



2025/0241(COD)

13.7.2026

AMENDMENTS

809 - 1080

Draft report

Norbert Lins

(PE787.635v01-00)



Establishing the conditions for the implementation of the Union support to the Common Agriculture Policy for the period from 2028 to 2034

Proposal for a regulation

(COM(2025)0560 – 2025/0241(COD))



Amendment 809
Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Justification

The amendment aims to retain a clear, proportionate and workable conditionality system based solely on statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A. This would ensure a common baseline across the Union, preserve legal certainty and maintain a genuine level playing field between farmers in different Member States.

The Commission proposal replaces the current concept of conditionality with the broader concept of “farm stewardship”. However, in substance, it would maintain many of the existing constraints while adding new layers of obligations, interpretation and control. This risks repeating the problems of the current conditionality system, which has already been widely criticised for creating a disproportionate administrative burden for farmers, paying agencies and national authorities.

Limiting conditionality to statutory management requirements is therefore essential. These requirements are already grounded in EU legislation and provide a common, legally defined framework. By contrast, nationally or regionally defined protective practices would create a high risk of divergent implementation, unequal treatment and further renationalisation of the CAP. Farmers in different Member States could be subject to substantially different baseline obligations while receiving support under the same EU policy. This would undermine commonality and the level playing field.

Measures going beyond statutory management requirements should not be imposed as basic eligibility conditions for CAP payments. Where farmers are expected to deliver additional environmental, climate or management commitments beyond the legal baseline, these should be implemented through dedicated voluntary interventions and properly compensated. Additional public goods must be supported by incentives, not transformed into uncompensated mandatory obligations.

Amendment 810
Mireia Borrás Pabón

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3

[...]

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 811

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Farm stewardship

Conditionality

1. Conditionality shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs],

2. Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), letters (a) to (c), and letters (f) and (j) and Article 5(2) points (a) to (c), to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products,

shall be subject to compliance with a system of conditionality based on the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs].

3. Member States may grant temporary derogations from conditionality, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria.

Or. en

Justification

The combination of protective practices with the “do no significant harm” principle would be particularly problematic. Agriculture is already subject to a dense framework of environmental, climate, animal welfare, emissions, water protection and nature conservation legislation. Introducing DNSH as an additional horizontal assessment criterion would not bring substantial added environmental value. Instead, it would create new testing, verification and documentation requirements, increase legal uncertainty and risk double regulation and overlap with existing instruments. At a time when simplification, reduction of bureaucracy

and practical feasibility are rightly demanded at both EU and national level, the introduction of another abstract and unclear evaluation standard would send the wrong signal.

Agricultural holdings need reliable, coherent and workable framework conditions. They should not be confronted with yet another layer of obligations whose boundaries vis-à-vis existing regulatory requirements are unclear. For this reason, DNSH should not apply to CAP interventions, and any potential reference to a principle of “no backsliding” should equally be avoided.

The amendment also removes social conditionality. Breaches of labour and social legislation are already subject to enforcement and sanctions under the relevant national and EU legal frameworks. Linking the same breaches again to reductions of CAP payments creates a clear risk of double penalties. It would also add disproportionate bureaucracy and compliance costs without substantial added value for the functioning of the CAP.

The proposed deletion of paragraphs on protective practices, DNSH-related compliance logic and social conditionality therefore makes the system more proportionate, legally clearer and administratively feasible. It keeps conditionality focused on a common legal baseline, while leaving high

Amendment 812

Katarína Roth Neved'alová, Erik Kaliňák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Farm stewardship

Conditionality

Or. en

Amendment 813

Paulo do Nascimento Cabral, Ana Miguel Pedro, Sérgio Humberto, Paulo Cunha, Sebastião Bugalho

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3.º – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the **NRP Plan** in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I,

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the **CAP** in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I,

Part C, and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.

Part C, and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.

Farmers who put in place climate-related measures under other Union instruments, including the production of agricultural biomass in line with the sustainability and greenhouse gas emissions savings criteria laid down in Directive (EU) 2018/2001, shall continue to be fully eligible for support under this Regulation.

Or. pt

Amendment 814

Barry Cowen, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner, Elsi Katainen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B, ***unless a Member State has demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Commission that its national legislation affords agricultural workers an equivalent or higher level of protection, in which case the requirements of Annex I, Part B shall not apply.***

Or. en

Justification

The inclusion of Social Conditionality within Farm Stewardship risks double penalties for non-compliance, and is already provided for in National Legislation. Therefore, it should be possible for Member States to be exempt from these requirements where national legislation provides appropriate protections.

Amendment 815

Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. ***Farm stewardship*** shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], ***protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.***

Amendment

1. ***Conditionality*** shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs]

Or. en

Amendment 816

Katarína Roth Neved'alová, Erik Kaliňák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. ***Farm stewardship*** shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], ***protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.***

Amendment

1. ***Conditionality*** shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs].

Or. en

Amendment 817

Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, **and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.**

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C.

Or. fr

Amendment 818
Christine Singer, Asger Christensen, Ciaran Mullooly

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, **and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.**

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C

Or. en

Justification

Social and labour rules are already regulated and enforced under dedicated legislation. Linking them to CAP payments risks creating additional administrative burdens and double controls for farmers, without improving the effectiveness of enforcement. The CAP should remain focused on supporting agricultural production, food security, environmental stewardship and the viability of farms.

Amendment 819
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, **and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.**

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C.

Or. en

Amendment 820
Marion Walsmann, Oliver Schenk, Alexandra Mehnert, Ilia Lazarov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, **and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.**

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C.

Or. en

Amendment 821
Jessika van Leeuwen, Sander Smit

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, **and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.**

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C.

Or. en

Amendment 822
Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, **and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.**

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C.

Or. en

Amendment 823
Stefan Köhler, Marion Walsmann, Lena Düpont

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, ***and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.***

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C.

Or. en

Amendment 824

Tomáš Kubín, Valérie Deloge, Gilles Pennelle

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, ***and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.***

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C.

Or. en

Amendment 825

Wouter Beke, Pascal Arimont

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed

in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, **and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.**

in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs] **and** protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C.

Or. en

Amendment 826
Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, **and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.**

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs] **and** protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C.

Or. en

Amendment 827
Georgiana Teodorescu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I,

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs] **and** protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article

Part C, *and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.*

and Annex I, Part C.

Or. en

Amendment 828

Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner, Christine Singer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, *and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.*

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, *and* Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C.

Or. en

Justification

SMRs on working conditions and occupational safety and health covered by social conditionality is already well-regulated including sanctions at member state level. Conditionality will thus lead to double sanctioning of the same infringement. The requirement should therefore be removed to ensure further simplification. Moreover, some of the provisions risk undermining member state competences on matters relating to the regulation of the labour market.

Amendment 829

Ton Diepeveen, Barbara Bonte, Mireia Borrás Pabón

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise

statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, **and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.**

statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], **and** protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C.

Or. en

Amendment 830

Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined **by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and** Annex I, Part C, and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined **in** Annex I, Part C, and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.

Or. fr

Amendment 831

Carlo Fidanza, Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined **by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance**

Amendment

1. **I.** Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined **in** Annex I, Part C, and the social conditionality system

with paragraph 4 of this Article and
Annex I, Part C, and the social
conditionality system which comprises the
statutory management requirements listed
in Annex I, Part B.

which comprises the statutory management
requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.

Or. en

Justification

The application of cross-compliance since 2005 is now well established among farmers. The simplification process launched in 2024 and continued in 2025 has improved its implementation, bringing it more into line with agronomic practices. For this reason, and to avoid further changes for farmers, the current BCAA should remain unchanged and form an integral part of the new Farm Stewardship system.

Amendment 832 **Salvatore De Meo**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 3 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined **by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and** Annex I, Part C, and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined **in** Annex I, Part C, and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.

Or. it

Justification

The principle of conditionality and its application have been an established practice among farmers for more than 20 years. Its implementation and conformity with agronomic practices have improved as a result of the simplification efforts undertaken in 2025 and 2024 (the year in which this simplification process was launched). In the light of the reasons listed above, and with a view to providing certainty for farmers, the current GAEC standards should be maintained by the new farm stewardship system.

Amendment 833
Luis-Vicențiu Lazarus

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs] **and** protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, and the social conditionality system which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.

Or. en

Amendment 834
Raffaele Stancanelli, Isabella Tovaglieri, Gilles Pennelle, Valérie Deloge, Csaba Dömötör, Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs], protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C, **and** the social conditionality system **which comprises the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.**

Amendment

1. Farm stewardship shall comprise statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs] **and** protective practices defined by Member States in the NRP Plan in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article and Annex I, Part C.

The social conditionality system **shall apply in accordance with the relevant Union and national law.**

Or. en

Amendment 835
Ciaran Mullooly, Michael McNamara

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Article 3a(new) (former NRP Art. 62(1)to(5))

Administrative controls shall follow a “prevent first, penalise last” approach, with first-time minor non-compliances addressed through advice and corrective actions rather than financial penalties.

Or. en

Justification

Former NRP Art.62(1) to (5)) agreed to be transferred. Amendments compared to the transferred text: Point 1 insertion of the above sentence.

Amendment 836
Daniel Buda, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Payments under interventions ***referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), and points (o) and (p), to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products,*** shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Payments under ***the following*** interventions shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’:

(i) interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f);

(ii) interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (o) and (p), which refer to support for local agricultural products referred to in Article (correspondence with Article 44 of the

NRPP) of this Regulation and Article 48 of the NRPP.

The list of statutory management requirements and the objectives of protective practices are set out in Annex I. However, the conditions of farm management listed in Annex I, Parts A and C, shall not apply to farmers receiving support under Article 5(1), point (g).

Or. ro

Amendment 837
Georgiana Teodorescu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), **and** points (o) and (p), **to the extent they** concern support for local agricultural products, **shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively** referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Amendment

Payments under ***the following interventions shall be subject to compliance with ‘farm stewardship’:***

(i) the interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f);

(ii) the interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (o) and (p) that concern support for local agricultural products referred to ***in Article (the correspondence of art. 44 NRPP) of this Regulation and Article 48 of NRPP.***

Or. en

Amendment 838
Katarína Roth Neved’alová, Erik Kaliňák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to **(f)**, and points **(o) and (p)**, to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Amendment

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to **(c)**, and points **(f) and (j) and Article 5(1a) points (a) to (c)**, to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Or. en

Amendment 839
Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), and points (o) and (p), **to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products**, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Amendment

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), and points (o) and (p) shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Or. en

Amendment 840
Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), and points (o) and (p), **to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products**, shall be

Amendment

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), and points (o) and (p), shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management

subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Or. en

Amendment 841
Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to **(f)**, and points **(o) and (p)**, to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements **and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’**.

Amendment

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), **(A)** points (a) to **(c)**, and points **(f) and (j) and (B) points (a) to (c)** to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of **conditionality based on the** statutory management requirements **listed in Annex I, Part A [Annex with SMRs]**.

Or. en

Amendment 842
Emma Wiesner, Christine Singer, Barry Cowen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), and points (o) and (p), to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements **and** protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Amendment

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), and points (o) and (p), to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements, protective practices **and promotive actions** collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Or. en

Amendment 843

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), ***points (a) to (f), and points (o) and (p)***, to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Amendment

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1) to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Or. en

Justification

Social conditionality should apply in the fruit, vegetable and wine sector to all CAP interventions as these are very labour-intensive sectors.

Amendment 844

Luke Ming Flanagan, Konstantinos Arvanitis, Sebastian Everding, Arash Saeidi
on behalf of The Left Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), ***points (a) to (f), and points (o) and (p)***, to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Amendment

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Or. en

Justification

It extends the scope of farm stewardship to all payments, not only fruit and vegetables.

Amendment 845

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), **points (a) to (f), and points (o) and (p)**, to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Amendment

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5, to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Or. de

Amendment 846

Stefano Bonaccini, Dario Nardella

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to **(f)**, and points (o) and (p), to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Amendment

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to **(g)**, and points (o) and (p), to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Or. en

Amendment 847

Thomas Waitz
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to **(f)**, and points (o) and (p), to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Amendment

Payments under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to **(g)**, and points (o) and (p), to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, shall be subject to compliance with a system of statutory management requirements and protective practices collectively referred to as ‘farm stewardship’.

Or. en

Amendment 848
Claudiu-Richard Târziu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The list of statutory management requirements and the objectives of protective practices are set out in Annex I.

Amendment

The list of statutory management requirements and the objectives of protective practices are set out in Annex I.
Member State shall retain sufficient flexibility in the implementation of protective practices in order to reflect local environmental, climat and agricultural conditions.

Or. en

Justification

To ensure that farm stewardship is a proportionate, flexible and practical manner, while respecting the principle of subsidiarity and reducing unnecessary administrative burdens for farmers. The amendments strengthen Member States` ability to adapt environmental and social requirements to national and regional conditions, avoid duplication with existing legislation, and provide appropriate simplifications for small and medium-sized farms without compromising the objectives of the Common Agricultural Policy. The amendments reinforce the principles of subsidiarity, proportionality and simplification by allowing Member States

to tailor protective practices to national and regional conditions while reducing unnecessary administrative burdens and duplication of controls. They ensure that environmental objectives are achieved without imposing additional obligations beyond existing legislation, safeguard farmers' competitiveness and productivity and recognise equivalent environmental certification schemes where deliver comparable outcomes. The amendments strengthen subsidiarity by giving Member States greater flexibility to respond to exceptional circumstances affecting agricultural production and to adapt implementation to national conditions. It aims to ensure that the farm stewardship control system is based on proportionality, simplification and legal certainty.

Amendment 849

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The list in Annex I, Part B may be complemented at national level by additional relevant legal provisions and references to collective agreements applicable to comparable undertakings in the relevant geographical area, profession and sector.

Such references may include universally applicable collective agreements and collective agreements, although not formally declared universally applicable, are widely applied within the relevant sector or territory.

Or. en

Amendment 850

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

However, the conditions of farm stewardship listed in Annex I, Parts A and C, shall not apply to farmers receiving

deleted

support under Article 5(1), point (g).

Or. de

Amendment 851

Katarína Roth Neved'alová, Erik Kaliňák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*However, the conditions of farm
stewardship listed in Annex I, Parts A and
C, shall not apply to farmers receiving
support under Article 5(1), point (g).*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 852

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding, Arash Saeidi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*However, the conditions of farm
stewardship listed in Annex I, Parts A and
C, shall not apply to farmers receiving
support under Article 5(1), point (g).*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 853

Carlo Fidanza, Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*However, the conditions of farm
stewardship listed in Annex I, Parts A and*

deleted

C, shall not apply to farmers receiving support under Article 5(1), point (g).

Or. en

Justification

Farm stewardship requirements should in general apply to all farmers receiving CAP support

Amendment 854

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

However, the conditions of farm stewardship listed in Annex I, Parts A and C, ***shall not*** apply to farmers receiving support under Article 5(1), point (g).

Amendment

However, ***although*** the conditions of farm stewardship listed in Annex I, Parts A and C, apply to ***all*** farmers ***including those*** receiving support under Article 5(1), point (g) ***so that they can access additional incentive payments above the baseline, those farmers under Article 5(1)(g) shall not be subject to controls, in line with Article 62(1) of the [NRP reg.], and size shall be considered in the risk assessment that is used to select control samples.***

Or. en

Justification

This is the status quo and brings text in line with NRP proposal. It respects the baseline, maintains a level playing field within & between MS. It also ensures access to additional incentive payments above it for small farmers, which is not possible in the original COM's draft.

Amendment 855

Stefan Köhler, Marion Walsmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

However, the conditions of farm stewardship listed in Annex I, Parts A and C, shall not apply to farmers receiving support under Article 5(1), point (g).

However, the conditions of farm stewardship listed in Annex I, Parts A and C, shall not apply to farmers receiving support under Article 5(1), point (g). ***In order to ensure a balanced application of CAP support, Member States shall apply, by analogy with the payment for small farmers under Article 5(1), point (g), appropriate exemption, tolerance and de-minimis rules, with a view to preventing disproportionate reductions and sanctions, in particular for beneficiaries operating within larger agricultural structures.***

Or. en

Amendment 856
Claudiu-Richard Târziu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

However, the conditions of farm stewardship listed in Annex I, Parts A and C, shall not apply to farmers receiving support under Article 5(1), point (g).

Amendment

However, the conditions of farm stewardship listed in Annex I, Parts A and C, shall not apply to farmers receiving support under Article 5(1), point (g). ***Member States may also provide proportionate derogations or simplified requirements for small and medium-sized farms where this does not compromise the overall objectives of the CAP.***

Or. en

Amendment 857
Paulo do Nascimento Cabral, Ana Miguel Pedro, Sérgio Humberto, Paulo Cunha, Sebastião Bugalho

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3.º – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

However, the conditions of farm stewardship listed in Annex I, Parts A and C, shall not apply to farmers receiving support under Article 5(1), point (g).

However, the conditions of farm stewardship listed in Annex I, Parts A and C, shall not apply to farmers receiving support under Article 5(1), point (g), ***nor to farmers in the outermost regions who receive aid under Regulation (EU) No 228/2013.***

Or. pt

Amendment 858

Arash Saeidi, Sebastian Everding, Emma Fourreau

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Member States shall carry out regular checks on compliance with the requirements laid down in Annex I, Part B, primarily on the farms benefiting most from the CAP, and in coordination with national labour inspectorates and organisations representing workers. In the event of serious or repeated non-compliance, Member States shall suspend or reduce payments made under Article 5. Member States shall report annually to the Commission on the checks carried out and the penalties applied on social conditionality grounds.

Or. fr

Amendment 859

Pekka Toveri

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Member States may make use of their control and enforcement systems in the areas of climate and environment,

public health, plant health and animal welfare, social and employment legislation, applicable labour standards, fisheries and aquaculture to ensure that beneficiaries of the support comply with the requirements set out in the first paragraph.

Or. en

Justification

Former NRP Article 62(2), agreed to be transferred as and article 3(a)(new). Amendments compared to the transferred text: "shall" replaced by "may"

Amendment 860 **Vilis Krištopans**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 3 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

deleted

For the purposes of this Article, ‘statutory management requirement’ means each individual requirement listed in Annex I, Parts A and B, set out within a given legal act listed in Annex I, Parts A and B, differing in substance from any other requirement in the same act.

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Parts A and B.

Amendment 861

Katarína Roth Neved'alová, Erik Kaliňák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509. *deleted*

For the purposes of this Article, ‘statutory management requirement’ means each individual requirement listed in Annex I, Parts A and B, set out within a given legal act listed in Annex I, Parts A and B, differing in substance from any other requirement in the same act.

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Parts A and B.

Amendment 862

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

deleted

Or. ro

Amendment 863
Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

deleted



Or. fr

Amendment 864
Valérie Deloge, Gilles Pennelle, Marie Dauchy, Mireia Borrás Pabón

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 865

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509. ***deleted***

Or. en

Justification

The introduction of the ‘do no significant harm’ principle to environment and climate for agriculture will only add more burden, complexity and legal uncertainty when agriculture is intertwined with natural resources and ecosystems, being subject to many environmental and climate requirements. In addition, agriculture has been confronted with steadily increasing obligations under regulatory law for years, ranging from environmental and nature conservation to animal welfare, emissions control and water protection. Against this backdrop, introducing the DNSH principle would not lead to additional environmental benefits. Instead, it would primarily create new testing, verification and documentation requirements, with a clear risk of double regulation, legal uncertainty and further overlap with existing instruments. Especially at a time when simplification, reduction of bureaucracy and practical feasibility are rightly being demanded at both European and national level, the introduction of an additional horizontal assessment criterion such as DNSH sends the wrong signal. Agricultural holdings need reliable, coherent and workable framework conditions – not yet another layer of abstract evaluation standards with unclear boundaries vis-à-vis existing regulatory frameworks. As such, Copa-Cogeca strongly rejects the planned introduction of the DNSH principle to any CAP interventions. This principle should not apply to any CAP intervention (see section 2.1). In addition, we oppose any potential reference to the principle of ‘no back sliding’.

Amendment 866

Raffaele Stancanelli, Isabella Tovaglieri, Ton Diepeveen, Gilles Pennelle, Valérie Deloge, Barbara Bonte, Mireia Borrás Pabón, Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with ***deleted***

*the principle of ‘do no significant harm’
in accordance with Article 33(2), point
(d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom)
2024/2509.*

Or. en

Amendment 867
Michal Wiezik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*Support subject to the farm stewardship
conditions shall be deemed to comply with
the principle of ‘do no significant harm’
in accordance with Article 33(2), point
(d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom)
2024/2509.* *deleted*



Or. en

Amendment 868
Jessika van Leeuwen, Sander Smit, Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*Support subject to the farm stewardship
conditions shall be deemed to comply with
the principle of ‘do no significant harm’
in accordance with Article 33(2), point
(d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom)
2024/2509.* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 869
Georgiana Teodorescu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 870

Carmen Crespo Díaz, Elena Nevado del Campo, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

Compliance with the requirements for farm stewardship by beneficiaries shall include, where applicable, a proportionate application of the principle of ‘do no significant harm’, as laid down in Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509. Such application shall avoid duplication with the requirements already laid down in the Union’s sector-specific legislation, shall not impose disproportionate administrative burdens on farmers, and shall not automatically result in the suspension, reduction or exclusion of payments where the actions undertaken contribute to food security, the economic viability of farms, water resilience, agricultural modernisation or adaptation to climate change.

Or. es

Justification

The CAP already incorporates specific environmental requirements. The principle of ‘do no significant harm’ must be applied proportionately, whilst avoiding duplication, legal

uncertainty and automatic exclusions of investments needed to modernise farms, strengthen water resilience and maintain food production.

Amendment 871

Carlo Fidanza, Waldemar Buda, Jessika van Leeuwen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Support subject to the *farm stewardship conditions* shall be deemed to comply with the *principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509*.

Amendment

*Without prejudice to Article 33(2)(d) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 2024/2509, this Regulation constitutes the **lex specialis** applicable to CAP measures relating to environmental sustainability. Support subject to the **agricultural cross-compliance requirements referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2** shall be deemed to comply with the **environmental sustainability requirements applicable to Union expenditure on interventions under this Regulation, without the need for a further assessment under that Article. This paragraph is without prejudice to beneficiaries’ compliance with the management requirements laid down in the legislation referred to in Annex I, Parts A and B.***

Or. en

Justification

*CAP payments should not be made conditional on compliance with the DNSH principle. The ‘Do No Significant Harm’ (DNSH) principle, which originated in the field of sustainable finance, is not suited to the logic of agricultural payments. Indeed, the CAP already constitutes, in its own right, the European Union’s comprehensive and specialised sectoral regulatory framework for ensuring the sustainability of agricultural practices. According to the legal principle *lex specialis derogat legi generali* (the special rule takes precedence over the general rule), recognised by the Court of Justice of the EU and in line with Commission practice: the CAP Regulation (a special sectoral regime) takes precedence over the general clause of the Financial Regulation (Article 33(2)(d)). This latter article is to be understood as an ‘enabling clause’ that allows the CAP to define, autonomously, the most appropriate methods for implementing environmental protection objectives. Agricultural cross-compliance, to which other environmental obligations have been added over time, represents precisely this special mechanism: it pursues the same aims as DNSH, but using tools tailored to the agricultural context.*

Amendment 872

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be **deemed to comply** with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

Amendment

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be **verified to ensure compliance** with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509. **The Commission shall, based on evidence, ensure coherence and consistency, according to article 2 of this Regulation and the verification step outlined in art.23(1) [NRP], that assuming the baseline conditions are respected, that farm stewardship is of a suitable level to ensure that no significant harm is likely to occur over the applicable areas covered.**



Or. en

Amendment 873

Christine Singer, Asger Christensen, Barry Cowen, Ciaran Mullooly

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

Amendment

Given the immediate EU competence in ensuring a sustainable, resilient and environmentally friendly agriculture and, in consequence, the comprehensive environmental legislation on biodiversity, water, soil, air, and climate protectionon which is directly applicable to farmers, all payments received under Article 5 shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU,

Justification

Agricultural and environmental legislation already fall within EU competence and set common standards for farming across Member States. Applying DNSH additionally to CAP interventions would therefore duplicate existing agri-environmental requirements without creating added environmental benefits. It would instead create redundant checks and additional administrative burden for farmers and administrations. CAP interventions should therefore be deemed DNSH-compliant where relevant Union and national rules are respected.

Amendment 874**Alexander Bernhuber****Proposal for a regulation****Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1***Text proposed by the Commission*

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

Amendment

Given the immediate EU competence in ensuring a sustainable, resilient and environmentally friendly agriculture and, in consequence, the comprehensive environmental legislation on biodiversity, water, soil, air, and climate protection which is directly applicable to farmers, all payments received under Article 5 shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

*Justification**LKÖ preferred option***Amendment 875****Daniel Buda, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu****Proposal for a regulation****Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions ***listed in parts A and C of Annex I and the payments under the interventions referred to in Article 5(1)(g) of this Regulation*** shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

Or. ro

Amendment 876

Claudiu-Richard Târziu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509, ***without creating additional environmental obligations beyond those laid down in this Regulation.***

Or. en

Amendment 877

Paulo do Nascimento Cabral, Ana Miguel Pedro, Sérgio Humberto, Paulo Cunha, Sebastião Bugalho

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3.º – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of

Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509. ***The principle of ‘do no significant harm’ shall not apply to small farmers.***

Or. pt

Amendment 878

Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

Amendment

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions, ***as well as payments under intervention (g)***, shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

Or. en

LKÖ fall-back option



Amendment 879

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall be deemed to comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

Amendment

The principle of “do no significant harm” ***as set out in*** Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509 ***shall not apply to interventions according to the article 5(1) of this Regulation.***

Or. en

Justification

As article 35(1) is deleted, the correct reference is article 5 of the CAP Regulation.

The introduction of the ‘do no significant harm’ principle (DNSH) to environment and climate for agriculture will only add more burden, complexity and legal uncertainty when agriculture is intertwined with natural resources and ecosystems, already making a substantial contribution to environmental, climate and biodiversity objectives.

In addition, agriculture has been confronted with steadily increasing obligations under regulatory law for years, ranging from environmental and nature conservation to animal welfare, emissions control and water protection.

Against this backdrop, introducing the DNSH principle would not lead to additional environmental benefits. Instead, it would primarily create new testing, verification and documentation requirements, with a clear risk of double regulation, legal uncertainty and further overlap with existing instruments.

Especially at a time when simplification, reduction of bureaucracy and practical feasibility are rightly being demanded at both European and national level, the introduction of an additional horizontal assessment criterion such as DNSH sends the wrong signal.

Agricultural holdings need reliable, coherent and workable framework conditions – not yet another layer of abstract evaluation standards with unclear boundaries vis-à-vis existing regulatory frameworks.

Amendment 880
Martin Häusling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall **be deemed to** comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

Amendment

Support subject to the farm stewardship conditions shall comply with the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ in accordance with Article 33(2), point (d), of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509.

Or. en

Amendment 881
Ciaran Mullooly, Christine Singer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Commission shall ensure that the application of the Do No Significant Harm (DNSH) principle does not create disproportionate administrative or regulatory burdens for farmers or undermine the objectives of the Common Agricultural Policy

Or. en

Amendment 882

Christine Singer, Asger Christensen, Ciaran Mullooly

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

For the purposes of this Article, ‘statutory management requirement’ means each individual requirement listed in Annex I, ***Parts A and B***, set out within a given legal act listed in Annex I, ***Parts A and B***, differing in substance from any other requirement in the same act.

For the purposes of this Article, ‘statutory management requirement’ means each individual requirement listed in Annex I, ***Part A***, set out within a given legal act listed in Annex I, ***Part A***, differing in substance from any other requirement in the same act.

Or. en

Justification

Social and labour rules are already regulated and enforced under dedicated legislation. Linking them to CAP payments risks creating additional administrative burdens and double controls for farmers, without improving the effectiveness of enforcement. The CAP should remain focused on supporting agricultural production, food security, environmental stewardship and the viability of farms.

Amendment 883

Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Parts A and B.

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of directives, as implemented by the Member States. ***Where there are substantial divergences in the transposition of directives among Member States, the Commission shall make recommendations to Member States with a view to addressing such divergences.*** Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Parts A and B.

Or. fr

Amendment 884
Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Parts A and B.

Amendment

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. ***In the event of significant discrepancies in the implementation of directives between Member States, the Commission shall issue recommendations to the Member State concerned with a view to eliminating such discrepancies.*** Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Parts A and B.

Or. pl

Amendment 885
Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. ***Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Parts A and B.***

Amendment

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States.

Or. ro

Amendment 886
Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. ***Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Parts A and B.***

Amendment

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States.

Or. en

Amendment 887

Raffaele Stancanelli, Isabella Tovaglieri, Ton Diepeveen, Gilles Pennelle, Valérie Deloge, Barbara Bonte, Mireia Borrás Pabón, Tomáš Kubín, Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. ***Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Parts A and B.***

Amendment

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States.

Or. en

Amendment 888
Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. ***Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Parts A and B.***

Amendment

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States.

Or. en

Justification

It is necessary to remove the second sentence of subparagraph 3. It seems to be unnecessary and unclear. Removing the provision will bring the provision more into line with the current provisions on the same matter.

Amendment 889

Marta Wcisło

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. ***Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Parts A and B.***

Amendment

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States.



Or. en

Amendment 890

Georgiana Teodorescu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. ***Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in***

Amendment

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States.

Amendment 891

Claudiu-Richard Târziu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Parts A and B.

Amendment

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Parts A and B. ***Their implementation shall avoid duplications of controls, unnecessary administrative burdens and overlapping obligations for farmers.***

Amendment 892

Christine Singer, Asger Christensen, Ciaran Mullooly

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed

Amendment

The legal acts listed in Annex I concerning the statutory management requirements shall apply in the version that is applicable and, in the case of Directives, as implemented by the Member States. Acts implementing directives may however not have the purpose or effect of an exemption of farmers or other beneficiaries from the statutory management requirements listed

Justification

Social and labour rules are already regulated and enforced under dedicated legislation. Linking them to CAP payments risks creating additional administrative burdens and double controls for farmers, without improving the effectiveness of enforcement. The CAP should remain focused on supporting agricultural production, food security, environmental stewardship and the viability of farms.

Amendment 893

Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

When determining the implementation of Union support to the common agricultural policy (CAP) in accordance with the general objective set out in Article 2(c) of Regulation (EU) [...] [NRP], Member States may choose to include either a ‘CAP’ chapter in their NRP plans, as referred to in Title III of the NRP Regulation, or separate national and regional CAP plans (CAPNRP plans), in accordance with the rules governing the Fund laid down in Regulation (EU) XXX (NRP) and with the provisions of this Regulation. Where CAPNRP plans are drawn up and submitted by Member States, the Commission shall assess and validate them independently of the NRP plans submitted by the Member States concerned.

Both forms are hereinafter referred to as ‘CAPNRP plans’.

Amendment 894

Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. Notwithstanding Article 33(2)(d) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509, this Regulation constitutes *lex specialis* with regard to CAP interventions relating to environmental sustainability. Support that is subject to the agricultural conditionality requirements referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall be deemed to comply with the environmental sustainability requirements applicable to Union expenditure under the interventions provided for in this Regulation, without the need for an additional assessment under the aforementioned article.

This paragraph shall not affect the beneficiaries' compliance with the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, parts A and B.

Or. fr

Amendment 895

Dario Nardella, André Franqueira Rodrigues, Cristina Maestre, Eric Sargiacomo, Stefano Bonaccini, Claire Fita, Marko Vešligaj, Elena Sancho Murillo, Maria Noichl, Camilla Laureti, Helène Fritzton

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. Regarding the statutory management requirements in Annex I, Parts B, the list may be extended at a national level by including relevant national provisions and references to representative collective bargaining agreements. Such references may include universally applicable collective agreements as well as collective

agreements concluded by the most representative trade unions organisations at national or regional level which, although not formally declared universally applicable, are widely applied within the relevant sector or territory.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment seeks to clarify that, in implementing social conditionality at national level, Member States shall have the possibility to extend its scope through relevant national legislative acts and collective agreements.

Amendment 896

Carlo Fidanza, Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

deleted

(a) protection of carbon-rich soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands on agricultural area;

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of the soil potential, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff.

Or. en

Change resulting from Amendment 2.

Amendment 897

Katarína Roth Neved'alová, Erik Kaliňák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives: **deleted**

(a) protection of carbon-rich soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands on agricultural area;

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of the soil potential, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff.

Or. en

Amendment 898

Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective **deleted**

practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

(a) protection of carbon-rich soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands on agricultural area;

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of the soil potential, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff.

Or. en

Amendment 899

Raffaele Stancanelli, Isabella Tovaglieri, Gilles Pennelle, Valérie Deloge, Mireia Borrás Pabón, Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices ***to be respected by*** farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, ***to achieve the following objectives:***

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with ***objectives set out in*** Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, ***a catalogue of*** protective practices ***for*** farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2. ***In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take into account, where relevant, the specific characteristics of the areas concerned including soil and climatic condition, existing farming systems, farming practices, farm size and farm structures, land use, and the specificities of outermost regions.***

Member States shall include in their Plans a description of the protective practices, including their territorial scope,

farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practices.

Or. en

Amendment 900

Ton Diepeveen, Barbara Bonte, Mireia Borrás Pabón, Raffaele Stancanelli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States *shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:*

Amendment

4. Member States *may, on a voluntary basis, support practices linked to the main agricultural activity of farmers, provided that those practices contribute directly to agricultural production, farm resilience or the long-term productive capacity of agricultural land. Such practices shall not constitute additional mandatory requirements for receiving income support and shall not divert CAP funding away from active farmers and agricultural production. Where Member States choose to support such practices, they may pursue the following objectives:*

Or. en

Amendment 901

Carmen Crespo Díaz, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Elena Nevado del Campo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, *protective* practices *to be respected* by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, *a set of conservation practices tailored to their agronomic, climatic, production and territorial conditions, which shall be implemented in a proportionate manner*

by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives. ***These practices shall be clear, verifiable, economically viable and compatible with the continuity of agricultural activity.***

Or. es

Justification

Protection measures must be practicable on the ground and tailored to the production conditions of each region.

Amendment 902

Jérémy Decerle, Benoit Cassart, Laurence Farreng, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Grégory Allione, Pascal Canfin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. ***Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, **protective practices to be respected** by farmers and other beneficiaries **receiving the support** referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:***

Amendment

4. ***The European Commission shall draw up, on the basis of the general objectives set out in Annex I, Part C, at Union level, a catalogue of protective practices which takes account of the diversity of soil and climate conditions across the Union. Member States shall select from this list the practices to be implemented, at national or regional level, by farmers and other beneficiaries of the aid referred to in paragraph 2. These practices are designed to achieve the following objectives:***

Or. en

Justification

The protective practices, which are a condition for receiving CAP support, must be flexible and take account of the specific soil and climate conditions of each European region. However, in order to ensure consistency in these conservation practices at EU level and to maintain the common nature of the CAP, these practices must be defined at European level.

Amendment 903

Valérie Deloge, Gilles Pennelle, Marie Dauchy, Mireia Borrás Pabón

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at **a** national or regional level, protective practices **to be respected by** farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall define, in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at national or regional level, **the** protective practices **that** farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2 **must respect, within a Union framework ensuring a level playing field vis-à-vis third countries and among Member States within the single market. The aim shall be** to achieve the following objectives:

Or. fr

Amendment 904

Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives, **in a manner proportionate to local agronomic, climatic and geographical conditions and taking into account the need to maintain viable agricultural production:**

Or. en

Amendment 905

Claudiu-Richard Târziu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall define, ***with full flexibility, after prior consultation with the Member States, as well as with recognised bodies and stakeholders in each Member State***, in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Or. en

Amendment 906

Barry Cowen, Asger Christensen, Christine Singer, Elsi Katainen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall ***define in accordance with*** Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall ***design, based on the general objectives set in*** Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, ***a catalogue of practical*** protective practices ***supportive of ongoing agricultural activity, which are to be offered*** to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, ***to choose from, to*** achieve the following objectives:

Or. en

Amendment 907

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, ***a baseline of*** protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2 ***above which beneficiaries can access incentive payments additional to the support in paragraph 2, in order*** to achieve the following objectives:

Or. en

Amendment 908

Paulo do Nascimento Cabral, Sérgio Humberto, Ana Miguel Pedro, Paulo Cunha, Sebastião Bugalho

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3.º – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices ***to be respected by*** farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with ***the general objectives set in*** Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, ***a catalogue of*** protective practices ***which may be made available to*** farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2 ***so they may choose in order*** to achieve the following objectives:

Or. pt

Amendment 909

Wouter Beke, Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Member States shall ***define in accordance with*** Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be ***respected by*** farmers and ***other beneficiaries*** receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

4. Member States shall ***design, based on the general objectives set in*** Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, ***a toolbox of voluntary*** protective practices, ***which are to be offered through agri-environmental and climate actions to*** farmers receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Or. en

Amendment 910

Luis-Vicențiu Lazarus

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall ***define in accordance with*** Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be ***respected by*** farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall ***design, based on the general objectives set in*** Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level ***a catalogue of*** protective practices, ***which are to be offered to*** farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, ***to choose from, to*** achieve the following objectives:

Or. en

Amendment 911

Georgiana Teodorescu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be ***respected by*** farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, ***a catalogue of*** protective practices to be ***made available so that*** farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2 ***to choose from, in order to***

achieve the following objectives:

Or. en

Amendment 912

Arash Saeidi, Luke Ming Flanagan, Sebastian Everding, Emma Fourreau

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at **a** national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall define, in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at national or regional level, **the** protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, **while upholding the ‘no backsliding’ principle, in order** to achieve the following objectives:

Or. fr

Amendment 913

Marta Wcislo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices **that set out minimum requirements, which are** to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Or. en

Amendment 914

Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices **that set out minimum requirements, which are** to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Or. en

Justification

Protective practices should be defined by Member States at a minimum level. Member State should decide whether a specific protective practices will be covered by incentives provided under Article 10 on agri-environmental and climate actions. Member States should have flexibility to decide how to implement the CAP, taking into account their national or regional needs and challenges

Amendment 915
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall **define in accordance with** Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices **to be respected by** farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, **to achieve** the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall **design, based on the general objectives in** Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, **a catalogue of** protective practices **from which** farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2 **may choose, with** the following objectives:

Or. en

Justification

Member States must design, in line with the objectives and in consultation with stakeholders, national protective practices adapted to national and regional realities which they will make available to farmers via incentives.

Amendment 916

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding, Luke Ming Flanagan, Arash Saeidi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national *or* regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, *to achieve* the following *objectives*:

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national *and* regional level, protective *and restorative* practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, *on the condition of* the following *requirements*:



Or. en

Amendment 917

Luke Ming Flanagan, Konstantinos Arvanitis, Sebastian Everding, Arash Saeidi
on behalf of The Left Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective *agricultural* practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Or. en

Amendment 918

Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. **Member States** shall **define in accordance with** Annex I, Part C, at a **national or regional** level, protective practices **to be respected by** farmers **and other beneficiaries** receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. **The Commission** shall **establish, on the basis of the general objectives laid down in** Annex I, Part C, at **Union** level, **a catalogue of** protective practices **from which** farmers receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2 **may choose, in order** to achieve the following objectives:

Or. fr

Amendment 919

Salvatore De Meo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Amendment

4. Member States shall define in accordance with Annex I, Part C, at a national or regional level, **a list of** protective practices to be respected by farmers and other beneficiaries receiving the support referred to in paragraph 2, to achieve the following objectives:

Or. it

Amendment 920

Raffaele Stancanelli, Isabella Tovaglieri, Gilles Pennelle, Valérie Deloge, Mireia Borrás Pabón, Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **protection of carbon-rich soils, landscape features and permanent**

Amendment

deleted

grasslands on agricultural area;

Or. en

Amendment 921

Ciaran Mullooly, Michael McNamara

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) protection of carbon-rich soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands on agricultural **area**;

Amendment

(a) protection of carbon-rich soils, ***including protection of wetlands soils, landscape features and peatlands. Member States shall ensure that on the lands concerned an agricultural activity suitable for qualifying the lands as an agricultural area can be maintained and landscape features protection of environmetally sensitive*** permanent grasslands on agricultural ***areas in Natura 2000 areas and permanent grassland established under local practices PG ELP***



Or. en

Amendment 922

Claudiu-Richard Târziu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) protection of carbon-rich soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands on agricultural area;

Amendment

(a) protection of carbon-rich soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands on agricultural area, ***while ensuring the continued productive use of agricultural land and preserving farmers' competitiveness;***

Or. en

Amendment 923

Luke Ming Flanagan, Konstantinos Arvanitis, Sebastian Everding
on behalf of The Left Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) protection of carbon-rich soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands on agricultural area;

Amendment

(a) protection **and maintenance** of carbon-rich soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands on agricultural area **while ensuring that an "agricultural activity" may be maintained on the area concerned**;

Or. en

Justification

Carbon rich soils are often farmed and need correct management and maintenance to ensure that their carbon stocks are not lost, abandonment can be detrimental to these types of soils.

Amendment 924

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding, Arash Saeidi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) protection of carbon-rich soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands on agricultural area;

Amendment

(a) protection, **restoration and expansion** of carbon-rich soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands **and wetlands** on agricultural area;

Or. en

Amendment 925

Jérémy Decerle, Benoit Cassart, Laurence Farreng, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Grégory Allione, Pascal Canfin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) protection of carbon-rich soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands on agricultural area;

(a) protection of carbon-rich soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands on agricultural area ***and the preservation of biodiversity***;

Or. en

Amendment 926
Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) protection of ***carbon-rich*** soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands on agricultural area;

(a) protection of soils, landscape features and permanent grasslands on agricultural area;

Or. en

Amendment 927
Raffaele Stancanelli, Isabella Tovaglieri, Gilles Pennelle, Valérie Deloge, Mireia Borrás Pabón, Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) ***protection of soil against erosion, preservation of the soil potential, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 928
Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of the soil potential, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

Amendment

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of the soil potential, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land.
Farms with an agricultural surface lower than 30 hectares, or with more than 75% of permanent grassland, are exempt from the requirements regarding crop rotation or diversification;

Or. en

Amendment 929

Konstantinos Arvanitis

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of the soil potential, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

Amendment

(b) protection of soil against erosion, ***desertification, declining soil fertility, loss of organic matter, salinisation and drought-induced degradation, including measures improving long-term soil resilience;*** preservation of the soil potential, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

Or. en

Amendment 930

Luke Ming Flanagan, Konstantinos Arvanitis, Sebastian Everding, Arash Saeidi
on behalf of The Left Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) protection of soil against erosion, ***preservation of the soil potential***, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

(b) protection of soil against erosion, ***surface runoff, leaching, sedimentation, acidification, salinization and nitrogen deposition; building and maintaining soil structure, building and*** maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

Or. en

Justification

There are many factors leading to the degradation of soils.

Amendment 931

Claudiu-Richard Târziu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of the soil potential, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of the soil potential, maintenance of soil organic matter, ***using practices appropriate to local agronomic conditions and respecting farmers' management choices***, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

Or. en

Amendment 932

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) protection of soil against erosion,

(b) protection of soil against erosion,

preservation of the soil potential,
maintenance of soil organic matter,
***including through crop rotation or
diversification, as well as protection
against burning of stubble on arable land;***

preservation of the soil potential,
maintenance of soil organic matter;

Or. ro

Amendment 933

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding, Luke Ming Flanagan, Arash Saeidi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) protection of soil against erosion,
preservation of the soil potential,
maintenance of soil organic matter,
including through crop rotation ***or***
diversification, as well as protection
against burning of stubble on arable land;

Amendment

(b) protection ***and restoration*** of soil
against erosion, preservation of the soil
potential, maintenance of soil organic
matter, including through crop rotation,
crop diversification ***and plantation of
legume crops, which have nitrogen-fixing
properties***, as well as protection against
burning of stubble on arable land;

Or. en

Amendment 934

Carlo Fidanza, Waldemar Buda, Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) protection of soil against erosion,
preservation of the soil potential,
maintenance of soil organic matter,
***including through crop rotation or
diversification, as well as protection
against burning of stubble on arable land;***

Amendment

(b) protection of soil against erosion,
preservation of the soil potential,
maintenance of soil organic matter

Or. en

Amendment 935
Luis-Vicențiu Lazarus

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) protection of soil against erosion,
preservation of the soil potential,
maintenance of soil organic matter,
***including through crop rotation or
diversification, as well as protection
against burning of stubble on arable land;***

Amendment

(b) protection of soil against erosion,
preservation of the soil potential,
maintenance of soil organic matter

Or. en

Amendment 936
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) protection of soil against erosion,
preservation of the soil potential,
maintenance of soil organic matter,
***including through crop rotation or
diversification, as well as protection
against burning of stubble on arable land;***

Amendment

(b) protection of soil against erosion,
preservation of the soil potential,
maintenance of soil organic matter;

Or. en

Amendment 937
**Dario Nardella, André Franqueira Rodrigues, Cristina Maestre, Eric Sargiacomo,
Stefano Bonaccini, Claire Fita, Marko Vešligaj, Elena Sancho Murillo, Maria Noichl,
Camilla Laureti, Hélène Fritzson**

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) protection of soil against erosion,
preservation of the soil potential,

Amendment

(b) protection of soil against erosion,
preservation of the soil ***health and***

maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

potential, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

Or. en

Amendment 938
Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of the soil potential, maintenance of soil organic matter, **including through crop rotation or diversification**, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

Amendment

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of the soil potential, maintenance of soil organic matter, through **agronomic practices appropriate to local conditions**, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

Or. en

Amendment 939
Camilla Laureti, Stefano Bonaccini, Annalisa Corrado, Helène Fritzon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of **the soil potential**, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

Amendment

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of **soil health**, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

Or. it

Amendment 940
Emma Wiesner, Christine Singer, Barry Cowen, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of the soil ***potential***, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

(b) protection of soil against erosion, preservation of the soil ***health***, maintenance of soil organic matter, including through crop rotation or diversification, as well as protection against burning of stubble on arable land;

Or. en

Amendment 941
Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) ***protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff.***

deleted

 Agricapital

Or. en

Justification

With the aim of simplifying the EU provisions, this protective practice concerning protection of water should be deleted, because it overlaps with the scope of SMR 1 and 2 concerning protection of water (Annex I, Part A: Rules on farm stewardship) and duplicates the provisions. As a consequence additional amendment in Annex I Part C was proposed.

Amendment 942
Marta Wcislo

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) ***protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff.***

deleted

Justification

Member States should retain appropriate flexibility to define protective practices and decide whether specific practices should be supported through incentives under Article 10 on agri-environmental and climate actions, taking into account their national and regional needs.

Amendment 943

Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 944

Raffaele Stancanelli, Isabella Tovaglieri, Gilles Pennelle, Valérie Deloge, Mireia Borrás Pabón, Tomáš Kubín, Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 945

Carmen Crespo Díaz, Elena Nevado del Campo, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff.

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff, ***including, amongst other measures, the establishment of buffer zones along watercourses, implemented in a proportionate manner and consistent with investments in water resilience, the modernisation of irrigation systems, water reuse, storage, digitalisation and water efficiency, which comply with applicable EU law and do not adversely affect the status of bodies of water.***

Or. es

Justification

Water protection must be compatible with the modernisation of water infrastructure necessary to maintain agricultural production and strengthen resilience to drought.

Amendment 946

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff.

Amendment

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff. ***Any other type of protective practice shall be economically viable, applicable and justified.***

Or. ro

Amendment 947

Paulo do Nascimento Cabral, Sérgio Humberto, Ana Miguel Pedro, Paulo Cunha, Sebastião Bugalho

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3.º – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) protection of water courses and

Amendment

(c) protection of water courses and

ground water against pollution and runoff.

ground water against pollution and runoff.
Any such protective practices shall be economically viable, achievable and receive support.

Or. pt

Amendment 948

Luis-Vicențiu Lazarus

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and ***runoff***.

Amendment

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and ***runoff. Any such protective practices must be economically viable, implementable and supported.***

Or. en

Amendment 949

Luke Ming Flanagan, Konstantinos Arvanitis, Sebastian Everding, Arash Saeidi
on behalf of The Left Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution ***and*** runoff.

Amendment

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against ***depleting water table levels, agricultural pollution, eutrophication and contaminated*** runoff.

Or. en

Justification

Water contamination comes from many sources.

Amendment 950

Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff.

Amendment

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution, ***such as chemical products, PFAS, nutrients and microplastics***, and runoff.

Or. en

Amendment 951

Claudiu-Richard Târziu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff.

Amendment

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff, ***through proportionate and locally adapted measures***.

Or. en

Amendment 952

Stefano Bonaccini

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff.

Amendment

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff, ***including through optimised input use***.

Or. en

Amendment 953

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) protection of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff.

Amendment

(c) protection **and restoration** of water courses and ground water against pollution and runoff.

Or. en

Amendment 954

Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) protection of water courses **and ground water** against pollution and runoff.

Amendment

(c) protection of water courses against pollution and runoff.

Or. fr

Amendment 955

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

When Member States define their own protective practices, they may decide to establish these in a manner that is compatible with and does not go beyond the mandatory requirements laid down in national legislation, provided that existing national mandatory requirements comply with the specific objectives listed in Annex I to this Regulation.

Or. ro

Amendment 956

Sebastian Everding, Arash Saeidi, Emma Fourreau

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) protection and improvement of animal welfare, ensuring that species-specific behavioural needs are met, including the transition to cage-free systems, guaranteeing access to open-air areas and strictly limiting the transport of live animals.

Or. fr

Amendment 957

Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) These protection measures must be economically viable, enforceable and receive support.

Or. fr

Amendment 958

Wouter Beke, Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Any such protective practice must be economically viable, implementable and financially incentivised.

Amendment 959

Carlo Fidanza, Waldemar Buda, Jessika van Leeuwen, Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) Any such protective practices must be economically viable, implementable and supported.

Or. en

Justification

The current cross-compliance system has placed a significant burden on farmers, prompting widespread criticism. It has consequently been the subject of several simplification proposals aimed at making the system more relevant to the realities on the ground. However, the system proposed in the future CAP remains similar to the current one, particularly with regard to the constraints and requirements that currently result in additional costs and reduced payments for farmers. It also risks encouraging further renationalization. In our view, rather than rigid requirements for mandatory protective practices (Annex I of the CAP Regulation), Member States should be provided with a catalogue of voluntary measures from which they can define national protective practices tailored to their national and regional circumstances, which will be made available to farmers through incentives. It should be possible to adapt these measures to existing national legal requirements and ensure that they serve the same purpose as protective practices.

Amendment 960

Emma Wiesner, Barry Cowen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) reduction and mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural activities;

Or. en

Amendment 961

Maria Grapini

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) protection against natural disasters.

Or. ro

Amendment 962

Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cb) Farmers who use more than 75 % of their eligible utilised agricultural area for the production of grasses or other herbaceous forage shall be deemed to comply with the protective practices set out in the CAPNRP plans in relation to the objectives provided for in paragraph 4(a), (b) and (c).

Or. fr

Amendment 963

Emma Wiesner, Barry Cowen, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cb) protection and enhancement of animal health and welfare;

Or. en

Amendment 964
Emma Wiesner, Barry Cowen, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point c c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cc) reduction of the risk of use of pesticides and effective use of nutrients, including fertilisers.

Or. en

Amendment 965
Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Member States shall define ten to fifteen measures, of which the farmer can choose a minimum of 3 to implement on a yearly basis. The baseline for the additionality of these measures shall be based on the ongoing farm activities when this regulation is implemented. Farmers can choose to use the same measure several years in a row, but may also change those on a yearly basis. The measures shall follow the general objectives of:

(a) support for increased biodiversity, wildlife and pollinators;

(b) strengthened animal health and welfare;

(c) efficient use of inputs;

(d) mitigation and decrease of emissions from agricultural activities;

(e) circularity on farm.

Organic farming shall be exempted from the measures introduced in this paragraph.

Amendment 966

Paulo do Nascimento Cabral, Ana Miguel Pedro, Sérgio Humberto, Paulo Cunha, Sebastião Bugalho

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3.º – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. When defining their protective practices, Member States may choose to establish them in a manner that is consistent with and does not go beyond mandatory requirements under national law, provided that existing mandatory national requirements meet the specific objectives listed in Annex I to this Regulation.

Or. pt

Amendment 967

Georgiana Teodorescu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. In defining their protective practices, Member States may decide to set them in a way consistent with mandatory requirements established by national law and do not go beyond them, provided that those existing national mandatory requirements comply with the specific objectives listed in Annex I to this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 968

Barry Cowen, Asger Christensen, Christine Singer, Elsi Katainen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Member States shall ensure that the protective practices included in the catalogue are practical and implementable and are accompanied by adequate technical support.

Or. en

Justification

It is essential that the protective practices allow farmers to continue farming and remain economically viable.

Amendment 969

Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 970
Katarína Roth Neved'alová, Erik Kaliňák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 971
Carlo Fidanza, Waldemar Buda, Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and **deleted**

climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Justification

Change resulting from Amendment 2.

Amendment 972

Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 973

Raffaele Stancanelli, Isabella Tovaglieri, Mireia Borrás Pabón, Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 **deleted**

including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Amendment 974

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall **take utmost account of** the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices ***outlined in Annex I of this regulation to form a baseline above which additional incentive payments in Article 10 of this regulation can be accessed***, Member States shall ***satisfy*** the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2 ***to ensure no significant harm would occur if those practices were followed. If the recommendations are not satisfied, then it shall be assumed that the associated income support payments do allow significant harm and EU funding shall be adjusted accordingly, following the principle of budgetary efficiency.*** Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic

conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Amendment 975
Willemien Koning

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices **defined** for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices **drawn up** for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall **seek the input of the farming community and its representative organisations, so as to guarantee that the practices are feasible in day-to-day farm management and deliver effectively on the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall** take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to **regional and local conditions, including** different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Justification

Practices designed without those who have to apply them tend to fail in the field. Structural involvement of the farming community in the design phase ensures that protective practices are realistic in day-to-day farm management and that Member States can select the instruments best suited to their regional circumstances.

Amendment 976
Jessika van Leeuwen, Sander Smit, Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices **defined** for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices **developed** for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take **due account of the views of farmers and their representative organisations to ensure that the protective practices are practical, proportionate and effectively contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 3. Member States shall take** utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to **local conditions, taking into account** different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Amendment 977

Barry Cowen, Asger Christensen, Charles Goerens, Benoit Cassart, Christine Singer, Elsi Katainen, Jérémy Decerle

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall **secure input from key**

CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

stakeholders, as set out in Article 6(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 202X/XXXX [NRP], in their design; take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2; and ensure existing agricultural activities and the economic viability of farm holdings are fully preserved. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Amendment 978
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices ***defined*** for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices ***designed*** for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall ***consult farmers and farming organizations to ensure their implementation are workable in practice and efficiently contribute to the general objectives set out in paragraph 3. Member states shall*** take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to ***local circumstances such as*** different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Amendment 979

Michal Wiezik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory. ***Member States shall ensure that the protective practices do not represent a regression in the level of environmental and climate protection compared with GAEC conditions established under Regulation (EU) 2021/2115.***

Or. en

Amendment 980

Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner, Christine Singer, Jérémy Decerle, Barry Cowen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice, ***and, where appropriate, to the relevant***

States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

indicators under the Union framework for budget expenditure tracking and performance. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment preserves Member State flexibility while making it transparent and assessable. Where protective practices are defined nationally, the NRP Plan should explain their expected contribution, the arrangements for assessing implementation. This avoids vague measures, supports legal certainty for farmers and land managers, and makes CAP delivery easier to compare and evaluate.

Amendment 981

Claudiu-Richard Târziu

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a ***concise*** description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2, ***while retaining full responsibility for determining measures appropriate to national and regional circumstances.*** Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment 982**Daniel Buda, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu****Proposal for a regulation****Article 3 – paragraph 5***Text proposed by the Commission*

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. ***In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2.*** Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.



Or. ro

Amendment 983**Luke Ming Flanagan, Konstantinos Arvanitis, Sebastian Everding, Arash Saeidi**
on behalf of The Left Group**Proposal for a regulation****Article 3 – paragraph 5***Text proposed by the Commission*

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the

CAP ***national recommendations*** referred to in ***Article 2***. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

CAP ***general and specific objectives*** referred to in ***this regulation***. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory ***to ensure that farmers can continue to carry out an agricultural activity on the land.***

Or. en

Justification

It is important to have general objective laid down at EU level, common to all Member States to maintain the commonality of the policy and to maintain a level playing field.

Amendment 984
Georgiana Teodorescu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. ***In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2.*** Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems, ***specific regional phytosanitary pressures, such as cross-border invasions of agricultural pests and plant diseases stemming from adjacent non-EU countries using active chemical substances prohibited within the Union,*** and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Amendment 985
Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding, Luke Ming Flanagan, Arash Saeidi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective **and restorative** practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective **and restorative** practice. In setting the protective **and restorative** practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective **and restorative** practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Amendment 986
Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, **Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2.** Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a **general** description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Justification

Based on the current experience with the implementation of the CAP Strategic Plan, Member States should be allowed to include in their Plans only a general description of protective practices. Due to the extended time to amend the Plan, the detailed provisions on the practices should be included in the national legislation. The inclusion of the CAP in one NRP Plan will further complicate and extend the process of introducing possible amendments. Taking into account the principle of subsidiarity, Member States should have flexibility to decide how to implement the CAP, taking into account their national or regional needs and challenges as well as the CAP national recommendation. Information concerning the CAP national recommendation is already provided in Article 2.

Amendment 987

Marta Wcislo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall ***take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall*** adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a ***general*** description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Justification

Based on experience with the implementation of the CAP Strategic Plans, Member States should be allowed to include only a general description of protective practices in their Plans, while detailed rules should be set out in national legislation. This would ensure greater flexibility and avoid further complicating or prolonging the amendment process, particularly in view of the integration of the CAP into a single NRP Plan.

Amendment 988
Pekka Toveri

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems, **production systems**, and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Amendment 989

Jérémy Decerle, Benoit Cassart, Laurence Farreng, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Grégory Allione, Pascal Canfin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices ***defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including*** their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice ***and a summary of the protective practice. In setting*** the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the NRP Plan a description of the protective practices ***selected from the list proposed by the Commission, specifying*** their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice. ***In choosing*** the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Justification

With a view to ensuring a common approach to sustainable agricultural management: bringing this paragraph into line with the amendment to paragraph 4, which allows protection practices to be defined by means of a list reflecting the diversity of soil and climate conditions across the Union's territories, as proposed by the Commission

Amendment 990

Dario Nardella, André Franqueira Rodrigues, Cristina Maestre, Eric Sargiacomo, Stefano Bonaccini, Claire Fita, Marko Vešligaj, Elena Sancho Murillo, Camilla Laureti

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall include in the **NRP** Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Amendment

5. Member States shall include in the **NRCAP** Plan a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. en

Amendment 991

Paulo do Nascimento Cabral, Ana Miguel Pedro, Sérgio Humberto, Paulo Cunha, Sebastião Bugalho

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3.º – paragraph 5

5. Member States shall include in the ***NRP Plan*** a description of the protective practices defined for each of the objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

5. Member States shall include in the ***CAP*** a description of the protective practices defined for each of the ***general*** objectives set out in paragraph 4 including their territorial scope, farmers and other beneficiaries subject to the practice and a summary of the protective practice. In setting the protective practices, Member States shall take utmost account of the CAP national recommendations referred to in Article 2. Member States shall adapt the protective practices to different land management systems and different environmental and climatic conditions in their territory.

Or. pt

Amendment 992

Carmen Crespo Díaz, Elena Nevado del Campo, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 5 a (new)

5a. Incidents detected through area-based monitoring systems, satellite imagery, automated checks or digital tools shall be used primarily to streamline administrative processes and shall not, in themselves, lead to automatic reductions, exclusions or penalties. Before imposing any financial penalty, the beneficiary shall be given the opportunity to provide clarifications, correct obvious errors or supply the necessary information within a reasonable period of time. Controls shall be applied in a proportionate and risk-based manner, with the primary focus on rectification and guidance.

Or. es

Justification

Digitalisation and remote sensing should serve to simplify processes, reduce the need for on-site inspections and improve management, not to increase the number of automatic penalties. This amendment is in line with the principles of simplification, legal certainty and proportionality.

Amendment 993
Willemien Koning

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. When designing the protective practices, Member States shall ensure that the estimated economic burden resulting from their application, in terms of additional costs and income foregone, remains proportionate and does not exceed the level of the area-based income support per hectare referred to in Article 6. The NRP Plan shall substantiate how this proportionality has been taken into account.



Or. en

Justification

Income support and farm stewardship are two sides of the same bargain. If the economic burden of the required practices exceeds what a farmer receives per hectare, participation in the CAP becomes a net cost and farmers will opt out. Assessing proportionality at the level of the design of the NRP Plan makes this safeguard verifiable without creating an individual entitlement that cannot be administered.

Amendment 994
Jessika van Leeuwen, Sander Smit, Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. Member States shall ensure that the implementation of protective practices

remains proportionate to the level of degressive area-based income support per hectare, taking into account the costs incurred and income foregone by recipients.

Or. en

Amendment 995

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. The Commission in its coherence and consistency check of the NRP plans according to Article 23(1) [NRP] shall ensure that the definition by Member States of protective practices is satisfactory to ensure no significant harm.



Or. en

Amendment 996

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. Member States shall ensure that implementation of protective practices shall not lead to costs incurred or income forgone for recipients beyond half of the level set for degressive area-based income support per hectare.

Or. en

Amendment 997

Wouter Beke, Pascal Arimont

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. *Member States shall implement the right to error, whereby minor and non-intentional errors committed by farmers result, where appropriate, in a warning or advisory guidance rather than penalties.*

Or. en

Amendment 998

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5 b (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5b. *If payments are not covered, or exempted from, or deemed to comply with the DNSH principle without due justification or evidence, or if real-world evidence shows it to be the case, then they shall be assumed to do harm and be reduced appropriately, in line with budgetary efficiency principles.*

Or. en

Amendment 999

Katarína Roth Neved'alová, Erik Kaliňák

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 6**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. *Farmers whose entire holding is certified in accordance with Regulation*

deleted

(EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

¹⁰ *Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).*

Or. en

Amendment 1000
Veronika Vrecionová

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. Farmers whose entire holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

deleted

¹⁰ *Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).*

Or. en

Justification

The current provision creates an automatic presumption that organic farmers comply with soil erosion and water protection requirements solely by virtue of organic certification. However, organic certification does not necessarily ensure lower erosion risks, as mechanical weed control may increase soil disturbance. Deleting this provision removes an unjustified exemption and ensures equal environmental requirements for all farmers.

Amendment 1001 **Vilis Krištopans**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 3 – paragraph 6**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. Farmers whose entire holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c). **deleted**

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Or. en

Amendment 1002 **Krzysztof Hetman**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 3 – paragraph 6**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. Farmers *whose entire holding is* certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament

6. Farmers certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰

and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b), ***in relation to their organic production units, as defined in Article 3, point (10), of Regulation (EU) 2018/848, and their in-conversion production units as defined in Article 3, point (11), of that Regulation.***

Member States may, taking into consideration the administrative burden of checks, decide that only farmers certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 whose entire holding consists of organic production units, as defined in Article 3, point (10), of Regulation (EU) 2018/848, or in-conversion production units, as defined in Article 3, point (11), of that Regulation, or of both organic production units and in-conversion production units, are deemed to comply with minimum protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in Annex I Part C points (b) and (c).

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Or. en

Justification

This provision should be adjusted to the provisions introduced by Omnibus III in this regard (Regulation 2025/2649). Removing letter c is a consequence of changes introduced in paragraph 4.

Amendment 1003
Marta Wcisło

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 6

6. Farmers ***whose entire holding is*** certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

6. Farmers certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, ***point (b) in relation to their organic production units, as defined in Article 3, point (10), of Regulation (EU) 2018/848, and their in-conversion production units as defined in Article 3, point (11), of that Regulation. Member States may, taking into consideration the administrative burden of checks, decide that only farmers certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 whose entire holding consists of organic production units, as defined in Article 3, point (10), of Regulation (EU) 2018/848, or in-conversion production units, as defined in Article 3, point (11), of that Regulation, or of both organic production units and in-conversion production units, are deemed to comply with minimum protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in Annex I Part C points (b) and (c).***

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Or. en

Justification

This provision should be adjusted to the provisions introduced by Omnibus III in this regard (Regulation 2025/2649).

Removing letter c is a consequence of changes introduced in paragraph 4.

Amendment 1004
Daniel Buda, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Farmers whose **entire** holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in **the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in** paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

Amendment

6. Farmers whose holding is certified **in whole or in part** in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c) **listed in part C of Annex I to this Regulation in respect to their organic production units, as defined in Article 3(4).**

Member States may, taking into consideration the administrative burden of checks, decide that only farmers certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 whose holding consists in whole or in part of organic production units, as defined in Article 3, point (10), of Regulation (EU) 2018/848, or of in-conversion production units, as defined in Article 3, point (11), of that Regulation, or of both such production units, are deemed to comply with the protective practices listed in Annex I, part C of this Regulation.

¹⁰ **Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).**

Or. ro

Amendment 1005
Georgiana Teodorescu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Farmers whose **entire** holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices **set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).**

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Amendment

6. Farmers whose holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices **listed in Annex I, Part C to this Regulation in relation to their organic production units, as defined in Article 3, point (10), of Regulation (EU) 2018/848, and their in-conversion production units, as defined in Article 3, point (11), of that Regulation.**

Member States may, taking into consideration the administrative burden of checks, decide that only farmers certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 whose entire holding consists of organic production units, as defined in Article 3, point (10), of Regulation 2018/848, or of in-conversion production units, as defined in Article 3, point (11), of that Regulation, or of both such production units, are deemed to comply with protective practices listed in Annex I, Part C to this Regulation.

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Or. en

Amendment 1006
Claudiu-Richard Târziu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. Farmers whose entire holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

6. Farmers whose entire holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

Member States may extend equivalent simplifications to farmers participating in recognised national or regional environmental certification schemes that provide comparable environmental outcomes.

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Or. en

Amendment 1007
André Franqueira Rodrigues

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3.º – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Farmers whose entire holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council

Amendment

6. Farmers whose entire holding, ***or a parcel, production unit or part thereof***, is certified ***or is being converted to organic production*** in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council shall be deemed to comply, ***with regard to the area, parcel, unit or part of the holding concerned***, with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Or. pt

Justification

Farmers should not be required to have their entire holding certified as organic to benefit from organic farming rules or practices. A parcel or production unit approach supports gradual conversion, reduces administrative barriers and reflects the practical reality of mixed farms or farms in transition.

Amendment 1008

Carmen Crespo Díaz, Elena Nevado del Campo, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Farmers whose entire holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Amendment

6. Farmers whose entire holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ ***or who participate in other sustainable farming systems recognised by the Member States*** shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150, 14.6.2018, p. 1). ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>.

Or. es

Amendment 1009

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Farmers whose **entire** holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with **protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).**

Amendment

6. Farmers whose holding is **fully or partially** certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council shall be deemed to comply with **Annex I of this Regulation**

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Or. en

Justification

As was the case in the last simplification process, organic farms or those in conversion should be considered, for the parts managed organically, to ipso facto meet the farm stewardship criteria.

Amendment 1010
Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Farmers whose entire holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in **the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).**

Amendment

6. Farmers whose entire holding is certified **or in conversion** in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰, **or fully covered by efficiency schemes as defined in Article 6a (new),** shall be deemed to comply with **the** protective practices set out in **Annex I, Part C.**

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L **150** 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L **150**, 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Or. fr

Amendment 1011
Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Farmers whose **entire** holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

Amendment

6. Farmers whose **at least 50 % of utilised agricultural area of the** holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council, shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

¹⁰ **Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).**

Or. en

Amendment 1012
Raffaele Stancanelli, Isabella Tovaglieri, Gilles Pennelle, Valérie Deloge, Mireia Borrás Pabón, Csaba Dömötör, Tomáš Kubín, Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 6

6. Farmers whose **entire** holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the **NRP** Plans **in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).**

6. Farmers whose holding is **wholly or partially** certified, **or under conversion**, in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council shall be deemed to comply with **the** protective practices set out in the **CAP** Plans.

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Or. en

Amendment 1013

Paulo do Nascimento Cabral, Ana Miguel Pedro, Sérgio Humberto, Paulo Cunha, Sebastião Bugalho

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3.º – paragraph 6

6. Farmers whose entire holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the **NRP Plans** in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

6. Farmers whose entire holding **or part thereof** is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the **CAP** in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c).

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Or. pt

Amendment 1014

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Farmers whose entire holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, **points (b) and (c)**.

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Amendment

6. Farmers whose entire holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, **point (c)**.

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Or. de

Amendment 1015

Dario Nardella, André Franqueira Rodrigues, Cristina Maestre, Stefano Bonaccini, Marko Vešligaj, Elena Sancho Murillo, Camilla Laureti, Hélène Fritzon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Farmers whose **entire** holding is certified in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in **the NRP Plans in respect to objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (b) and (c)**.

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of

Amendment

6. Farmers whose holding is **fully or partially** certified, **or under conversion**, in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ shall be deemed to comply with protective practices set out in **Annexe I, point (c), of this Regulation**.

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of

30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 (OJ L 150 14.6.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/oj>).

Or. en

Justification

As established under the recent CAP simplification package, actions carried out under organic farming or in conversion to organic farming should be considered, for the parts conducted in accordance with organic production standards, as complying with the Farm Stewardship requirements.

Amendment 1016

Carlo Fidanza, Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6a. The reduction shall not apply to cooperatives bringing together farmers, smallholders or workers for the purpose of jointly managing assets, which thereby contribute to strengthening farmers by improving their competitiveness and their position within the agri-food supply chain. In the case of a legal person, Member States may choose not to apply the reduction if national law provides that individual members assume rights and obligations comparable to those of individual farmers with the status of head of holding, in particular as regards their economic, social and tax status, or if the legal persons are required to contribute to the strengthening of their members' agricultural structures.

Or. en

Justification

It is considered that the capping provisions penalise those situations where small producers or landowners join forces to carry out agricultural activities as an association.

The rationale behind capping is to impose a limit on an individual beneficiary, on the assumption that such a person is a farmer with large areas of land. In the case of farming cooperatives and/or communal livestock farms – which represent a numerically small group – these are small farms or workers who come together to optimise costs and safeguard their profitability. Therefore, if these entities were also affected indiscriminately, the result would be the opposite of the intended effect: a measure that discourages the most vulnerable from joining forces. This approach runs counter to the general objectives of the CAP, which include increasing agricultural competitiveness, strengthening farmers' position in the value chain and promoting employment.

Protecting this category, through the proposed amendment, does not entail a significant reduction in the resources to be redistributed, as only a small number of cooperative entities would benefit from it.

Amendment 1017

Valérie Deloge, Gilles Pennelle, Marie Dauchy, Mireia Borrás Pabón

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

 **6a. Farmers who use more than 75 % of their eligible utilised agricultural area for the production of grasses or other herbaceous forage shall be deemed to comply with the protective practices set out in the NRP plans in relation to the objectives referred to in paragraph 4(a), (b) and (c).**

Or. fr

Amendment 1018

Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6a. 6a. Farmers of whom more than 75% of the eligible utilised agricultural area is devoted to the production of grass or other herbaceous forage shall be deemed to comply with the protection practices set out in the NRP plans as

regards the objectives provided for in paragraph 4, points (a), (b) and (c).

Or. en

Amendment 1019

Eric Sargiacomo, Claire Fita, Chloé Ridel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6a. Farmers whose more than 75 % of eligible agricultural area is devoted to the production of grass or other herbaceous forage shall be deemed to comply with the protective practices set out in the NRP Plans in respect to the objectives set out in paragraph 4, points (a), (b) and (c).

Or. en

Justification

Grassland and pastoral systems contribute significantly to environmental objectives (carbon storage, biodiversity, fire prevention, and the maintenance of open landscapes). Imposing generic cross-compliance requirements designed for large-scale crop systems on these systems is counterproductive and may even lead to constraints that reduce the ecosystem benefits of grasslands.

Amendment 1020

Arash Saeidi, Sebastian Everding, Emma Fourreau

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to

deleted

permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. fr

Amendment 1021

Katarína Roth Neved'alová, Erik Kaliňák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. *In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1022

Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 7

7. *In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1023
Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 7

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species, **and an option to apply measures to limit the damage caused by protected species and other species that have a significant impact on agricultural production, in accordance with Union law.** Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to

address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. pl

Amendment 1024

Barry Cowen, Asger Christensen, Christine Singer, Elsi Katainen, Jérémy Decerle

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, ***such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species***. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Amendment

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. en

Justification

It is important to avoid listed examples being construed as a fully exhaustive list from which derogations may be provided. Member States should be provided with the opportunity to add additional practices, and identify those situations/events from which specific exemptions from specified protective practices may be provided as outlined within the Regulation.

Amendment 1025

Carlo Fidanza, Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. *In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4*, Member States may *establish in their NRP Plan* specific exemptions from *those protective practices* based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and *farming systems* or damage *to* permanent *grasslands* due, inter alia, to *wild animals* or invasive species. *Those* specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of *their area coverage*, shall be established only *in the event*, and to the extent, that they are necessary to *address* specific problems in the application of *those* practices and shall not *hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4* or distort competition.

Amendment

7. Member States may *provide for* specific exemptions from *these protection measures in their respective CAP plans*, based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and *cultivation methods*, or damage *suffered by* permanent *grassland* due, inter alia, to *wildlife* or invasive species. *Such* specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of *the area covered; they* shall be established only *if* and to the extent that they are necessary to *remedy* specific problems in the application of *such* practices and shall not distort competition.

Or. en

Justification

Change resulting from Amendment 2.

Amendment 1026

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding, Luke Ming Flanagan, Arash Saeidi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that

Amendment

7. In setting the protective *and restorative* practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective *and restorative* practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or *proven* damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be *exceptional and* limited in terms of their

they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. en

Amendment 1027
Georgiana Teodorescu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Amendment

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems, ***extraordinary phytosanitary crises***, or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not ***significantly*** hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. en

Amendment 1028
Daniel Buda, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their **area** coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their **geographic** coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper **in any significant way** the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. ro

Amendment 1029
Salvatore De Meo

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. ***In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4***, Member States may establish in their **NRP** Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

7. Member States may establish in their **NRPAC** Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. it

Amendment 1030

Carmen Crespo Díaz, Elena Nevado del Campo, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific ***exemptions from those*** protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types ***and*** farming systems ***or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species.*** Those ***specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the*** objectives set out in ***paragraph 4 or distort competition.***

Amendment

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific ***derogations from the*** protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, ***permanent pasture,*** soil types, farming systems, ***agronomic conditions,*** damage ***caused by wildlife*** or invasive species, ***or areas with natural constraints, including areas affected by water scarcity or aridity.*** Those ***derogations*** shall be ***proportionate, justified and limited to what is necessary to ensure the practical applicability of the rules without compromising*** the objectives set out in ***this Regulation.***

Or. es

Justification

Protection practices must be capable of adapting to real-world implementation challenges on the ground.

Amendment 1031

Dario Nardella, André Franqueira Rodrigues, Cristina Maestre, Eric Sargiacomo, Stefano Bonaccini, Claire Fita, Marko Vešligaj, Elena Sancho Murillo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their ***NRP*** Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory

Amendment

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their ***NRCAP*** Plan specific ***and limited*** exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and

criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. en

Amendment 1032
Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. ***In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4***, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from ***those*** protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in ***paragraph 4*** or distort competition.

Amendment

7. ***With the Commission's consent***, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in ***Annex 1, Part C***, or distort competition.

Or. pl

Amendment 1033
Raffaele Stancanelli, Isabella Tovaglieri, Ton Diepeveen, Gilles Pennelle, Valérie Deloge, Barbara Bonte, Mireia Borrás Pabón, Csaba Dömötör, Tomáš Kubín, Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established **only in the event, and** to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Amendment

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. en

Amendment 1034

Paulo do Nascimento Cabral, Ana Miguel Pedro, Sérgio Humberto, Paulo Cunha, Sebastião Bugalho

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3.º – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in **their NRP Plan** specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific

Amendment

7. In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4, Member States may establish in **the CAP** specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific

problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. pt

Amendment 1035
Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. ***In setting the protective practices referred to in paragraph 4***, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in ***paragraph 4*** or distort competition.

Amendment

7. ***Subject to the Commission's approval***, Member States may establish in their NRP Plan specific exemptions from those protective practices based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, such as crops, soil types and farming systems or damage to permanent grasslands due, inter alia, to wild animals or invasive species. Those specific exemptions shall be limited in terms of their area coverage, shall be established only in the event, and to the extent, that they are necessary to address specific problems in the application of those practices and shall not hamper the objectives set out in ***Annex I, Part C***, or distort competition.

Or. fr

Amendment 1036
Claudiu-Richard Târziu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where ***exceptional*** weather ***or***

prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would **hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4**. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria **and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition**.

climatic conditions, natural disasters, animal or plant diseases, pest outbreaks, force majeure or other duly justified exceptional circumstances, prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would **seriously affect the economic viability of agricultural holdings or undermine sustainable agricultural production**. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, **while preserving the overall objectives of this Regulation**.

Or. en

Amendment 1037

Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from **protective practices**, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices **or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4**. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria **and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition**.

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from **conditionality**, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria.

Or. en

Amendment 1038

Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in **paragraph 4**. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in **paragraph 4** or distort competition.

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions **or other constraints, such as plant diseases or pest infestations, or nationwide or local disturbances**, prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in **Annex I, Part C**. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in **Annex I, Part C**, or distort competition.



Or. fr

Amendment 1039

Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions **or other restrictions, such as plant diseases or pest infestations or nationwide or local disorder**, prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their

criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. pl

Amendment 1040
Georgiana Teodorescu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. ***Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.***

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions ***or extraordinary phytosanitary crises*** prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. ***Such*** temporary derogations ***may notably be granted to farmers in regions facing cross-border invasions of agricultural pests and plant diseases originating from adjacent non-EU countries using active chemical substances prohibited within the Union, where immediate emergency response or mechanical containment measures prevent compliance with standard practices.***

Or. en

Amendment 1041
Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are **limited** in their scope and duration **to the extent necessary**, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions **or other events, such as market disruptions due to increased imports from third countries, or outbreak of animal or plant diseases**, prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are **adequate** in their scope and duration, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. en

Amendment 1042
Maria Walsh

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions, **damage by wild animals or invasive species, plant diseases, pest infestations or other force majeure** prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Justification

The amendment specifies that derogations should also be issued for damage done by wild animals or invasive species, as well as other force majeure preventing farmers from adhering to the farm stewardship.

Amendment 1043

Carlo Fidanza, Waldemar Buda

Proposal for a regulation**Article 3 – paragraph 8***Text proposed by the Commission*

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices ***or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4.*** Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria ***and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4*** or distort competition.

Amendment

8. **8.** Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions, ***plant diseases or pest infestations*** prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria or distort competition.

Or. en

Justification

Change resulting from Amendment 2. Temporary derogations may be necessary not only for climatic events but also for other causes such as plant diseases and epizootics

Amendment 1044

Anja Hazekamp, Sebastian Everding, Luke Ming Flanagan, Arash Saeidi

Proposal for a regulation**Article 3 – paragraph 8***Text proposed by the Commission**Amendment*

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition. ***Member States shall inform the Commission of granted temporary derogations.***

Or. en

Amendment 1045

Daniel Buda, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of ***those*** protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions, ***plant or animal diseases or pest infestations*** prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of ***the respective*** protective practices would hamper ***the achievement of*** the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper ***in any significant way*** the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. ro

Amendment 1046

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition. ***These derogations shall be subject to monitoring by the Commission.***

Or. en

Amendment 1047

Pekka Toveri

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions, ***plant diseases, pest infestations or nationwide or local disorder*** prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the

duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. en

Amendment 1048

Dario Nardella, André Franqueira Rodrigues, Cristina Maestre, Eric Sargiacomo, Stefano Bonaccini, Claire Fita, Marko Vešligaj, Elena Sancho Murillo, Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions, ***quarantine pests and epizotic outbreaks*** prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not ***significantly*** hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. en

Amendment 1049

Paulo do Nascimento Cabral, Ana Miguel Pedro, Sérgio Humberto, Paulo Cunha, Sebastião Bugalho

Proposal for a regulation Article 3.º – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions, ***plant diseases or pest infestations*** prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. pt

Amendment 1050

Carmen Crespo Díaz, Elena Nevado del Campo, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, ***where*** weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or ***where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary*** derogations ***are*** limited in ***their*** scope and duration ***to the extent necessary, granted*** based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria ***and that they do not hamper the*** objectives set out in ***paragraph 4 or distort*** competition.

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices ***when*** weather conditions, ***drought, flooding, fires, plant diseases, pests, health restrictions, epizootics, damage caused by wildlife or other exceptional circumstances*** prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or ***render their application disproportionate. Such*** derogations ***shall be*** limited in scope and duration, ***be*** based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria, ***and shall not undermine the overall protection*** objectives set out in ***this Regulation***.

Or. es

Justification

The CAP must allow for flexibility in the event of droughts, pests, diseases, fires or other circumstances beyond the farmer's control. The temporary derogation prevents unfair penalties and ensures that environmental obligations are applied proportionately.

Amendment 1051

Salvatore De Meo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions, ***plant diseases or pest infestations*** prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. it

Amendment 1052

Barry Cowen, Asger Christensen, Charles Goerens, Benoit Cassart, Christine Singer, Elsi Katainen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions ***or plant disease and pest outbreaks*** prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from

where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. en

Amendment 1053
Christine Singer, Ciaran Mullooly

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations ***are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary***, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices ***to be applied across the whole Member State***, where weather conditions ***or other restrictions resulting from force majeure*** prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. en

Justification

It must be laid down in EU law that any exemptions granted shall apply nationwide within the respective Member State.

Amendment 1054
Stefano Bonaccini, Dario Nardella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from protective practices, where weather conditions, **plant pests and diseases** prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.



Or. en

Amendment 1055
Katarína Roth Neved'alová, Erik Kaliňák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from **protective practices**, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the

Amendment

8. Member States may grant temporary derogations from **conditionality**, where weather conditions prevent farmers and other beneficiaries from implementing those practices or where the implementation of those protective practices would hamper the objectives set out in paragraph 4. Member States shall ensure that the temporary derogations are limited in their scope and duration to the extent necessary, granted based on objective and non-discriminatory criteria and that they do not hamper the objectives

objectives set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

set out in paragraph 4 or distort competition.

Or. en

Amendment 1056

Céline Imart

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. Member States may recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), point (a), that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph. Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice. **deleted**

Or. fr

Amendment 1057

Katarína Roth Neved'alová, Erik Kaliňák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. Member States may recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), point (a), that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph. Member **deleted**

States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

Or. en

Amendment 1058

Asger Christensen, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. Member States may recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), point (a), that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph. Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice. *deleted*

Or. en

Justification

The article will allow Member States to pay for requirements (protective practices) which will potentially both further create an uneven playing field and hamper the green transition of the agricultural production.

Amendment 1059

Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. Member States may recognise the *deleted*

management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), point (a), that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph. Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

Or. en

Amendment 1060

Jérémy Decerle, Laurence Farreng, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Grégory Allione, Pascal Canfin

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. Member States may recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), point (a), that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph. Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

In order to ensure consistency in the implementation of CAP support - particularly in a context where national choices will have a significant impact on the final content of the plans – the baseline must constitute a common set of basic rules and must not be eligible for funding. The possibility of treating certain agri-environmental and climate actions as practices equivalent to cross-compliance would amount to a departure from a historic

principle of the CAP, namely that the 'baseline' is not funded, a principle which guarantees a level playing field between Member States

Amendment 1061

Claudiu-Richard Târziu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. Member States may recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), point (a), that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph. Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

Amendment

9. Member States may recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), point (a), ***as well as equivalent practices implemented under recognised national or regional certification schemes or voluntary sustainability schemes***, that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph. Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

Or. en

Amendment 1062

Paulo do Nascimento Cabral, Ana Miguel Pedro, Sérgio Humberto, Paulo Cunha, Sebastião Bugalho

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3.º – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. Member States may recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), point (a), that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article ***in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in***

Amendment

9. Member States may recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), point (a), that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article. Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who

the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph.
Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

Or. pt

Amendment 1063
Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. Member States may recognise the **management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions** referred to in Article 10(1), **point (a)**, that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to **that** paragraph. Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

Amendment

9. Member States may recognise the practices referred to in Article 10(1) **and Article 6a (new)**, that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to paragraph 4. Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

Or. pl

Amendment 1064
Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. Member States **may** recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), point (a), that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of

Amendment

9. Member States **shall** recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), point (a), that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of

this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph. Member States **may** deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph. Member States **shall** deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

Or. en

Amendment 1065
Marta Wcislo

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. Member States may recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), **point (a)**, that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph. Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

Amendment

9. Member States may recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph. Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

Or. en

Justification

Technical adjustment due to amendments introduced in other parts of the Regulation.

Amendment 1066
Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. Member States may recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), **point (a)**, that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph. Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

9. Member States may recognise the management practices under agri-environmental and climate actions referred to in Article 10(1), that contribute to the objectives set out in paragraph 4 of this Article in an equivalent way as the relevant protective practices established in the NRP Plan pursuant to that paragraph. Member States may deem farmers and other beneficiaries who commit to implement those equivalent practices to be compliant with the relevant protective practice.

Or. en

Justification

Technical adjustment due to amendments introduced in other parts of the Regulation.

Amendment 1067

Christine Singer, Asger Christensen, Barry Cowen, Ciaran Mullooly

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 9 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In doing so, Member States shall ensure a practice-oriented recognition of such measures, involve the agricultural sector in the design and implementation of those measures, and guarantee a nationally and EU-wide comparable approach to their recognition.

Or. en

Justification

A practice-oriented recognition of equivalent measures is essential to ensure workable implementation for farmers and administrations, particularly in federally organised Member States. Involving the agricultural sector improves practicality, acceptance and effectiveness. A sufficiently comparable approach across Member States enhances legal certainty, equal treatment of farmers and a level playing field.

Amendment 1068

Arash Saeidi, Luke Ming Flanagan, Sebastian Everding, Emma Fourreau

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9a. The granting of the support referred to in this Regulation shall be conditional upon the beneficiary making a binding commitment to reducing the use of active substances classified as carcinogenic, mutagenic or toxic, and of substances suspected of being endocrine disruptors in accordance with the criteria established by the European Union, with a mandatory phase-out timetable set for each sector. No authorisation by way of a national derogation concerning substances withdrawn from the Union market on those grounds may give rise to the maintenance of the support referred to in this Regulation. Member States shall accompany the phase-out with increased technical and financial support for the farms concerned, in particular those whose dependence on the inputs concerned is the result of previous decisions under the common agricultural policy.

Or. fr

Amendment 1069

Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9a. Member States shall recognise equivalent requirements fulfilled through recognised quality assurance schemes, environmental certification schemes,

sustainability certification systems, geographical indication schemes and other officially recognised certification systems. Where a beneficiary participates in such recognised schemes, equivalent Farm Stewardship obligations shall be deemed fulfilled. Member States shall establish equivalence tables identifying the Farm Stewardship requirements covered by each recognised certification scheme. For requirements deemed equivalent, beneficiaries shall not be required to submit additional evidence, records, declarations or supporting documentation, nor shall they be subject to additional administrative or on-the-spot controls relating to the same obligation.

Or. en

Amendment 1070
Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9a. *Where agri-environmental and climate actions are implemented through cooperative arrangements, Member States may provide that compliance with the relevant protective practices shall be assessed at the level of the cooperative or collective action, provided that the objectives set out in paragraph 4 are achieved. In such cases, individual members of the cooperative shall not be required to comply separately with the protective practices, nor shall reductions or financial penalties be calculated on an individual holding basis for the parcels of the holding effectively engaged in the cooperative arrangement. For all remaining parcels of the holding, compliance with the relevant protective practices shall continue to be assessed at*

the level of the individual holding.

Or. en

Amendment 1071

Carmen Crespo Díaz, Elena Nevado del Campo, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9a. For the purposes of verifying environmental and climate practices, Member States may use organic certification in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 or certifications under other sustainable farming schemes recognised by Member States and their regions as equivalent or complementary evidence, where such certification covers comparable objectives or requirements. This use of organic certification shall not result in a reduction in the applicable standards, but shall help to avoid duplication of checks, requests for information or administrative burdens on certified farmers, livestock farmers and operators.

Or. es

Justification

Organic certification is a harmonised, audited and recognised European system. Using it as equivalent evidence helps to streamline checks and reduce administrative burdens without compromising environmental standards.

Amendment 1072

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 9 a (new)

9a. If beneficiaries are to be deemed to comply with relevant protective practices in accordance with paragraph 9 in this article, Member States shall clearly describe/demonstrate in the NRP plan how the relevant management practice in article 10 in an equivalent way satisfies or fulfils the objective of the relevant protective practice in paragraph 4 of this article. Coherence and consistency therein shall be verified in the Commission coherence and consistency check of CAP parts of NRP plans, as described in article 2.

Or. en

**Amendment 1073
Willemien Koning**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 9 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9a. Member States may provide in their NRP Plans that compliance with one or more protective practices may be demonstrated through the achievement of measurable results at the level of the holding, on the basis of objective and verifiable indicators, including through participation in certified sustainability schemes. Member States shall involve farmers and farming organisations in the design of such result-based approaches.

Or. en

Justification

New paragraph, numbered without prejudice to the new paragraphs 9a and 9b proposed by the rapporteur in his draft report (Amendments 26 and 27). Focusing on outcomes rather than prescriptive means gives farmers flexibility to innovate, reduces red tape, and rewards actual performance. Successful Member State pilots prove that KPI-based approaches work.

Therefore, they deserve a clear legal basis under farm stewardship, alongside the recognition of equivalent practices under paragraph 9.

Amendment 1074

Arash Saeidi, Sebastian Everding, Emma Fourreau

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 9 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9b. European Fund for Environmental Disease Prevention and Relief.

(a) A European Fund for Environmental Disease Prevention and Relief shall be established to finance the fast-track recognition of occupational diseases linked to farmers' exposure to hazardous agricultural inputs, medical care and compensation for victims, and research into agricultural epidemiology.

(b) The Fund shall be financed by a compulsory contribution from the producers and marketers of plant protection products sold in the Union, calculated as a proportion of their turnover and of the hazardousness of the substances placed on the market, in accordance with the polluter-pays principle.

(c) Farmers recognised as sufferers of an occupational disease linked to exposure to agricultural inputs shall benefit from a simplified, fast-track compensation procedure, without prejudice to existing national schemes, which the Fund shall complement.

Or. fr

Amendment 1075

Vilis Krištopans

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 9 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9b. Where a beneficiary is not established in the Union but carries out agricultural activities in a given Member State, the competent authority of that Member State may, as a condition of payment, require the beneficiary to provide all information necessary to verify compliance with farm stewardship requirements, including records relating to land use, crop rotation, livestock management and application of inputs on agricultural areas managed by that beneficiary, or to submit to controls.

Or. en

Amendment 1076

Dario Nardella, Giuseppe Antoci, André Franqueira Rodrigues, Eric Sargiacomo, Stefano Bonaccini, Claire Fita, Marko Vešligaj, Maria Noichl, Camilla Laureti

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 3a

Article 3a

Farm stewardship Control System

1. Member States shall as part of the controls referred to in Article 58 of the NRP Regulation [Responsibilities of Member States] verify the compliance of beneficiaries with the requirements of the farm stewardship referred to in Article 3 of this Regulation.

Where the area eligible for the support referred to in the first subparagraph, as declared in the geo-spatial application referred to in Article 20j(new) [IACS], does not exceed 10 hectares, the beneficiaries shall be exempted from controls and penalties under this Article,

except for the social conditionality system.

Where a beneficiary has been selected for an on-the-spot check on an aid application or on a payment claim, Member States shall, to the extent possible and taking account of the associated risks, not select that beneficiary for a subsequent check and control sample for that year, except when the circumstances require more than one on-the-spot check in order to ensure the effective protection of the financial interests of the Union. This provision shall not reduce the level of checks.

2. Member States shall make use of their control and enforcement systems in the areas of climate and environment, public health, plant health and animal welfare, social and employment legislation, applicable labour standards, to ensure that beneficiaries of the support comply with the requirements set out in the first paragraph. When enforcing employment legislation, Member States shall consult the relevant national social partners representing management and labour in the agricultural sector. Member States shall fully respect the autonomy of these partners, as well as their rights to negotiate and conclude collective agreements.

Member States shall take the necessary measures to ensure adequate financial resources for the effective inspection and control of the provisions set out in Annex I, Part B of this Regulation. Member States shall ensure that, every year, at least 10% of beneficiaries of payments under Article 5(1) of this Regulation are inspected or controlled. This article shall be without prejudice to those Member States in which inspections are carried out by, or with the involvement of, social partners.

3. The managing authority or paying agency shall be notified where relevant at least once a year of cases of non-compliance where enforceable decisions

in that respect have been made under the applicable control and enforcement systems referred to in paragraph 2. That notification shall include an assessment and grading of the severity, extent, permanence or reoccurrence and intentionality of the non-compliance concerned.

4. The administrative penalties referred to in paragraph 5 shall only apply to non-compliance with the requirements of the farm stewardship referred to in Article 3 of this Regulation XX [, farm stewardship] where that non-compliance is the result of an act or omission directly attributable to the beneficiary concerned, and where one or both of the following conditions are met:

(a) the non-compliance is related to the agricultural activity of the beneficiary, as defined by Member States in their NRP Plans in accordance with Article 1b (new)

(2) [framework definition of agricultural activity];

(b) the non-compliance concerns the holding as defined in Article 1a (new), (4a) [definitions - holding] or other areas managed by the beneficiary situated within the territory of the same Member State.

However, if the non-compliance concerns forest areas, the penalties referred to in paragraph 5 shall not be applied where no support is claimed for the area concerned.

5. Member States shall set up a system of administrative penalties applying to beneficiaries referred to in paragraph 4 who do not comply, at any time in the calendar year concerned, with the requirements of the farm stewardship.

The penalties shall consist of the reduction or exclusion of the total amount of the payments under measures listed in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), and (o) and (p) of that Regulation to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, of this Regulation granted or to

be granted to the beneficiary concerned in respect of aid applications that the beneficiary has submitted or will submit in the course of the calendar year of the finding of the non-compliance. The penalties shall be calculated on the basis of the payments granted or to be granted in the calendar year in which the non-compliance occurred. However, where it is not possible to determine the calendar year in which the non-compliance occurred, the reductions or exclusions shall be calculated on the basis of the payments granted or to be granted in the calendar year of the finding of the non-compliance.

For the calculation of those penalties, account shall be taken of the severity, extent, permanence or reoccurrence and intentionality of the non-compliance determined, in line with the assessment referred to in paragraph 3.

The expenditure which has been reduced as a result of the application of a penalty shall be considered legal and regular. The reduction shall, as a general rule, be 3 % of the total amount of the payments. In the case of intentional non-compliance, the reduction shall be at least 15 % of the amount of those payments. Member States shall provide that no administrative penalty shall be imposed if:

- (a) The non-compliance is due to force majeure or exceptional circumstances;*
- (b) The non-compliance is due to an order from a public authority.*

6 By way of derogation from previous paragraph, Member States shall establish a grading system specifying the administrative penalties applicable to non-compliance with statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B, developed in full consultation with the social partners representing management and labour in the agricultural sector. The grading system shall include reductions of CAP payments and benefits and, where

applicable, total exclusion from such payments and benefits. The grading system shall determine the applicable reduction by taking into account the severity of the workers' rights violation, the number of workers affected, the reoccurrence and intentionality.

The reduction shall be at least 7% of the total amount of the receivable CAP payments and benefits and shall increase progressively according to the seriousness of the infringement and the number of workers affected. Where the same non-compliance persists or reoccurs within three consecutive calendar years, the reduction shall be increased by 50%.

Member States shall exclude from payments referred to Article 5(1) of this Regulation beneficiaries who have been convicted by final judgement at national level at national level of severe labour exploitation, including unlawful labour intermediation and human trafficking, or of offences relating to participation in a criminal organisation, mafia-type criminal association or other offences relating to serious organised crime, as defined under Union and national law, where the conviction concerns the beneficiary, its legal representative or persons exercising ownership or control over the beneficiary.

of participation in a criminal organisation or offences relating to participation in a criminal of severe labour exploitation such as, undeclared work, illegal labour intermediation and human trafficking.

7. Member States shall establish an ex-ante verification mechanism to ensure that CAP support is granted exclusively to new beneficiaries that comply with the statutory management requirements set out in Annex I, Part B. The ex-ante verification shall take place before the first annual granting CAP fundings and shall be built on existing due diligence mechanisms and make use of digital tools, labour-input congruence indicators and

the cross-checking of data held by competent public authorities, including social security and labour authorities, for the purpose of identifying non-compliance, abuse or fraud.

Or. en

Justification

Former NRP Art. 62(1)to(5). Para 1 has been amended excluding social conditionality system from the exemption granted to beneficiaries below 10 hectares. Added the following paras: 2, 6(new) and 7(new).Regarding social conditionality, all Member States shall apply effective, proportionate and dissuasive sanctions which are based on the nature of workers' rights violation and on its severity, extent, duration, reoccurrence and intentionality.

Amendment 1077

Barry Cowen, Asger Christensen, Jérémy Decerle

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 3a

Farm stewardship Control System

1. Member States shall as part of the controls referred to in Article 58 of the NRP Regulation [Responsibilities of Member States] verify the compliance of beneficiaries receiving support under interventions referred to in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), and points (o) and (p) with the requirements of the farm stewardship referred to in Article 3 of this Regulation

By way of derogation from paragraph 1 and 5 of this Article, the control and penalties system shall not apply to beneficiaries receiving payments referred to in Article 7.

Where the area eligible for the support referred to in the first subparagraph, as declared in the geo-spatial application referred to in Article 20j(new) [IACS], does not exceed 10 hectares, the

beneficiaries listed in paragraph 1 shall be exempted from controls and penalties under this Article. Where a beneficiary has been selected for an on-the-spot check on an aid application or on a payment claim, Member States shall, to the extent possible and taking account of the associated risks, not select that beneficiary for a subsequent check and control sample for that year, except when the circumstances require more than one on-the-spot check in order to ensure the effective protection of the financial interests of the Union. This provision shall not reduce the level of checks.

2. Member States shall make use of their existing control and enforcement systems in the areas of the CAP, climate and environment, public health, plant health and animal welfare, social and employment legislation, applicable labour standards, to ensure that beneficiaries of the support comply with the requirements set out in the first paragraph. Those systems shall be compatible with the control systems referred to in paragraph 1.

3. The managing authority or paying agency shall be notified at least once a year of cases of non-compliance where enforceable decisions in that respect have been made under the applicable control and enforcement systems referred to in paragraph 2. That notification shall include an assessment and grading of the severity, extent, permanence or reoccurrence and intentionality of the non-compliance concerned.

4. The administrative penalties referred to in paragraph 5 shall only apply to non-compliance with the requirements of the farm stewardship referred to in Article 3 of this Regulation XX [, farm stewardship] where that non-compliance is the result of an act or omission directly attributable to the beneficiary concerned, and where one or both of the following conditions are met:

(a) the non-compliance is related to the agricultural activity of the beneficiary, as defined by Member States in their NRP Plans in accordance with Article 1b (new) (2) [framework definition of agricultural activity];

(b) the non-compliance concerns the holding as defined in Article 1a (new), (4a) [definitions - holding] or other areas managed by the beneficiary situated within the territory of the same Member State.

However, if the non-compliance concerns forest areas, the penalties referred to in paragraph 5 shall not be applied where no support is claimed for the area concerned.

5. Member States shall set up a system of administrative penalties applying to beneficiaries referred to in paragraph 4 who do not comply, at any time in the calendar year concerned, with the requirements of the farm stewardship.

In their system of administrative penalties referred to in paragraph 1, Member States:

(a) shall include rules on the application of administrative penalties in cases where the agricultural land, or an agricultural holding, or part thereof, is transferred during the calendar year or the years concerned; those rules shall be based on a fair and equitable attribution of the liability for non-compliance among transferors and transferees. For this purpose, 'transfer' means any type of transaction whereby the agricultural land, or the agricultural holding, or part thereof, ceases to be at the disposal of the transferor;

(b) may decide, notwithstanding paragraph 1, not to apply an administrative penalty to a beneficiary per a calendar year when the amount of the penalty is EUR 100 or less; however, the beneficiary shall be informed about the finding of the non-compliance and about the obligation to take remedial action for

the future;

The penalties shall consist of the reduction or exclusion of the total amount of the payments under measures listed in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), and (o) and (p) of that Regulation to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, of this Regulation granted or to be granted to the beneficiary concerned in respect of aid applications that the beneficiary has submitted or will submit in the course of the calendar year of the finding of the non-compliance.

The penalties shall be calculated on the basis of the payments granted or to be granted in the calendar year in which the non-compliance occurred. However, where it is not possible to determine the calendar year in which the non-compliance occurred, the reductions or exclusions shall be calculated on the basis of the payments granted or to be granted in the calendar year of the finding of the non-compliance.

For the calculation of those penalties, account shall be taken of the severity, extent, permanence or reoccurrence and intentionality of the non-compliance determined, in line with the assessment referred to in paragraph 3. A penalty imposed pursuant to the national legislation implementing the legal acts listed in Annex I, parts A and B, to this Regulation XX for the same act or omission of a farmer or other beneficiary shall be deducted in the calculation of penalties referred to in the first subparagraph.

The expenditure which has been reduced as a result of the application of a penalty shall be considered legal and regular. The reduction shall, as a general rule, be 3 % of the total amount of the payments. Where the non-compliance has grave consequences for the achievement of the objective of the standard or requirement concerned or constitutes a direct risk to public or animal health, a higher

percentage reduction than 3% shall be applied. In the case of intentional non-compliance, the reduction shall be at least 15 % of the amount of those payments. For this purpose, further reoccurrences of the same non-compliance without justified reason by the beneficiary shall be considered to be cases of intentional non-compliance.

Member States shall provide that no administrative penalty shall be imposed if:

- (a) The non-compliance is due to force majeure or exceptional circumstances;*
- (b) The non-compliance is due to an order from a public authority.*

Where the non-compliance has no or only insignificant consequences for the achievement of the objective of the standard or requirement concerned, no administrative penalty shall be applied. Member States shall set up an awareness mechanism to ensure that beneficiaries are informed about the non-compliance detected and possible remedial actions to be taken. That mechanism shall also include the specific farm advisory services referred to in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 participation at which may be made mandatory for the beneficiaries concerned.

Or. en

Amendment 1078

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 3a

Farm stewardship Control System

1. Member States shall as part of the controls referred to in Article 58 of the

NRP Regulation [Responsibilities of Member States] verify the compliance of beneficiaries with the requirements of the farm stewardship referred to in Article 3 of this Regulation

Where a beneficiary has been selected for an on-the-spot check on an aid application or on a payment claim, Member States shall, to the extent possible and taking account of the associated risks, not select that beneficiary for a subsequent check and control sample for that year, except when the circumstances require more than one on-the-spot check in order to ensure the effective protection of the financial interests of the Union. This provision shall not reduce the level of checks.

2. Member States shall make use of their control and enforcement systems in the areas of climate and environment, public health, plant health and animal welfare, social and employment legislation, applicable labour standards, to ensure that beneficiaries of the support comply with the requirements set out in the first paragraph.

3. The managing authority or paying agency shall be notified where relevant at least once a year of cases of non-compliance where enforceable decisions in that respect have been made under the applicable control and enforcement systems referred to in paragraph 2. That notification shall include an assessment and grading of the severity, extent, permanence or reoccurrence and intentionality of the non-compliance concerned.

4. The administrative penalties referred to in paragraph 5 shall only apply to non-compliance with the requirements of the farm stewardship referred to in Article 3 of this Regulation XX [, farm stewardship] where that non-compliance is the result of an act or omission directly attributable to the beneficiary concerned, and where one or both of the following

conditions are met: (a) the non-compliance is related to the agricultural activity of the beneficiary, as defined by Member States in their NRP Plans in accordance with Article 1b (new) (2) [framework definition of agricultural activity]; (b) the non-compliance concerns the holding as defined in Article 1a (new), (4a) [definitions - holding] or other areas managed by the beneficiary situated within the territory of the same Member State.

However, if the non-compliance concerns forest areas, the penalties referred to in paragraph 5 shall not be applied where no support is claimed for the area concerned.

5. Member States shall set up a system of administrative penalties applying to beneficiaries referred to in paragraph 4 who do not comply, at any time in the calendar year concerned, with the requirements of the farm stewardship. When implementing administrative penalties resulting from infringements of the statutory management requirements set out in Part B of Annex I to the CAP Regulation, Member States shall consult the relevant national social partners representing employers and workers in the agricultural sector. Such consultation shall be carried out in full respect of the autonomy of the social partners, including their right to negotiate and conclude collective agreements. The penalties shall consist of the reduction or exclusion of the total amount of the payments under measures listed in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), and (o) and (p) of that Regulation to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, of this Regulation granted or to be granted to the beneficiary concerned in respect of aid applications that the beneficiary has submitted or will submit in the course of the calendar year of the finding of the non-compliance.

The penalties shall be calculated on the basis of the payments granted or to be

granted in the calendar year in which the non-compliance occurred. However, where it is not possible to determine the calendar year in which the non-compliance occurred, the reductions or exclusions shall be calculated on the basis of the payments granted or to be granted in the calendar year of the finding of the non-compliance.

For the calculation of those penalties, account shall be taken of the severity, extent, permanence or reoccurrence and intentionality of the non-compliance determined, in line with the assessment referred to in paragraph 3.

The expenditure which has been reduced as a result of the application of a penalty shall be considered legal and regular. The reduction shall, as a general rule, be 3 % of the total amount of the payments. In the case of intentional non-compliance, the reduction shall be at least 15 % of the amount of those payments. Member States shall provide that no administrative penalty shall be imposed if: (a) The non-compliance is due to force majeure or exceptional circumstances; (b) The non-compliance is due to an order from a public authority.

5a(new) By way of derogation from previous paragraph, Member States shall establish a grading system specifying the administrative penalties applicable to non-compliance with statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B, developed in full consultation with the social partners representing management and labour in the agricultural sector. The grading system shall include reductions of CAP payments and benefits and, where applicable, total exclusion from such payments and benefits. The grading system shall determine the applicable reduction by taking into account the severity of the workers' rights violation, the number of workers affected (extent) and the permanence or reoccurrence and

intentionality.

The reduction shall always be at least 7% of the total amount of the receivable CAP pay-ments and benefits and shall increase progressively according to the seriousness of the infringement and the number of workers affected.

Where the same non-compliance persists or reoccurs within three consecutive calendar years, the reduction determined under the grading system shall be increased by 50 %. In the case of intentional non-compliance, the reduction shall be doubled.

The grading system shall provide for total exclusion from CAP payments and benefits where the non-compliance constitutes a systemic infringement of workers' rights, includ-ing where it concerns a particularly serious violation, affects a significant number of work-ers, or forms part of a pattern of repeated or intentional non-compliance.

Beneficiaries who have been convicted of labour exploitation, undeclared work, illegal la-bour intermediation, human trafficking, social fraud and anti-union behaviour shall always be totally excluded from the payments referred to Article 35 (1) of NRPP Regulation.

Or. en

Justification

Article 3a(new) (former NRP Art. 62(1)to(5)) - deletion of subparagraph 1.1. on exemption of farmers under 10ha; introduction of obligatory consultation of relevant national social partners in para 5; deletion in para 5 on possible reductions in social conditionality penalties if sanctions apply in the respective Member state; addition of para 5a (new) on an effective sanction system

Amendment 1079

Cristina Maestre, Elena Sancho Murillo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 a (new)

Article 3a

Farm stewardship Control System

1. Member States shall as part of the controls referred to in Article 58 of the NRP Regulation [Responsibilities of Member States] verify the compliance of beneficiaries with the requirements of the farm stewardship referred to in Article 3 of this Regulation

Where the area eligible for the support referred to in the first subparagraph, as declared in the geo-spatial application referred to in Article 20j(new) [IACS], does not exceed 10 hectares, the beneficiaries shall be exempted from controls and penalties under this Article, except for the social conditionality system.

Where a beneficiary has been selected for an on-the-spot check on an aid application or on a payment claim, Member States shall, to the extent possible and taking account of the associated risks, not select that beneficiary for a subsequent check and control sample for that year, except when the circumstances require more than one on-the-spot check in order to ensure the effective protection of the financial interests of the Union. This provision shall not reduce the level of checks.

2. Member States shall make use of their control and enforcement systems in the areas of climate and environment, public health, plant health and animal welfare, social and employment legislation, applicable labour standards, to ensure that beneficiaries of the support comply with the requirements set out in the first paragraph.

3. The managing authority or paying agency shall be notified where relevant at least once a year of cases of non-compliance where enforceable decisions in that respect have been made under the

applicable control and enforcement systems referred to in paragraph 2. That notification shall include an assessment and grading of the severity, extent, permanence or reoccurrence and intentionality of the non-compliance concerned.

4. The administrative penalties referred to in paragraph 5 shall only apply to non-compliance with the requirements of the farm stewardship referred to in Article 3 of this Regulation XX [, farm stewardship] where that non-compliance is the result of an act or omission directly attributable to the beneficiary concerned, and where one or both of the following conditions are met:

(a) the non-compliance is related to the agricultural activity of the beneficiary, as defined by Member States in their NRP Plans in accordance with Article 1b (new) (2) [framework definition of agricultural activity];

(b) the non-compliance concerns the holding as defined in Article 1a (new), (4a) [definitions - holding] or other areas managed by the beneficiary situated within the territory of the same Member State.

However, if the non-compliance concerns forest areas, the penalties referred to in paragraph 5 shall not be applied where no support is claimed for the area concerned.

5. Member States shall set up a system of administrative penalties applying to beneficiaries referred to in paragraph 4 who do not comply, at any time in the calendar year concerned, with the requirements of the farm stewardship.

The penalties shall consist of the reduction or exclusion of the total amount of the payments under measures listed in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), and (o) and (p) of that Regulation to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, of this Regulation granted or to be granted to the beneficiary concerned in

respect of aid applications that the beneficiary has submitted or will submit in the course of the calendar year of the finding of the non-compliance. The penalties shall be calculated on the basis of the payments granted or to be granted in the calendar year in which the non-compliance occurred. However, where it is not possible to determine the calendar year in which the non-compliance occurred, the reductions or exclusions shall be calculated on the basis of the payments granted or to be granted in the calendar year of the finding of the non-compliance.

For the calculation of those penalties, account shall be taken of the severity, extent, permanence or reoccurrence and intentionality of the non-compliance determined, in line with the assessment referred to in paragraph 3. A penalty imposed pursuant to the national legislation implementing the legal acts listed in Annex I, parts A and B, to this Regulation XX for the same act or omission of a farmer or other beneficiary shall be taken into account in the calculation of penalties referred to in the first subparagraph.

The expenditure which has been reduced as a result of the application of a penalty shall be considered legal and regular. The reduction shall, as a general rule, be 3 % of the total amount of the payments. In the case of intentional non-compliance, the reduction shall be at least 15 % of the amount of those payments. Member States shall provide that no administrative penalty shall be imposed if:

- (a) The non-compliance is due to force majeure or exceptional circumstances;*
- (b) The non-compliance is due to an order from a public authority.*

In order to comply with their control Member States shall establish the control sample for the on-the-spot checks to be carried out each year on the basis of an annual risk analysis that includes a

random component and covers at least 1 % of the beneficiaries.

If a non-compliance is detected through a control system that cover 100 % of the beneficiaries, the penalty referred to in Article 3a.5 may be set at 0.5 %.

Or. en

Justification

(former NRP Art. 62(1)to(5). New text: In parag 1 social conditionality is excluded form the exemption to beneficiaries below 10 ha . New text: the last two 2 paragraphs added: "In order to comply ...1% of beneficiaries" and "If a non ...0'5%" Provision should be made for a minimum percentage of beneficiaries to be subject to on-the-spot checks – set at 1 per cent – and for the fact that such checks may, where appropriate, be carried out using the area monitoring system, in order to maintain a uniform percentage of checks across the European Union and avoid discrepancies in the number of checks carried out by each Member State. In the event that a breach is detected through a check covering 100 per cent of beneficiaries – which acts as a significant deterrent – the penalties should be lower and should be set at 0.5 per cent

Amendment 1080
Martin Häusling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article3a

Farm stewardship Control System

1. Member States (...) referred to in Article 3 of this Regulation.

Where the area eligible for the support referred to in the first subparagraph, as declared in the geo-spatial application referred to in Article 20j(new) [IACS], does not exceed 10 hectares, a Member State may consider, where it duly justifies this with evidence, that the beneficiaries may be considered to have a lower risk of not respecting the baseline conditions, and on this basis be subject to an even lower control rate than the standard 1% . The reduction for farms smaller than

10ha shall, as a general rule, be 1% of the total amount of the payments, reduced from the usual 3%.

Where a beneficiary has been selected (...) reduce the level of checks.

2. (...)

3. (...)

4. (...)

5. Member States shall set up a system of administrative penalties applying to beneficiaries referred to in paragraph 4 who do not comply, at any time in the calendar year concerned, with the requirements of the farm stewardship. When setting up the administrative penalty resulting from statutory management requirements violations listed in Annex I, Part B of the CAP Regulation, Member States shall consult the relevant national social partners representing management and labour in the agricultural sector. Member States shall fully respect the partners' autonomy, and their right to negotiate and conclude collective agreements.

The penalties shall consist of the reduction or exclusion of the total amount of the payments under measures listed in Article 5(1), points (a) to (f), and (o) and (p) of that Regulation to the extent they concern support for local agricultural products, of this Regulation granted or to be granted to the beneficiary concerned in respect of aid applications that the beneficiary has submitted or will submit in the course of the calendar year of the finding of the non-compliance. The penalties shall be calculated on the basis of the payments granted or to be granted in the calendar year in which the non-compliance occurred. However, where it is not possible to determine the calendar year in which the non-compliance occurred, the reductions or exclusions shall be calculated on the basis of the payments granted or to be granted in the calendar year of the finding of the non-

compliance.

For the calculation of those penalties, account shall be taken of the severity, extent, permanence or reoccurrence and intentionality of the non-compliance determined, in line with the assessment referred to in paragraph 3.

The expenditure which has been reduced as a result of the application of a penalty shall be considered legal and regular. The reduction shall, as a general rule, be 3 % of the total amount of the payments. In the case of intentional non-compliance, the reduction shall be at least 15 % of the amount of those payments. The reduction for farms smaller than 10ha shall, as a general rule, be 1% of the total amount of the payments, reduced from the usual 3%.

Member States shall provide that no administrative penalty shall be imposed if:
(a) The non-compliance is due to force majeure or exceptional circumstances;

(b) The non-compliance is due to an order from a public authority.

(5a new) By way of derogation from previous paragraph, Member States shall establish a grading system specifying the administrative penalties applicable to non-compliance with statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B, developed with the social partners. The grading system shall take into account the severity of the violation, the number of workers affected (extent) and the reoccurrence and intentionality and shall include reductions and, where applicable, total exclusion from payments and benefits.

The reduction shall always be at least 7% of the total amount of the receivable CAP payments and benefits and shall increase progressively according to the seriousness of the infringement and the number of workers affected.

Where the same non-compliance persists or reoccurs within three consecutive

calendar years, the reduction determined under the grading system shall be increased by 50 %. In the case of intentional non-compliance, the reduction shall be doubled.

The grading system shall provide for total exclusion from CAP payments and benefits where the non-compliance constitutes a systemic infringement of workers' rights, including where it concerns a particularly serious violation, affects a significant number of workers, or forms part of a pattern of repeated or intentional non-compliance.

(5b new) Further to the existing farm stewardship system, Member States shall establish an ex-ante evaluation to avoid granting CAP support to beneficiaries violating the statutory management requirements listed in Annex I, Part B.

The ex-ante evaluation shall take place before the annual granting of CAP funding and shall be built on existing mechanisms, tools and cross-checking of data. The evaluation sample shall evaluate minimum 5% of beneficiaries with a focus on higher risk beneficiaries. Non-compliant beneficiaries shall be given a remedy opportunity within a reasonable time frame before any decision on penalties are taken.

Or. en