

Support measures for farmers' income in different Member States in the context of inflation and rising production costs



Understanding farm income dynamics in the EU

Agriculture is inherently exposed to price movements in both outputs and inputs. Since 2021 the sector has experienced a number of unusually large and rapid price shocks (notably in energy and fertiliser after Russia's invasion of Ukraine, and more broadly through higher general inflation). This has amplified already volatile farm incomes in the European Union (EU). As a result, many farms have been placed under additional financial stress against a background of ongoing climatic, market and geopolitical risks which complicate further the challenges faced by EU farmers.

Key factors affecting farm income in the EU

Farm income across the EU is shaped by production conditions (weather), production costs, output prices, farm structure, and policy support. Ensuring that farmers have a fair level of income is a key Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) objective. Fair incomes can ensure that production remains economically sustainable and that future generations of farmers will be attracted to working in the sector.

FARM INCOME IN THE EU

Price & costs	Heterogeneous impacts	Distributional patterns	Policy support	Existing income measurements
<p>Agricultural input prices rose sharply from 2021 onwards, e.g., fertilisers, energy, feed and other purchased inputs.</p> <p>Output prices later increased in many sectors, but those price increases were not uniform in timing or magnitude.</p> <p>In 2023 output values fell in some sectors, while input costs remained elevated, leading to a significant drop in income in some parts of agriculture.</p>	<p>Income developments differed by sector, farm size and Member State. With some exceptions, real farm income declined across Member States between 2022 and 2023, with inflation amplifying these effects in some countries.</p>	<p>Income inequality within Member States remains pronounced. A small share of farms receive a large share of total farm income. The distribution of EU income support in the form of direct payments (largely based on the farm's size in hectares) is uneven. In 2023, the top 20% of beneficiaries received 80% of support.</p>	<p>Total support payments contribute around one third of farm income on average across the EU. Recently the real value of support payments has been eroded by higher inflation, reducing their capacity to stabilise farm incomes.</p> <p>Smaller farms are, on average, more dependent on policy support payments to supplement farm income levels.</p>	<p>Approach 1: Aggregate sector income measure, treating agriculture in each Member State as one single farm.</p> <p>Approach 2: More detailed. It allows measures of farm-level income to be produced for individual farms or categories of farms.</p> <p>Limitations: Timeliness of data availability could be improved. Statistics focus on agricultural earnings and do not consider other income sources available to the farm household.</p>

Source: Authors

Farm income dynamics

In the EU, farm incomes are increasingly volatile and remain sensitive to sudden input and output shocks. Structural factors such as farm size, specialisation and location are major drivers of income differences observed across the EU. Overall, farmers are, and will continue to be, exposed to economic, climatic and geopolitical uncertainties.



Policy Department for Regional Development, Agriculture and Fisheries
Directorate-General for Cohesion, Agriculture and Social Policies (CASP)

Authors: T. DONNELLAN (TEAGASC), R. JONGENEEL (Wageningen

Social and Economic Research - WSER), H. VROLIJK (WSER),

F. THORNE (TEAGASC), J. LOUGHREY (TEAGASC),

M. VAN ASSELDONK (WSER), E. DILLON (TEAGASC),

A. GONZALEZ-MARTINEZ (WSER) (coordinator)

PE 759.350 – January 2026

EN

Addressing farm income volatility

There is a need for policy mechanisms that protect both nominal and real farm income. Looking at the EU, the targeting of agricultural support has improved, as measures aimed at young farmers, small farms, and disadvantaged areas have emerged. However, a substantial share of the available support is still derived from the hectare-related basic income support scheme. This study has identified a range of policy options that focus on income support and income stabilisation.

Looking to the future, the efficiency and targeting of income support could be improved by continuing to align payments more closely with farmers' needs, rather than associating payments with farm size. Moreover, some form of indexation of support might be considered to protect its real value. Expanding the effective use of risk management tools could further strengthen farmer resilience, but this will require further development of such tools. Complementing income support with measures that promote both sustainability and competitiveness will be essential to deliver long-term economic viability, environmental compliance and social sustainability in EU agriculture. These will be essential requirements to ensure generational renewal and resilience in the sector so that it can deliver on all its objectives.

Overall, farm income could be supported by a mixed package of policy instruments, taking into account practical considerations in the delivery of support and distributional trade offs. Challenges that need to be addressed include practical verification, effective management of administrative burden and the continuing need for monitoring and related data collection.

Policy options

- Decoupled, coupled and redistributive hectare payments
- Payments for natural handicaps
- Price support
- Input/output subsidies
- Counter cyclical payments
- Price insurance
- Revenue/margin insurance
- Yield insurance
- Farmer precautionary savings accounts
- Crisis reserves

KEY MEASURES SUPPORTING FARM INCOME IN THE EU – TRANSFER EFFICIENCY, TARGETING, CHALLENGES

Basic income support (CAP Pillar I)	Eco Schemes (CAP Pillar I)	Voluntary Coupled Support
<p>Raise average farm incomes, providing a greater degree of predictability in the level of farm income.</p> <p>Relatively simple to administer, often poorly targeted to actual needs. Their fixed nominal value has been eroded in real terms by bouts of high inflation.</p>	<p>Remuneration to farmers on the basis of income foregone for achievement of environmental actions.</p> <p>They offer weaker support to farm income than basic income support.</p>	<p>Useful in targeting support to vulnerable sectors, or to ensure strategic supply of particular farm outputs.</p> <p>Can result in perverse incentives when schemes are not well designed.</p>
Risk-management tools	National crisis measures and state aid	Market interventions
<p>Including insurance, mutual funds and income stabilisation tools.</p> <p>Useful to reduce risk exposure, but uptake of these instruments across the EU is low due to barriers such as costs.</p>	<p>For rapid crisis relief, following adverse price or production shocks.</p> <p>Made available to sectors which received little in the form of other CAP supports. Subject to national fiscal constraints.</p>	<p>Including price support and subsidies.</p> <p>Could also be distortionary (influencing the level of production and international trade); constrained by international trade rules.</p>

Source: Authors

Disclaimer and copyright. The opinions expressed in this document are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the European Parliament. Reproduction and translation for non-commercial purposes are authorised, provided the source is acknowledged and the European Parliament is given prior notice and sent a copy. © European Union, 2026.

Administrator responsible: Rachèle ROSSI Editorial assistant: Jana BERGMAN

Contact: Poldep-cohesion@ep.europa.eu, Further information: www.research4committees.blog/agri. Follow us: [@PolicyAGRI](https://www.facebook.com/PolicyAGRI)