

Amendment

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological **plant protection** products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological **plant protection**

implementation of priority lanes for biological **control** product authorisation, and to propose legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached;

products, and especially the implementation of priority lanes for biological **plant protection** product authorisation, and to propose legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached;

Or. nl

Amendment 370

Mireia Borrás Pabón

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of **priority lanes** for biological control product authorisation, and to propose legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached;

Amendment

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of **simplified routes** for biological control product authorisation, and to propose legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached;

Or. es

Amendment 371

Céline Imart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate

Amendment

16. Calls for the Commission, as part of the reviews of NAPs assessing action to reduce the use and risk of PPPs, to evaluate

the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of **priority** lanes for biological control product authorisation, and to propose legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached;

the EU's and the Member States' progress on approval of biological control products and low-risk products, looking at the associated administrative capacities, staffing levels and dedicated budgets, the sale of biological control products, the availability, affordability and efficacy of biological control, and especially the implementation of **dedicated** lanes for biological control product authorisation, and to propose legislative improvements if these aims have not been reached;

Or. fr

Amendment 372

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Stresses that for the successful uptake of biological pest control solutions, the Commission must come up with a comprehensive strategy, from boosting research to increase the number of biocontrol solutions in the pipeline, fast-tracking authorisation of biological control products, to the generalisation and strengthening of IPM, in order to increase the demand for these biological control products;

Or. en

Amendment 373

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Urges the Commission to propose

a new Regulation with binding targets to reduce and phase out the use and risk of chemical pesticides; highlights that this proposal should establish a long-term (post-2030) vision and clear pathways out of chemical pesticide dependency of European agriculture; notes that reducing the EU pesticide dependency is a driver of food security and self-sufficiency;

Or. en

Amendment 374

Martin Hojsik, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Calls on the Commission to build on the Parliament's successful pilot project and preparatory action Monitoring of pesticides via honeybees (INSIGNIA) and set-up a long-term monitoring framework, which must facilitate prioritization and speed up the authorisation of low-risk and biocontrol tools, substituting harmful contaminants, which are found to be present in Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 375

Céline Imart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Calls on the Commission to conduct an assessment to identify challenges as to the availability of plant

*protection products in the coming years
and to accordingly support the
development of alternative plant
protection products;*

Or. fr

Amendment 376

**Benoit Cassart, Jeannette Baljeu, Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer, Martin Hojsík,
Asger Christensen, Olivier Chastel, Elsi Katainen, Valérie Hayer, Michal Wiezik, Katri
Kulmuni, Pascal Canfin**

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*16 a. Stresses the importance of
providing appropriate training, technical
support and practical guidance to farmers
on the effective use of biocontrol agents;
calls on the Commission and the Member
States to promote and fund training
programmes;*



Or. en

Amendment 377

Martin Hojsík, Stine Bosse, Pascal Canfin, Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*16 b. Reminds the Commission of the
Parliament's pilot project: IPM toolbox
for farmers, which needs to become
accessible to land owners and land
managers in all Member States; calls on
the Commission to ensure its promotion
and translation into all official Union
languages and to secure the involvement
of all relevant stakeholders when
broadening the range of shared best
practices;*

Amendment 378

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 b. Recognizes the potential of digitalisation and artificial intelligence in monitoring processes, knowledge access, and dissemination of best practices on the uptake of biological control;

Or. en

Amendment 379

Christophe Clergeau, Annalisa Corrado

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 c. Calls on the Commission and Member States to boost investments and incentives aimed at enhancing digital infrastructure to ensure access to modern crop protection technologies;

Or. en

Amendment 380

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council and the

17. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council and the Commission ***and the governments and***

Commission.

parliaments of the Member States.

Or. en

